Supporting Information

^{99m}Tc-cyclopentadienyl Tricarbonyl Chelate-labeled Compounds as

Selective Sigma-2 Receptor Ligands for Tumor Imaging

Dan Li^{† II}, Yuanyuan Chen^{† II}, Xia Wang[†], Winnie Deuther-Conrad[‡], Xin Chen[†], Bing Jia[§], Chengyan Dong[¶], Jörg Steinbach[‡], Peter Brust[‡], Boli Liu[†], Hongmei Jia^{†*}

Corresponding author: *To whom correspondence should be addressed: Phone: +86-10-58808891; Fax: +86-01-58808891. Email: hmjia@bnu.edu.cn Author Contributions:

^{II}Both authors contributed equally.

Contents

- 1. General information and some parts of evaluation of the radiotracers in experimental section.
- 2. Purity of key target compounds.
- 3. HPLC chromatograms of 20a-25a and [^{99m}Tc]20b-25b, 29a-30a and ^{99m}Tc]**29b–30b**.
- 4. X-ray crystallographic data for compound **31a**.

5. Binding affinities of compound **20a** for the additional receptors and transporters in the CNS.

- In vitro evaluation of [^{99m}Tc]**21b** and [^{99m}Tc]**24b** in C6 glioma cells.
 Biodistribution and blocking studies of [^{99m}Tc]**25b** in male ICR mice.
 Small animal SPECT/CT imaging of [^{99m}Tc]**20b**.

1. General information and some parts of evaluation of the radiotracers in experimental section

General information

All reagents and chemicals were purchased from commercial source and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. ^{99m}Tc-pertechnetate was eluted from a commercial ⁹⁹Mo-^{99m}Tc generator obtained from Beijing Atomic High-tech Co. Haloperidol was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China). Siramesine was synthesized according to the method in the literature.¹ Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) (TLC silica gel 60 F_{254} plates, E. Merck). Flash column chromatography was conducted on silica gel (45–75 µm) from Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co., Ltd. The mobile phase was reported in the experimental procedure. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III (400 MHz) NMR spectrometer in CDCl₃ at room temperature with TMS as an internal standard. Chemical shift (δ) were reported in ppm values downfield from tetramethylsilane and coupling constants (J) were reported in Hertz (Hz). Multiplicity is defined by s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), and m (multiplet). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III (100 MHz) NMR spectrometer. Mass spectra were acquired by Quattro micro API ESI/MS (Waters, USA). High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was performed on a LCT Premier XE ESI-TOF mass spectrometry instrument (Waters, USA). X-ray crystallography data were collected on a Bruker Smart APEX II diffractometer (Bruker Co., Germany). Melting point (Mp) of solid compounds was tested on a WRX-4 micro melting point apparatus (Shanghai Yice instrument Co., LTD, China) and was uncorrected. The purity of rhenium compounds and ferrocene precursors were analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). All final complexes were tested with a purity of > 95%.

HPLC separations and analyses were performed on a Waters 600 system (Waters, corporation, USA) equipped with a Waters 2489 UV–VIS detector, and a Raytest Gabi NaI (Tl) scintillation detector (Raytest, Germany) and on a Shimadzu SCL-20AVP system (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan) equipped with a Bioscan Flow Count 3200 NaI/PMT γ -radiation scintillation detector. Samples were analyzed and separated on an Agela Venusil MP C18 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) using acetonitrile with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and water with 0.1% TFA as mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min.

Male ICR mice (4–5 weeks, 22–25 g) were purchased from Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co. Ltd. All procedures related to animal experiments were performed in compliance with relevant laws and institutional guidelines. All of the animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Beijing Normal University.

X-ray Crystallography

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were conducted on a Bruker Smart APEXII CCD crystal diffractometer at 150(2) K using graphite monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). An empirical absorption correction was applied using the SADABS program.² All structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F^2 using the SHELXL-97 program package. All of the hydrogen atoms (except solvent H₂O) were geometrically fixed using the riding model.³

In vitro radioligand competition studies

σ Receptor Binding Assays

The σ_1 and σ_2 receptor affinities were determined by radioligand competition binding assay which was previously reported.^{4,5} Briefly, the σ_1 receptor binding assay was performed using rat brain membrane homogenates and the σ_1 specific radioligand (+)-[³H]pentazocine. For σ_2 receptors, it was conducted by using rat liver membrane homogenates and [³H]DTG in the presence of 10 μ M dextrallorphan for selective masking of σ_1 receptor binding. Nonspecific binging was determined with 10 μ M haloperidol. K_i values were calculated according to the Cheng–Prusoff equation and represent the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) from at least two independent experiments, each performed in triplicate.

VAChT Binding Assays

Affinity of compound **20a** for the vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VAChT) was also determined in radioligand competition binding assays.⁶ Radioligand competition binding assays were performed using membrane homogenates obtained from PC12 cells stably transfected with rat VAChT (obtained from Ali Roghani, Department of Pharmacology and Neuroscience, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Lubbock, TX) and (–)-[³H]vesamicol (1 nM working concentration). Assays were performed with compound **20a** in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, by incubation at room temperature for 120 min. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 10 μ M of (–)-vesamicol. K_i values were calculated according to the Cheng–Prusoff equation and represent the mean \pm standard deviation (SD) from two single experiments, each performed in triplicate.

Other receptor and transporter Binding Assays

To test the selectivity of compound **20a**, the radioligand competition binding assays of dopamine D_{2L} receptors, NMDA receptors, opiate receptors, dopamine transporter (DAT), Norepinephrine transporter (NET) and serotonin (5-hydrotryptamine) transporter (SERT) were performed based on the methods reported in the literature. The detailed protocols are listed as follows.

Dopamine D_{2L} receptors	
Source:	Human recombinant CHO cells
Vehicle:	1.00% DMSO
Incubation Time/Temp:	2 hours at 25 °C
Incubation buffer:	50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1.4 mM Ascorbic
	Acid, 0.001% BSA, 150 mM NaCl
$K_{ m d:}$	0.080 nM
B _{max} :	0.48 pmole/mg Protein
Ligand:	0.16 nM [³ H]Spiperone
Non-specific Ligand:	10.0 μM Haloperidol
Specific Binding:	85%
Quantitation Method:	Radioligand Binding
Significance Criteria:	\geq 50% of max stimulation or inhibition
NMDA receptors ⁹	
Source:	Wistar Rat cerebral cortex
Vehicle:	1.00% DMSO
Incubation Time/Temp:	45 minutes at 25 °C
Incubation buffer:	10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4
$K_{ m d:}$	8.40 nM
B _{max} :	0.78 pmole/mg Protein
Ligand:	4.0 nM [³ H]TCP

Dopamine D_{21} receptors ^{7,8}

Non-specific Ligand:	1.0 µM Dizocilpine ((+)-MK-801)
Specific Binding:	94%
Quantitation Method:	Radioligand Binding
Significance Criteria:	\geq 50% of max stimulation or inhibition
Opiate receptors, Non-selective ^{10,11}	
Source:	Wistar Rat brain
Vehicle:	1.00% DMSO
Incubation Time/Temp:	40 minutes at 25 °C
Incubation buffer:	50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4
$K_{ m d:}$	1.40 nM
B _{max} :	0.095 pmole/mg Protein
Ligand:	1.0 nM [³ H]Naloxone
Non-specific Ligand:	1.0 µM Naloxone
Specific Binding:	85%
Quantitation Method:	Radioligand Binding
Significance Criteria:	\geq 50% of max stimulation or inhibition
10.12	
Dopamine Transporter (DAT) ^{12,13}	
Source:	Human recombinant CHO-S cells
Vehicle:	1.00% DMSO
Incubation Time/Temp:	3 hours at 4 °C
Incubation buffer:	50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 1 μM
	Leupeptin, 10 µM PMSF
$K_{\rm d:}$	0.58 nM
B _{max} :	0.047 pmole/mg Protein
Ligand:	0.15 nM [¹²⁵]]RTI-55
Non-specific Ligand:	10.0 µM Nomitensine
Specific Binding:	90%
Quantitation Method:	Radioligand Binding
Significance Criteria:	\geq 50% of max stimulation or inhibition
Noraninanhrina Transportar (NFT) 14	
Source:	Human recombinant MDCK cells
Vehicle:	1 00% DMSO
Incubation Time/Temp:	3 hours at 4°
Incubation buffer	50 mM Tris-HCl nH 7.4 100 mM NaCl 1 μ M
	Leupentin 10 µM PMSE
K.	$0.024 \mu\text{M}$
B _{max} :	2.50 pmole/mg Protein
Ligand:	$0.20 \text{ nM} [^{125} \text{I}] \text{RTI-55}$
Non-specific Ligand:	10.0 uM Desipramine
Specific Binding:	75%
Ouantitation Method:	Radioligand Binding
Significance Criteria:	\geq 50% of max stimulation or inhibition
Serotonin Transporter (SERT) ^{15,16}	
Source:	Human recombinant HEK-293 cells
Vehicle:	1.00% DMSO
Incubation Time/Temp:	60 minutes at 25 °C
Incubation buffer:	50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 120 mM NaCl. 5 mM
	KCl
$K_{ m d}$	0.078 nM
B _{max} :	4.40 pmole/mg Protein

Ligand:	0.40 nM [³ H]Paroxetine
Non-specific Ligand:	10.0 μM Imipramine
Specific Binding:	95%
Quantitation Method:	Radioligand Binding
Significance Criteria:	\geq 50% of max stimulation or inhibition

Radiochemistry

The general procedure for preparing radioligand [99m Tc]**20b–25b** and [99m Tc]**29b–30b** were through double ligand transfer (DLT) reaction which was reported previously.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Briefly, 1.0 mg of ferrocene precursor (**36–41** or **43–44**) and 3.0 mg of Mn(CO)₅Br were dissolved in 0.6 mL of DMF, 0.5–1.0 mL of 99m Tc-pertechnetate was added successively. The mixture was stirred in a 10 mL of sealed vial and heated to 140 or 150 °C for 60 min. After cooled to room temperature, followed by addition of 3 mL of H₂O, the crude product was extracted with CHCl₃ and then passed through a 0.22 µm hydrophobic membrane. The residue was purified by HPLC.

The eluent for purification of [99m Tc]**20b**–**25b** and [99m Tc]**30b** was 40% acetonitrile (with 0.1% TFA) and 60% H₂O (with 0.1% TFA). The identification of the radiotracer was performed by co-injected and co-eluented with the corresponding rhenium compound **20a–25a** and **30a** with the same mobile phase (Agela Venusil MP C18 column, 250 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm, 1 mL/min).

The eluent for purification of [^{99m}Tc]**29b** was 35% acetonitrile (with 0.1% TFA) and 65% H₂O (with 0.1% TFA). The identification of the radiotracer was performed by co-injected and co-eluented with rhenium compound **29a** with the same mobile phase (Agela Venusil MP C18 column, 250×4.6 mm, 5 µm, 1 mL/min).

Measurement of log D values

The log *D* values of [^{99m}Tc]**20b**–**25b** and [^{99m}Tc]**29b**–**30b** were determined by measuring the distribution of the radiotracer between 1-octanol and 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 according to papers.^{5,17,18} The two phases were pre-saturated with each other. 1-octanol (3 mL) and sodium phosphate buffer (3 mL) was pipetted into a 15 mL plastic centrifuge tube and the new purified radioactive product in saline was added. The tube was vortexed for 3 min and followed by centrifugation for 5 min (3500 rpm, Anke TDL80-2B, China). About 50 µL of the 1-octanol layer was weighed in a tared tube. The buffer layer was removed and about 500 µL of the buffer layer was weighed in a second tared tube. The activity in both tubes was measured in an automatic γ -counter (Wallac 1470 Wizard, USA). Accurate volumes of each counted phase were determined by weight and known densities. The distribution coefficient was determined by calculating the ratio of cpm/mL of 1-octanol layer were redistributed until consistent distribution coefficient values were obtained. The measurement was carried out in triplicate and repeated three times.

In vitro evaluation of the ^{99m}Tc-labeled complexes in DU145 prostate tumor cells

DU145 human prostate cells (National Platform of Experimental Cell Resources for Sci-Tech) were routinely grown as monolayer in RPMI-1640 medium (Macgene Biotech Co., Ltd, Beijing, China) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% (v/v) antibiotics (penicillin 100 U/mL, and streptomycin 100 μ g/mL) in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37 °C.

DU145 prostate cells were first seeded in 24-well plates with equal number of cells $(2 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$ in each well and incubated with 1 mL of RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS overnight at 37 °C to allow a firm adherence. For the cell binding assay, cells in each well were incubated with [^{99m}Tc]**20b**, [^{99m}Tc]**23b**, $[^{99m}$ Tc]**29b** and $[^{99m}$ Tc]**30b** (11–15 kBq) which were dissolved in 1 mL of RPMI 1640 medium (in triplicate) at room temperature. The medium was removed quickly from 15 min to 120 min at an interval of every 15 min and the cells were rinsed twice with cold phosphate buffer and then lysed with 1 mL of NaOH (1 M). The blocking studies of [^{99m}Tc]20b and [^{99m}Tc]23b were a little different from those of [^{99m}Tc]29b and ^{99m}Tc]**30b**. For [^{99m}Tc]**20b** and [^{99m}Tc]**23b**, each kind of inhibitors with gradient concentration (haloperidol: 2 µM, 10 µM, 20 µM; ISO-1: 2 µM, 10 µM, 20 µM, 40 μ M) and radiotracer (11 kBq) in 1 mL of RPMI 1640 medium was added and incubated with the cells for 60 min (in triplicate) at room temperature. While for $[^{99m}$ Tc]**29b** and $[^{99m}$ Tc]**30b**, haloperidol with various concentration (10⁻⁹, 10⁻⁸, 10⁻⁷, 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁵, 10⁻⁴, 10⁻³ M haloperidol) in 1 mL fresh medium with radio-labeled complexes (15 kBq) were co-incubated with the cells for 60 min (in triplicate) at room temperature. After removing the medium quickly from the wells, cells were rinsed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffer saline containing 0.2% BSA and then lysed with 1 mL of NaOH (1 M). The cell-binding radioactivity was detected by a gamma counter (Wallac 1470 Wizard, PerkinElmer, USA). The administered [^{99m}Tc]**20b**, [^{99m}Tc]**23b**, [^{99m}Tc]**29b** and [^{99m}Tc]**30b** (11-15 kBq) in each well was used as the administered tracer dose. The cell binding (%) is given by the formulas:

binding (%) = CPM (in the cell suspension) /CPM (administered tracer dose) \times 100%.

The %blocking is calculated by [(radioactivity accumulation under blocking condition)–(radioactivity accumulation under control condition)]/(radioactivity accumulation under control condition) × 100%. Significant differences between control and blocking groups were determined by Student's *t* test (independent, two-tailed). The criterion for significance was $p \le 0.05$.

In vitro evaluation of the ^{99m}Tc-labeled complexes in C6 glioma tumor cells

C6 glioma cells (Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China) were routinely grown as monolayer in HAM'S F10 medium (Macgene Biotech Co., Ltd, Beijing, China) supplemented with 15% (v/v) horse serum, 2.5% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% (v/v) antibiotics (penicillin 100 U/mL, and streptomycin 100 μ g/mL) in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

The preparation of C6 glioma cells in 24-well plates with HAM'S F10 medium was similar with the description of DU145 cells. The cell uptake and blocking studies of [^{99m}Tc]**20b**, [^{99m}Tc]**21b**, [^{99m}Tc]**24b** and [^{99m}Tc]**29b** were performed similar with the methods conducted for DU145 prostate tumor cells. For [^{99m}Tc]**20b**, ISO-1 was used as the blocking agent. While for [^{99m}Tc]**21b**, [^{99m}Tc]**24b** and [^{99m}Tc]**24b**, haloperidol was used as the blocking agent.

Biodistribution and blocking studies in ICR male mice

All animal experiments were carried out in compliance with the national laws related to the care and experiments on laboratory animals. For the biodistribution experiment, The purified [^{99m}Tc]**20b**, [^{99m}Tc]**23b**, [^{99m}Tc]**25b** and [^{99m}Tc]**29b** (185–370 kBq in 0.1 mL saline containing 7% ethanol) were injected via tail vein.

Mice were sacrificed by decapitation at 2, 15, 30, 60, 120, and 240 min (n = 5 for each time point). The organs of interest including blood, brain, heart, liver, spleen, kidneys, muscle, and thyroid were removed, weighed and counted in an automatic γ -counter (Wallac 1470 Wizard, USA). The results were expressed in terms of the percentage of injected dose per gram (% ID/g) of blood or organs.

For the blocking studies, ICR male mice were injected with haloperidol (0.1 mL, 1.0 mg/kg) via tail vein 5 min prior to the radiotracer injection. All mice were sacrificed by decapitation at 60 or 120 min postinjection. The blood and organs of interest were isolated and analyzed as described above for the biodistribution study. Significant differences between control and blocking groups were determined by Student's t test (independent, two-tailed). The criterion for significance was $p \leq 1$ 0.05.

In vivo biodistribution and blocking studies of [^{99m}Tc]20b in Balb/c nude mice bearing C6 glioma xenografts

Balb/c nude mice, bearing with C6 glioma xenografts, were purchased from Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co. Ltd. (China). Each mouse was planted with 1×10^6 glioma cells and the tumor was grown for about 11 days before experiment.

For the biodistribution studies, mice were injected [^{99m}Tc]**20b** (370 kBq, 0.1 mL) via tail vein and sacrificed by decapitation at 120 and 240 min postinjection. Blood, tumor and other organ samples were removed, weighed and counted in an automatic γ -counter (Wallac 1470 Wizard, USA). The results were expressed in terms of the percentage of injected dose per gram (%ID/g) of blood or organs. For the blocking studies, 1.0 mg/kg (0.1 mL) blocking agents (haloperidol, 36 and siramesine) were injected 5 min prior to [99mTc]20b (370 kBq, 0.1 mL). At 240 min, animals were sacrificed and the samples were obtained and disposed as described for the biodistribution studies. Significant differences between control and blocking groups were determined by Student's t test (independent, two-tailed). The criterion for significance was $p \le 0.05$.

Small animal SPECT/CT imaging studies

Small animal SPECT/CT imaging studies were carried out using the NanoScan SPECT/CT tomography (Mediso Ltd, Budapest, HUN). Nude mice bearing C6 glioma xenografts was injected with [^{99m}Tc]**20b** (22 MBq, 0.15 mL) via tail vein. The animal was imaged at 180 min postinjection after anesthetized with inhalation of 2% isoflurane. A total of 24 projections were acquired in a 256×256 acquisition matrix with a minimum of 50000 counts per projection. Images were reconstructed using an ordered-subset expectation maximization (OSEM) algorithm. Prior to each SPECT imaging, conebeam CT (180 projections, 1 s/projection, 45 kVp) images were acquired on the NanoScan SPECT/CT system. The SPECT and CT fusion images were obtained using the automatic fusion feature of the InVivoScope software (Mediso Ltd, Budapest, HUN).

In vivo radiometabolic stability of [^{99m}Tc]20b The *in vivo* metabolism of [^{99m}Tc]20b was performed as previously reported.⁵ Briefly, male ICR mice were sacrificed by decapitation at 15 and 30 min after injection of 0.15 mL of [^{99m}Tc]**20b** (18.5 MBq) saline solution via tail vein. The brain and liver were collected, washed and homogenized with a labGEN 7 homogenizer in 2 mL of cold acetonitrile. After high speed centrifugation, the radiometabolite was

extracted by acetonitrile and filtered by a 0.22- μ m organic millipore filter. The filtrates were concentrated and analysed on a radio-HPLC system (Waters 600 system, Agela Venusil MP C18, 250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μ m) with eluent 40% CH₃CN (0.1% TFA) and 60% H₂O (0.1% TFA) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min.

Compd	Flow rate	Mobile phase	Column (Agela	Retention	Purity
	(mL/min)	(acetonitrile	Venusil MP C18, 5	time (RT,	(%)
		(0.1% TFA)/water	μm)	min)	
		(0.1% TFA))			
20a	1	50:50	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.17	97.40
21a	1	50 : 50	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.55	97.34
22a	1	50 : 50	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.77	98.41
23a	1	50 : 50	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.46	95.06
24a	1	50 : 50	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.88	97.17
25a	1	50 : 50	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	8.07	98.51
27a	1	40: 60	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	7.95	99.37
28a	1	40: 60	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	8.05	99.43
29a	1	40: 60	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	8.12	99.52
30a	1	40: 60	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	9.02	98.27
31 a	1	40: 60	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	8.80	98.77
32a	1	40: 60	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	9.29	99.05
36	1	45 : 55	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.33	97.69
37	1	45 : 55	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	5.94	94.64
38	1	45 : 55	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	7.87	99.25
39	1	45 : 55	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.71	99.89
40	1	45 : 55	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.34	95.18
41	1	45 : 55	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	8.37	99.05
43	1	40: 60	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	5.87	99.35
44	1	40: 60	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.40	98.28
45	1	40: 60	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.07	95.89
46	1	40: 60	$4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}$	6.30	98.95

2. Purity of key target compounds. UV-wavelength: 254 nm





S11





Figure S1. HPLC profiles of 20a–25a, 27a–32a, 36–41 and 43–46.

3. HPLC chromatograms of 20a–25a and $[^{99m}Tc]20b-25b$, 29a–30a and $[^{99m}Tc]29b-30b$.





Figure S2. HPLC co-elution profiles of **20a**–**25a** and [^{99m}Tc]**20b**–**25b**, **29a**–**30a** and [^{99m}Tc]**29b**–**30b**. [^{99m}Tc]**20b** $t_{\rm R}$ (RI) = 7.48 min, **20a** $t_{\rm R}$ (UV) = 6.17 min (The difference of $t_{\rm R}$ values between **20a** and [^{99m}Tc]**20b** mainly due to the longer distance between the UV detector and scintillation detector in the HPLC); [^{99m}Tc]**21b** $t_{\rm R}$ (RI) = 6.33 min, **21a** $t_{\rm R}$ (UV) = 5.85 min; [^{99m}Tc]**22b** $t_{\rm R}$ (RI) = 7.21 min, **22a** $t_{\rm R}$ (UV) = 6.77 min; [^{99m}Tc]**23b** $t_{\rm R}$ (RI) = 6.97 min, **23a** $t_{\rm R}$ (UV) = 6.46 min; [^{99m}Tc]**24b** $t_{\rm R}$ (RI) = 6.49 min, **24a** $t_{\rm R}$ (UV) = 6.08 min; [^{99m}Tc]**25b** $t_{\rm R}$ (RI) = 8.71 min, **25a** $t_{\rm R}$ (UV) = 8.07 min; Conditions: CH₃CN (0.1% TFA)/H₂O (0.1% TFA) = 50/50, v/v, flow rate = 1 mL/min. [^{99m}Tc]**29b** $t_{\rm R}$ (RI) = 15.45 min, **29a** $t_{\rm R}$ (UV) = 14.45 min; Conditions: CH₃CN (0.1% TFA) = 65/35, v/v, flow rate = 1 mL/min. [^{99m}Tc]**30b** $t_{\rm R}$ (RI) = 9.68 min, **30a** $t_{\rm R}$ (UV) = 9.02 min; Conditions: CH₃CN (0.1% TFA) = 60/40, v/v, flow rate = 1 mL/min.

4.	X-ray	crystallographic data f	for compound 31a
			1

Table S1.	Crystal	data and	structure	refinement	for c	compound	l 31a
	~						

Crystal parameter	Crystal data
Empirical formula	$C_{23}H_{25}N_2O_6Re$
Formula weight	611.65
Temperature	100(2) K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Triclinic,
space group	P -1

Unit cell dimensions	a = 9.569(2) Å alpha = 89.068(5) deg.		
	b = 9.937(3) Å $beta = 68.454(5)$ deg.		
	c = 12.748(3) Å gamma = 84.701(5) deg.		
Volume	1122.4(5) Å ³		
Z	2		
Calculated density	1.810 Mg/m^3		
Absorption coefficient	5.455 mm ⁻¹		
F(000)	600		
Crystal size	0.270 x 0.090 x 0.080 mm		
	1.718 to 27.531 deg		
Limiting indices	-7<=h<=12, -12<=k<=12, -14<=l<=16		
Reflections collected / unique	7418 / 5115 [R(int) = 0.0261]		
Completeness to theta = 25.242	99.7 %		
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents		
Max. and min. transmission	0.75 and 0.51		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²		
Data / restraints / parameters	5115 / 0 / 295		
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.059		
Final R indices [I>2 sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0311, wR2 = 0.0719		
Rindices (all data)	R1 = 0.0364, wR2 = 0.0748		
Extinction coefficient	n/a		
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.557 and -0.876 e. $Å^{-3}$		

Table S2. Atomic coordinates (x 10^4) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A² x 10^3) for **31a**. U(eq) is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor

	X	у	Z	U(eq)
C(1)	6652(5)	5954(5)	1301(4)	27(1)
C(2)	5368(5)	7328(5)	-32(4)	24(1)
C(3)	3614(5)	5930(5)	1727(4)	25(1)
C(4)	5576(5)	9406(4)	1786(4)	19(1)
C(5)	4052(5)	9521(4)	1829(4)	20(1)
C(6)	3186(5)	8807(4)	2763(4)	19(1)
C(7)	4144(5)	8244(4)	3330(4)	18(1)
C(8)	5630(5)	8621(4)	2711(4)	18(1)
C(9)	3537(5)	7533(5)	4438(4)	20(1)
C(10)	3996(6)	6750(6)	6113(4)	32(1)
C(11)	5337(6)	6367(5)	6469(4)	25(1)
C(12)	6442(6)	7414(6)	6249(4)	31(1)
C(13)	8083(6)	8764(5)	4775(4)	30(1)
C(14)	9021(5)	8838(6)	3520(4)	27(1)
C(15)	9480(5)	10080(5)	3042(4)	25(1)
C(16)	10360(5)	10168(5)	1901(4)	21(1)
C(17)	10589(6)	12510(5)	2027(5)	35(1)
C(18)	8505(6)	6345(5)	4631(4)	33(1)
C(19)	9035(6)	6303(6)	3363(5)	34(1)

C(20)	9445(5)	7687(5)	2870(4)	26(1)
C(21)	10274(5)	7778(5)	1717(4)	22(1)
C(22)	10736(5)	8984(5)	1230(4)	19(1)
C(23)	11903(6)	7923(5)	-569(4)	28(1)
N(1)	4518(4)	7214(4)	4947(3)	21(1)
N(2)	7376(4)	7466(4)	5011(3)	20(1)
O(1)	7685(4)	5199(4)	1182(4)	38(1)
O(2)	5571(4)	7434(4)	-980(3)	33(1)
O(3)	2730(4)	5152(4)	1891(4)	39(1)
O(4)	2201(4)	7343(4)	4843(3)	35(1)
O(5)	10942(4)	11300(3)	1347(3)	24(1)
O(6)	11602(3)	9119(3)	115(3)	21(1)
Re(2)	4969(1)	7283(1)	1548(1)	16(1)

 Table S3.
 Bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg] for 31a

C(1)-O(1)	1.149(6)	
C(1)-Re(2)	1.920(5)	
C(2)-O(2)	1.156(6)	
C(2)-Re(2)	1.909(5)	
C(3)-O(3)	1.158(6)	
C(3)-Re(2)	1.907(5)	
C(4)-C(8)	1.417(6)	
C(4)-C(5)	1.433(6)	
C(4)-Re(2)	2.292(4)	
C(4)-H(4)	0.9500	
C(5)-C(6)	1.402(6)	
C(5)-Re(2)	2.297(4)	
C(5)-H(5)	0.9500	
C(6)-C(7)	1.434(6)	
C(6)-Re(2)	2.294(4)	
C(6)-H(6)	0.9500	
C(7)-C(8)	1.431(6)	
C(7)-C(9)	1.507(6)	
C(7)-Re(2)	2.304(4)	
C(8)-Re(2)	2.296(4)	
C(8)-H(8)	0.9500	
C(9)-O(4)	1.222(5)	
C(9)-N(1)	1.337(6)	
C(10)-N(1)	1.465(6)	
C(10)-C(11)	1.527(7)	
C(10)-H(10A)	0.9900	
C(10)-H(10B)	0.9900	
C(11)-C(12)	1.502(7)	
C(11)-H(11A)	0.9900	
C(11)-H(11B)	0.9900	
C(12)-N(2)	1.503(6)	
C(12)-H(12A)	0.9900	
	S17	

C(12)-H(12B)	0.9900
C(13)-N(2)	1.487(6)
C(13)-C(14)	1.524(7)
C(13)-H(13A)	0.9900
С(13)-Н(13В)	0.9900
C(14)-C(20)	1.363(7)
C(14)-C(15)	1.402(7)
C(15)-C(16)	1.394(7)
C(15)-H(15)	0.9500
C(16)-O(5)	1.370(6)
C(16)-C(22)	1.405(6)
C(17)-O(5)	1 433(6)
C(17)-H(17A)	0.9800
C(17)-H(17B)	0.9800
C(17)-H(17C)	0.9800
C(18)-N(2)	1433(7)
C(18)-C(19)	1 506(8)
C(18)-H(18A)	0.9900
C(18)-H(18B)	0.9900
C(19)-C(20)	1.527(7)
C(19)-H(19A)	0.9900
C(19)-H(19B)	0.9900
C(20)-C(21)	1 396(7)
C(21)-C(22)	1.375(6)
C(21) - H(21)	0.9500
C(22) - O(6)	1 369(5)
C(23)-O(6)	1 429(6)
C(23)-H(23A)	0.9800
C(23)-H(23R)	0.9800
C(23)-H(23C)	0.9800
N(1)-H(1)	0.96(6)
	0.90(0)
O(1)-C(1)-Re(2)	176.7(4)
O(2)-C(2)-Re(2)	175.9(4)
O(3)-C(3)-Re(2)	175.9(5)
C(8)-C(4)-C(5)	107.9(4)
C(8)-C(4)-Re(2)	72.2(3)
C(5)-C(4)-Re(2)	72.0(2)
C(8)-C(4)-H(4)	126.1
C(5)-C(4)-H(4)	126.1
Re(2)-C(4)-H(4)	121.5
C(6)-C(5)-C(4)	108.2(4)
C(6)-C(5)-Re(2)	72.1(2)
C(4)-C(5)-Re(2)	71.6(3)
C(6)-C(5)-H(5)	125.9
C(4)-C(5)-H(5)	125.9
Re(2)-C(5)-H(5)	122.1
C(5)-C(6)-C(7)	108.6(4)
C(5)-C(6)-Re(2)	72.4(3)
-	S18

C(7)-C(6)-Re(2)	72.2(2)
C(5)-C(6)-H(6)	125.7
C(7)-C(6)-H(6)	125.7
Re(2)-C(6)-H(6)	121.4
C(8)-C(7)-C(6)	107.1(4)
C(8)-C(7)-C(9)	130.3(4)
C(6)-C(7)-C(9)	122.2(4)
C(8)-C(7)-Re(2)	71.6(2)
C(6)-C(7)-Re(2)	71.4(2)
C(9)-C(7)-Re(2)	127.1(3)
C(4)-C(8)-C(7)	108.2(4)
C(4)-C(8)-Re(2)	71.9(3)
C(7)-C(8)-Re(2)	72.2(2)
C(4)-C(8)-H(8)	125.9
C(7)-C(8)-H(8)	125.9
Re(2)-C(8)-H(8)	121.7
O(4)-C(9)-N(1)	124.0(4)
O(4)-C(9)-C(7)	119.8(4)
N(1)-C(9)-C(7)	116.0(4)
N(1)-C(10)-C(11)	110.3(4)
N(1)-C(10)-H(10A)	109.6
C(11)-C(10)-H(10A)	109.6
N(1)-C(10)-H(10B)	109.6
C(11)-C(10)-H(10B)	109.6
H(10A)-C(10)-H(10B)	108.1
C(12)-C(11)-C(10)	115.2(4)
C(12)-C(11)-H(11A)	108.5
C(10)-C(11)-H(11A)	108.5
C(12)-C(11)-H(11B)	108.5
C(10)-C(11)-H(11B)	108.5
H(11A)-C(11)-H(11B)	107.5
C(11)-C(12)-N(2)	110.8(4)
C(11)-C(12)-H(12A)	109.5
N(2)-C(12)-H(12A)	109.5
C(11)-C(12)-H(12B)	109.5
N(2)-C(12)-H(12B)	109.5
H(12A)-C(12)-H(12B)	108.1
N(2)-C(13)-C(14)	109.7(4)
N(2)-C(13)-H(13A)	109.7
C(14)-C(13)-H(13A)	109.7
N(2)-C(13)-H(13B)	109.7
U(12A) = C(12) + H(12B)	109.7
H(13A)-C(13)-H(13B)	108.2
C(20)- $C(14)$ - $C(13)$	120.1(4)
C(20)-C(14)-C(13) C(15) C(14) C(12)	119.8(3)
C(13)-C(14)-C(13) C(16) C(15) C(14)	120.1(3) 121.1(5)
C(10)-C(13)-C(14) C(16)-C(15)-U(15)	121.1(3) 110 /
$C(10)-C(13)-\Pi(13)$ $C(14) C(15) \Pi(15)$	117. 4 110 <i>1</i>
$U(14) - U(13) - \Pi(13)$	119.4

O(5)-C(16)-C(15)	126.8(4)
O(5)-C(16)-C(22)	115.0(4)
C(15)-C(16)-C(22)	118.2(4)
O(5)-C(17)-H(17A)	109.5
O(5)-C(17)-H(17B)	109.5
H(17A)-C(17)-H(17B)	109.5
O(5)-C(17)-H(17C)	109.5
H(17A)-C(17)-H(17C)	109.5
H(17B)-C(17)-H(17C)	109.5
N(2)-C(18)-C(19)	106.7(4)
N(2)-C(18)-H(18A)	110.4
C(19)-C(18)-H(18A)	110.4
N(2)-C(18)-H(18B)	110.4
C(19)-C(18)-H(18B)	110.4
H(18A)-C(18)-H(18B)	108.6
C(18)-C(19)-C(20)	111.3(5)
C(18)-C(19)-H(19A)	109.4
C(20)-C(19)-H(19A)	109.4
C(18)-C(19)-H(19B)	109.4
C(20)-C(19)-H(19B)	109.4
H(19A)-C(19)-H(19B)	108.0
C(14)-C(20)-C(21)	119.0(5)
C(14)-C(20)-C(19)	122.0(5)
C(21)-C(20)-C(19)	119.0(5)
C(22)-C(21)-C(20)	121.9(5)
C(22)-C(21)-H(21)	119.1
C(20)-C(21)-H(21)	119.1
O(6)-C(22)-C(21)	124.2(4)
O(6)-C(22)-C(16)	116.2(4)
C(21)-C(22)-C(16)	119.6(4)
O(6)-C(23)-H(23A)	109.5
O(6)-C(23)-H(23B)	109.5
H(23A)-C(23)-H(23B)	109.5
O(6)-C(23)-H(23C)	109.5
H(23A)-C(23)-H(23C)	109.5
H(23B)-C(23)-H(23C)	109.5
C(9)-N(1)-C(10)	120.6(4)
C(9)-N(1)-H(1)	127(4)
C(10)-N(1)-H(1)	112(4)
C(18)-N(2)-C(13)	110.4(4)
C(18)-N(2)-C(12)	112.6(4)
C(13)-N(2)-C(12)	109.1(4)
C(16)-O(5)-C(17)	115.5(4)
C(22)-O(6)-C(23)	115.5(4)
C(3)-Re(2)-C(2)	90.5(2)
C(3)-Re(2)-C(1)	92.2(2)
C(2)-Re(2)-C(1)	90.7(2)
C(3)-Ke(2)-C(4)	154.33(19)
C(2)-Re(2)-C(4)	98.87(18)
	S20

C(1)-Re(2)-C(4)	111.43(19)
C(3)-Re(2)-C(6)	94.57(18)
C(2)-Re(2)-C(6)	120.56(17)
C(1)-Re(2)-C(6)	147.92(19)
C(4)-Re(2)-C(6)	60.09(16)
C(3)-Re(2)-C(8)	136.75(18)
C(2)-Re(2)-C(8)	132.10(18)
C(1)-Re(2)-C(8)	94.15(18)
C(4)-Re(2)-C(8)	35.97(15)
C(6)-Re(2)-C(8)	60.31(15)
C(3)-Re(2)-C(5)	119.72(18)
C(2)-Re(2)-C(5)	93.33(18)
C(1)-Re(2)-C(5)	147.76(19)
C(4)-Re(2)-C(5)	36.38(15)
C(6)-Re(2)-C(5)	35.56(15)
C(8)-Re(2)-C(5)	60.19(15)
C(3)-Re(2)-C(7)	102.54(19)
C(2)-Re(2)-C(7)	153.40(18)
C(1)-Re(2)-C(7)	111.61(18)
C(4)-Re(2)-C(7)	60.25(15)
C(6)-Re(2)-C(7)	36.34(15)
C(8)-Re(2)-C(7)	36.26(14)
C(5)-Re(2)-C(7)	60.07(15)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Table S4. Anisotropic displacement parameters $(A^2 \times 10^3)$ for **31a**. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: -2 pi^2 [h^2 a*^2 U11 + ... + 2 h k a* b* U12]

	U11	U22	U33	U23	U13	U12
C(1)	24(2)	28(3)	29(3)	0(2)	-11(2)	-2(2)
C(2)	23(2)	17(2)	31(3)	1(2)	-9(2)	2(2)
C(3)	23(2)	19(2)	34(3)	-3(2)	-13(2)	3(2)
C(4)	21(2)	16(2)	18(2)	4(2)	-4(2)	-5(2)
C(5)	24(2)	17(2)	21(2)	3(2)	-10(2)	-3(2)
C(6)	17(2)	19(2)	19(2)	-2(2)	-7(2)	1(2)
C(7)	17(2)	18(2)	20(2)	1(2)	-9(2)	-1(2)
C(8)	14(2)	22(2)	19(2)	2(2)	-6(2)	-4(2)
C(9)	14(2)	27(2)	19(2)	1(2)	-4(2)	-3(2)
C(10)	25(2)	56(4)	21(2)	17(2)	-10(2)	-22(2)
C(11)	29(2)	31(3)	16(2)	7(2)	-7(2)	-6(2)
C(12)	31(3)	39(3)	25(3)	1(2)	-11(2)	-7(2)
C(13)	24(2)	34(3)	32(3)	1(2)	-8(2)	-4(2)
C(14)	11(2)	49(3)	22(2)	10(2)	-7(2)	-4(2)
C(15)	15(2)	40(3)	21(2)	-9(2)	-10(2)	6(2)
C(16)	15(2)	24(2)	28(2)	0(2)	-12(2)	-2(2)
C(17)	32(3)	25(3)	55(4)	-11(2)	-25(3)	2(2)

C(18)	35(3)	31(3)	36(3)	6(2)	-16(2)	-5(2)
C(19)	26(3)	35(3)	39(3)	11(2)	-10(2)	-5(2)
C(20)	15(2)	41(3)	28(3)	13(2)	-14(2)	-8(2)
C(21)	15(2)	26(2)	28(2)	4(2)	-9(2)	-4(2)
C(22)	13(2)	27(2)	17(2)	2(2)	-6(2)	-2(2)
C(23)	28(2)	35(3)	23(2)	-9(2)	-11(2)	-2(2)
N(1)	16(2)	32(2)	15(2)	6(2)	-4(2)	-6(2)
N(2)	19(2)	25(2)	19(2)	7(2)	-9(2)	-6(2)
O(1)	26(2)	33(2)	58(3)	-8(2)	-19(2)	8(2)
O(2)	41(2)	34(2)	20(2)	-2(2)	-8(2)	5(2)
O(3)	27(2)	22(2)	74(3)	5(2)	-23(2)	-9(2)
O(4)	19(2)	61(3)	25(2)	14(2)	-6(2)	-16(2)
O(5)	20(2)	19(2)	35(2)	-1(1)	-12(2)	-2(1)
O(6)	21(2)	23(2)	16(2)	1(1)	-3(1)	-4(1)
Re(2)	15(1)	16(1)	17(1)	3(1)	-6(1)	-2(1)

Table S5. Hydrogen coordinates (x 10^4) and isotropic displacement parameters (A² x 10^3) for **31a**

	Х	у	Z	U(eq)
H(4)	6404	9788	1235	23
H(5)	3692	9999	1313	24
H(6)	2138	8712	2984	22
H(8)	6501	8386	2889	22
H(10A)	3418	5956	6177	39
H(10B)	3318	7476	6620	39
H(11A)	4949	6181	7286	30
H(11B)	5881	5520	6067	30
H(12A)	7113	7196	6674	37
H(12B)	5889	8311	6515	37
H(13A)	8736	8829	5217	36
H(13B)	7290	9531	5002	36
H(15)	9186	10875	3504	30
H(17A)	9491	12703	2373	52
H(17B)	11022	13267	1553	52
H(17C)	11011	12388	2619	52
H(18A)	8075	5493	4946	39
H(18B)	9357	6468	4874	39
H(19A)	8228	6005	3133	41
H(19B)	9928	5636	3057	41
H(21)	10527	6983	1257	27
H(23A)	12521	7241	-324	42
H(23B)	12447	8138	-1360	42
H(23C)	10948	7569	-493	42
H(1)	5550(70)	7400(60)	4690(50)	42(17)

5. Binding affinities of compound 20a for the additional receptors and transporters in the CNS

1		
Receptors/transporters	% Inhibition	K_{i} (nM)
Dopamine D _{2L} receptor	7	
NMDA receptor	18	
Opiate receptor (non-selective)	18	
DAT	14	
NET	11	
SERT	17	
VAChT		7026 ± 163

Table S6. Binding affinities of compound 20a for the additional receptors and transporters in the CNS*

*% inhibition was determined at the concentration of 10 μ M.

6. In vitro evaluation of [99mTc]21b and [99mTc]24b in C6 glioma cells

In vitro cell binding and blocking studies of $[^{99m}Tc]$ **21b** and $[^{99m}Tc]$ **24b** were carried out in C6 glioma cells (Figure S3). $[^{99m}Tc]$ **21b** and $[^{99m}Tc]$ **24b** displayed comparable uptakes with 4.88% and 5.12%, respectively. Compared to $[^{99m}Tc]$ **20b**, treatment with 10⁻⁶ mol/L of haloperidol couldn't lead to significant reduction of the radiotracer uptake, suggesting high nonspecific binding of $[^{99m}Tc]$ **21b** and $[^{99m}Tc]$ **24b** and $[^{99m}Tc]$ **24b** in C6 glioma cells.



Figure S3. The *in vitro* uptakes of [^{99m}Tc]**21b** and [^{99m}Tc]**24b** in C6 glioma cells.

7. Biodistribution and blocking studies of [^{99m}Tc]25b in male ICR mice

Considering nanomolar affinity of $[^{99m}Tc]25b$ for σ_1 receptors, the *in vivo* biodistribution and blocking studies were carried out in male ICR mice. $[^{99m}Tc]23b$ showed much lower brain uptake than $[^{99m}Tc]20b$ and $[^{99m}Tc]23b$. Moreover, pretreatment with haloperidol didn't lead to significant reduction of accumulation in the organs known to express σ_1 receptors, suggesting high nonspecific binding of $[^{99m}Tc]25b$ *in vivo*.

Organ	2 min	15 min	30 min	60 min	120 min	240 min
Blood	1.73 ± 0.19	0.69 ± 0.09	0.46 ± 0.02	0.38 ± 0.09	0.28 ± 0.03	0.30 ± 0.05

Table S7. Biodistribution of [^{99m}Tc]**25b** in male ICR mice^a

Brain	1.05 ± 0.06	0.95 ± 0.08	0.73 ± 0.10	0.50 ± 0.09	0.32 ± 0.07	0.32 ± 0.04
Heart	11.81 ± 0.83	3.13 ± 0.29	2.10 ± 0.56	1.42 ± 0.18	0.94 ± 0.15	0.95 ± 0.17
Liver	8.47 ± 0.82	19.87 ± 2.56	21.97 ± 3.75	26.49 ± 3.99	26.96 ± 2.37	27.07 ± 1.90
Spleen	4.31 ± 0.63	6.64 ± 1.20	5.86 ± 1.10	3.99 ± 0.54	1.78 ± 0.32	1.04 ± 0.21
Lung	38.74 ± 5.39	10.32 ± 1.79	7.01 ± 2.03	5.59 ± 0.92	4.08 ± 0.63	3.89 ± 0.69
Kidney	17.87 ± 2.31	14.99 ± 1.32	12.18 ± 1.00	11.77 ± 1.20	11.28 ± 1.75	11.25 ± 1.97
Small intestine ^b	3.66 ± 0.41	6.14 ± 1.68	7.63 ± 2.42	9.92 ± 0.60	9.16 ± 0.81	9.85 ± 1.51
Stomach ^b	1.29 ± 0.18	2.04 ± 0.40	1.74 ± 0.44	1.76 ± 0.43	1.06 ± 0.24	0.82 ± 0.12
Muscle	3.60 ± 0.42	2.09 ± 0.25	1.37 ± 0.32	0.94 ± 0.21	0.53 ± 0.06	0.49 ± 0.05
Thyroid ^b	0.13 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.02	0.06 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01

^aData are expressed as percentage of injected dose per gram, means \pm SD, n = 5. ^bPercentage of injected dose per organ.





Figure S4. Effects of pretreatment with blocking agents 5 min prior to the injection of [99m Tc]**25b** on the biodistribution in male ICR mice. Student's *t* test (independent, two-tailed) was performed, and p > 0.05 (except in the spleen 60 min after intravenous injection).

8. Small animal SPECT/CT imaging of [99mTc]20b



Figure S5. Representative coronal and sagittal plane slices of NanoScan SPECT/CT fusion images of [^{99m}Tc]**20b** (22.2 MBq, 0.15 mL) in male Balb/c nude mouse bearing C6 glioma xenografts after 180 min postinjection. Isoflurane was used for anesthesia.

References

1. Xie, F.; Kniess, T.; Neuber, C.; Deuther-Conrad, W.; Mamat, C.; Lieberman, B. P.; Liu, B.; Mach, R. H.; Brust, P.; Steinbach, J.; Pietzsch, J.; Jia, H. Novel indole-based sigma-2 receptor ligands: synthesis, structure-affinity relationship and antiproliferative activity. *Med. Chem. Comm.* **2015**, 6, 1093-1103.

2. Sheldrick, G. SADABS, program for empirical absorption correction of area detector data. *University of Göttingen, Germany*, **1996**.

3. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97 Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structure from Diraction Data. *University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany*, **1997**.

4. Fan, C.; Jia, H.; Deuther-Conrad, W.; Brust, P.; Steinbach, J.; Liu, B. Novel 99m Tc labeled σ receptor ligand as a potential tumor imaging agent. *Sci. China Ser. B* **2006**, 49, 169-176.

5. Li, Y.; Wang, X.; Zhang, J.; Deuther-Conrad, W.; Xie, F.; Zhang, X.; Liu, J.; Qiao, J.; Cui, M.; Steinbach, J.; Brust, P.; Liu, B.; Jia, H. Synthesis and evaluation of novel ¹⁸F-labeled spirocyclic piperidine derivatives as σ_1 receptor ligands for positron emission tomography imaging. *J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, 56, 3478-3491.

6. Sorger, D.; Scheunemann, M.; Grossmann, U.; Fischer, S.; Vercouille, J.; Hiller, A.; Wenzel, B.; Roghani, A.; Schliebs, R.; Brust, P.; Sabri, O.; Steinbach, J. A new ¹⁸F-labeled fluoroacetylmorpholino derivative of vesamicol for neuroimaging of the vesicular acetylcholine transporter. *Nucl. Med. Biol.* **2008**, *35*, 185-195.

7. Grandy, D. K.; Marchionni, M. A.; Makam, H.; Stofko, R. E.; Alfano, M.; Frothingham, L.; Fischer, J. B.; Burke-Howie, K. J.; Bunzow, J. R.; Server, A. C.; et, al. Cloning of the cDNA and gene for a human D2 dopamine receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 1989, 86, 9762-9766.

8. Hayes, G.; Biden, T. J.; Selbie, L. A.; Shine, J. Structural subtypes of the dopamine D2 receptor are functionally distinct: expression of the cloned D2A and D2B subtypes in a heterologous cell line. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 1992, 6, 920-926.

9. Goldman, M. E.; Jacobson, A. E.; Rice, K. C.; Paul, S. M. Differentiation of [³H]phencyclidine and (+)-[³H]SKF-10,047 binding sites in rat cerebral cortex. *FEBS Lett.* 1985, 190, 333-336.

10. Pasternak, G. W.; Wilson, H. A.; Snyder, S. H. Differential effects of protein-modifying reagents on receptor binding of opiate agonists and antagonists. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 1975, 11, 340-351.

11. Childers, S. R.; Creese, I.; Snowman, A. M.; Synder, S. H. Opiate receptor binding affected differentially by opiates and opioid peptides. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1979**, 55, 11-18.

12. Giros, B.; Caron, M. G. Molecular characterization of the dopamine transporter. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **1993**, 14, 43-49.

13. Gu, H.; Wall, S. C.; Rudnick, G. Stable expression of biogenic amine transporters reveals differences in inhibitor sensitivity, kinetics, and ion dependence. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1994, 269, 7124-7130.

14. Galli, A.; DeFelice, L. J.; Duke, B.-J.; Moore, K. R.; Blakely, R. D. Sodium-dependent norepinephrine-induced currents in norepinephrine-transporter-transfected HEK-293 cells blocked by cocaine and antidepressants. *J. Exp. Biol.* 1995, 198, 2197-2212.

15. Wolf, W. A.; Kuhn, D. M. Role of essential sulfhydryl groups in drug interactions at the neuronal 5-HT transporter. Differences between amphetamines and 5-HT uptake inhibitors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1992, 267, 20820-20825.

16. Shearman, L. P.; McReynolds, A. M.; Zhou, F. C.; Meyer, J. S. Relationship between [¹²⁵I]RTI-55-labeled cocaine binding sites and the serotonin transporter in rat placenta. *Am. J. Physiol.* **1998**, 275, C1621-C1629.

17. Wang, X.; Li, D.; Deuther-Conrad, W.; Lu, J.; Xie, Y.; Jia, B.; Cui, M.; Steinbach, J.; Brust, P.; Liu, B.; Jia, H. Novel cyclopentadienyl tricarbonyl ^{99m}Tc complexes containing 1-piperonylpiperazine moiety: potential imaging probes for sigma-1 receptors. *J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, 57, 7113-7125.

18. Chen, X.; Cui, M.-C.; Deuther-Conrad, W.; Tu, Y.-F.; Ma, T.; Xie, Y.; Jia, B.; Li, Y.; Xie, F.; Wang, X.; Steinbach, J.; Brust, P.; Liu, B.-L.; Jia, H.-M. Synthesis and biological evaluation of a novel ^{99m}Tc cyclopentadienyl tricarbonyl complex ([(Cp-R)^{99m}Tc(CO)₃]) for sigma-2 receptor tumor imaging. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2012**, 22, 6352-6357.

19. Saidi, M.; Kothari, K.; Pillai, M. R. A.; Hassan, A.; Sarma, H. D.; Chaudhari, P. R.; Unnikrishnan, T. P.; Korde, A.; Azzouz, Z. Cyclopentadienyl technetium (^{99m}Tc) tricarbonyl piperidine conjugates: biodistribution and imaging studies. *J. Labelled Compd. Rad.* **2001**, 44, 603-618.