Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR)



Radiation-Hard Ceramic Resistive Plate Chambers for Forward TOF and T0 Systems

Akindinov, A.; Dreyer, J.; Fan, X.; Kämpfer, B.; Kiselev, S.; Kotte, R.; Laso Garcia, A.; Malkevich, D.; Naumann, L.; Nedosekin, A.; Plotnikov, V.; Stach, D.; Sultanov, R.; Voloshin, K.;

Originally published:

June 2016

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 845(2017), 203

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.06.076

Perma-Link to Publication Repository of HZDR:

https://www.hzdr.de/publications/Publ-23851

Release of the secondary publication on the basis of the German Copyright Law § 38 Section 4.

CC BY-NC-ND

Radiation-Hard Ceramic Resistive Plate Chambers for Forward TOF and T0 Systems

A. Akindinov^{a,*}, J. Dreyer^b, X. Fan^b, B. Kämpfer^b, S. Kiselev^a, R. Kotte^b,
 A. Laso Garcia^b, D. Malkevich^a, L. Naumann^b, A. Nedosekin^a, V. Plotnikov^a,
 D. Stach^b, R. Sultanov^a, K. Voloshin^a

^aInstitute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia ^bHelmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Dresden, Germany

8 Abstract

Resistive Plate Chambers with ceramic electrodes are the main candidates
to be used in precise multi-channel timing systems operating in high-radiation
conditions. We report the latest R&D results on these detectors aimed to meet
the requirements of the forward T0 counter at the CBM experiment. RPC design, gas mixture, limits on the bulk resistivity of ceramic electrodes, efficiency,
time resolution, counting rate capabilities and ageing test results are presented. *Keywords:*Multi-gap RPC, ceramic RPC, bulk resistivity, high rate, TOF, time

¹⁷ resolution, efficiency, CBM, T0, BFTC

¹⁸ *PACS:* 29.40.Cs

19 1. Introduction

Precise determination of the collision time T_0 and the reaction plane in high-20 energy experiments at accelerators of elementary particles and heavy ions are of 21 essential importance for time-of-flight measurements and further physics data 22 analysis. For this purpose, T0 systems are implemented, such as the one operat-23 ing in the ALICE detector [1], which also provides inputs to the trigger system 24 and luminosity measurements. A significant advance in development of Resistive 25 Plate Chambers (RPC) as high-resolution timing detectors was achieved during 26 the last two decades, mostly during the R&D for the ALICE TOF project [2]. 27

^{*}Corresponding author

Preffingiluddites the energy Akindinov@cern.ch (A. Akindinov) June 14, 2016

The resulting chambers represent multiple layers of electrically floating glass 28 electrodes with pad readout and have been successfully implemented in the AL-29 ICE [3] and STAR [4] experiments. Having proved to be a reliable solution 30 in mid-rapidity region, the RPCs of this design, however, manifest poor rate 31 capabilities and high cross-talk rate, unacceptable in the forward region. In 32 this case, a low-resistivity and radiation-hard material of the RPC electrodes 33 combined with chessboard-like single pad readout is considered as a preferable 34 solution. 35

³⁶ 2. Beam Fragmentation T0 Counter for the CBM TOF Detector

Every time-of-flight (TOF) measurement requires the reference time T_0 , or 37 start time, referring to the moment of collision. The proposed solution for the 38 start time determination in the Compressed Baryonic Matter (CBM) experi-39 ment [5] at the planned Facility for Antiprotons and Ion Research (FAIR) in 40 Darmstadt, Germany, is the Beam Fragmentation T0 Counter (BFTC) [6]. It 41 should cover the region from about 20 to 60 cm from the beam pipe (overlapping 42 with the acceptance of the Projectile Spectator Detector) and be positioned in 43 the centre of the TOF wall, 6 m away from the target (Fig. 1). In addition 44 to T0 measurements, it will provide for particle identification and the reaction 45 plane determination during heavy-ion collisions. 46

SHIELD simulations predict that the BFTC region will be exposed to harsh conditions with the particle flux being as high as 2×10^5 Hz/cm² [7]. In this environment, BFTC will have to provide the time resolution below 60 ps and the registration efficiency above 98%. A single cell size of 20×20 mm² is limited by the requirement that the double-hit probability should not exceed 2%. The electrical cross-talks between neighbouring cells that may produce false signals should stay within 1-2%.

As discussed elsewhere [8-11], current efforts are concentrated on RPCs with electrodes made of low-resistivity Si_3N_4/SiC ceramics that have proven to operate well in the high radiation environment and provide good rate capabilities.



Figure 1: Positioning, size and structure of BFTC in the CBM experiment.

The bulk resistivity of Si_3N_4/SiC is variable within a wide range from $10^7 \ \Omega \ cm$ 57 to $10^{12} \ \Omega$ cm and can thus be optimized for BFTC operating conditions. A sin-58 gle RPC cell represents a 3-layer sandwich of double-gap RPCs (see Fig. 2). The 59 outer electrodes are made of aluminium oxide Al₂O₃ covered by metal evapora-60 tion with thin conductive layers of Cu/Cr that are used for high voltage distri-61 bution and signal readout. Si₃N₄/SiC electrodes are used as internal electrodes 62 and are kept electrically floating. All electrodes have Rogowski-shaped edges 63 (internal Si₃N₄/SiC electrodes—on both sides) to minimize the electric break-64 down probability. Gas-filled gaps are kept 250 μ m wide by means of rectangular 65 spacers made of Al₂O₃. Such multilayer design implements the Dielectric Re-66 sistive Plate Chamber technology that has long since proved to be a promising 67 timing technique for high radiation conditions [12]. The working gas is mixed of 68 $C_2H_2F_4$ and SF_6 in 90%/10% or 95%/5% proportions. As reported in [9], the 69 use of iso-butane has been abandoned due to its observed harmful effect on the 70 surface of metallized electrodes resulting in the formation of localized polymer 71 whiskers. 72



Figure 2: Structure of a single cell of BFTC.

73 3. Latest Progress on RPCs for BFTC

In 2015, the finalized design of outer RPC electrodes was implemented. T5 Cu/Cr layer is now coated with an improved mask on grooved Al_2O_3 ceramic telectrodes sized 2×2 cm². For best timing performance, the signal is read-out trom the centres of electrodes: the soldering spot may be seen as a white dot the electrode surface in top right photo in Fig. 2.

The optimal bulk resistivity of the floating electrodes was studied with beams 79 of 30 MeV electrons in November 2015 at the radiation source ELBE at HZDR 80 [13]. Four final size chambers $(2 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2, 6 \times 250 \mu \text{m gaps})$ with different 81 values of resistivity of the floating electrodes, were produced. Namely, mCRPC0 82 had floating electrodes with the bulk resistivity of $2 \times 10^{10} \Omega$ cm, mCRPC1— 83 $3 \times 10^9 \ \Omega \ \mathrm{cm}, \ \mathrm{mCRPC2} - 5 \times 10^8 \ \Omega \ \mathrm{cm}, \ \mathrm{mCRPC3} - 7 \times 10^9 \ \Omega \ \mathrm{cm}.$ Test results for 84 all four chambers are compared in Figs. 3 and 4. The chamber mCRPC2 became 85 unstable already at 87-88 kV/cm producing multiple streamers and manifesting 86 poor time resolution of over 140 ps. The rest of the chambers showed stable 87 and efficient operation. The chamber mCRPC3 could not be tested within the 88 whole electric field range due to the lack of the beam time. RPCs manifested 89 the efficiency of 95-97% under particle fluxes of a few kHz/cm2. It has been 90 observed that for higher fluxes, the bulk resistivity of $10^{10} \Omega$ cm is too high. 91 resulting in the drop of efficiency to 74% under 160 kHz/cm². Due to technical 92 problems, the chambers mCRPC1 and mCRPC3 were measured only up to 70 93 kHz/cm^2 . The drop of efficiency was related to the resistivity of the chambers 94 as was expected. The bulk resistivity of the floating electrodes of the order of 95 $10^9 \ \Omega$ cm has proved to be the most appropriate for BFTC purposes. 96

Two samples of low-resistivity ceramic plates were exposed to non-ionizing radiation doses of the order of $10^{13} n_{eq}/cm^2$ at the neutron beam of MEDAPP at FRM II in Munich [14]. The bulk resistivity of both probes was measured before and after the irradiation, and decreased by a factor of 2. This decrease has no impact on the efficiency and time resolution. Irradiation of the Al₂O₃ electrodes with fluxes of up to $10^{15} n_{eq}/cm^2$ does not lead to any degradation



Figure 3: Efficiency and time resolution (after time-walk correction) measured with different types of 2×2 cm² chambers as a function of applied electric field.



Figure 4: Efficiency of $2 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2$ chambers as a function of hit rate.

103 of detector performance.

4. Conclusions and outlook

The presented R&D results look very promising. The radiation hardness of 105 ceramics has been confirmed. Use of the 90% $C_2H_2F_4$ / 10% SF_6 gas mixture 106 showed no aging effects on the RPC material after a few months of continuous 107 operation. Rough limits for the optimal bulk resistivity of internal electrodes 108 have been found. A more precise scan of 8 new chambers with the bulk resistivity 109 of internal electrodes between $1.5 \times 10^9 \ \Omega$ cm and $8 \times 10^9 \ \Omega$ cm is scheduled 110 to be performed in 2016 at the ELBE accelerator. The goal is to find the best 111 resistivity value that could provide a stable RPC operation with the efficiency 112 above 95% within the whole range of hit rates up to 200 kHz/cm^2 . 113

Also, a significant improvement of the time resolution is expected with the implementation of advanced CBM PADI front-end electronics.

- [1] A. Maevskaya for the ALICE Collaboration, PoS (Baldin ISHEPP XXI)
 (2012) 110.
- [2] A. Akindinov, P. Fonte, F. Formenti, et al., IEEE Transactions on Nuclear
 Science, Vol. 48, No. 5 (2001) 1658.
- [3] E. Scapparone and the TOF group, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 34 (2007)
 S725.
- [4] W. J. Llope for the STAR Collaboration, Proceedings of the 24th Winter
 Workshop on Nuclear Dynamics (2008).
- ¹²⁴ [5] P. Senger, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 28 (2002) 186.
- ¹²⁵ [6] S. M. Kiselev, CBM Progress Report 2013.
- ¹²⁶ [7] R. I. Sultanov, CBM Progress Report 2013.
- [8] L. Naumann, R. Kotte, D. Stach, J. Wüstenfeld, Nucl. Instr. and Meth.
 A635 (2011) S113.

- [9] A. Laso Garcia, A. Akindinov, J. Hutsch, et al., CBM Progress Report
 2012.
- [10] A. Laso Garcia, A. Akindinov, J. Hutsch, et al., CBM Progress Report
 2013.
- [11] A. Laso Garcia, A. Akindinov, J. Hutsch, et al., CBM Progress Report
 2014.
- [12] A. Akindinov, V. Golovine, A. Martemianov, et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth.
 A494 (2002) 474.
- ¹³⁷ [13] ELBE—Centre for High Power Radiation Sources,
 ¹³⁸ (https://www.hzdr.de/db/Cms?pNid=145).
- [14] F. M. Wagner, P. Kneschaurek, A. Kastenmüller, et al., Strahlenther
 Onkol., 184 (2008) 643.