Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR)



### Multidentate extracting agents based on calix[4]arene scaffold – U<sup>VI</sup>/ Eu<sup>III</sup> separation studies

Bauer, A.; Jäschke, A.; Shams Aldin Azzam, S.; Glasneck, F.; Ullmann, S.; Kersting, B.; Brendler, V.; Schmeide, K.; Stumpf, T.;

Originally published:

December 2018

#### Separation and Purification Technology 213(2019), 246-254

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.12.041

Perma-Link to Publication Repository of HZDR:

https://www.hzdr.de/publications/Publ-27831

Release of the secondary publication on the basis of the German Copyright Law § 38 Section 4.

CC BY-NC-ND

# Multidentate extracting agents based on calix[4]arene scaffold – U<sup>VI</sup>/Eu<sup>III</sup> separation studies

Anne Bauer<sup>a</sup>, Astrid Jäschke<sup>a</sup>, Salim Shams Aldin Azzam<sup>a</sup>, Florian Glasneck<sup>b</sup>, Steve Ullmann<sup>b</sup>, Berthold Kersting<sup>b</sup>, Vinzenz Brendler<sup>a</sup>, Katja Schmeide<sup>a</sup>,\*, Thorsten Stumpf<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden – Rossendorf, Institute of Resource Ecology, Bautzner Landstraße 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Universität Leipzig, Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Johannisallee 29, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

\* Corresponding author. phone: +49 351 260 2436; fax: +49 351 260 3553. E-mail address: k.schmeide@hzdr.de (Katja Schmeide).

#### ABSTRACT

The development of new ligand systems for solvent extraction demands knowledge of the fundamental chemistry behind these processes. Thus, herein, we report the synthesis and structural characterization of a 6-hydroxymethylpyridine functionalized calix[4]arene (L<sup>2</sup>). Its complexation behavior towards the divalent uranyl cation as well as the trivalent europium cation was spectroscopically studied. In solution the formation of two uranyl complex species with L<sup>2</sup> was proven by spectrophotometric titration experiments, with stability constants of log  $B_{1:1} = 5.82\pm0.04$  and log  $B_{2:1} = 5.57\pm0.05$ , and of one europium complex species (log  $B_{1:1} = 6.85\pm0.03$ ). In addition, the concept of the desired proper planar binding pocket for the linear uranyl cation has been verified in solution. Moreover, comparative U<sup>VI</sup> and Eu<sup>III</sup> extraction studies with L<sup>2</sup> and a structure related 8-hydroxyquinoline modified calix[4]arene (L<sup>1</sup>) were performed in which the effects of various conditions such as pH of the aqueous phase, presence of relevant anions (sulfate, phosphate, carbonate) and competitive extraction with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) were investigated. It has been shown that both macrocyclic ligands offer a strong chelate effect, which enables them to extract even stable uranyl hydrolysis species. With these ligands, the selective separation of U<sup>VI</sup> from Eu<sup>III</sup>- containing solutions is possible under a variety of conditions.

**Keywords:** calix[4]arene / uranium(VI) / europium(III) / liquid-liquid extraction / spectroscopic properties

#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Motivation

The development of efficient liquid-liquid extraction processes is an ongoing task in fields of recovery of rare earths [1-4], waste treatment [5-7] and recycling procedures [8-10]. In some of these contexts, the separation of uranium is of great concern as rare earth deposits often contain this radioactive element which must be separated efficiently before the rare earths can be processed further [11]. Moreover, due to chemotoxic properties of all uranium isotopes, special process conditions and specific extracting agents are demanded [12-16]. In general, good extracting agents should have high solubility in nonpolar organic solvents, phase disengagement properties, and high selectivity towards a specific metal cation. With regard to environmental aspects it would be preferable to extract at more circumneutral pH values than working under strongly acidic conditions. Multidentate ligands that are characterized by a preorganized binding pocket, showing a strong chelate effect as well as high solubility in organic solvents, have been identified as a promising class of molecules for the complexation of metal ions [17-27]. Among others, calix[4]arene-based ligands have proven their suitability as extracting agents as they can be tailored to exactly bind a specific metal ion [28-36]. A prominent example which proves the potential of these compounds is the calix[4]arene derivative with the well-established extracting moiety CMPO (carbamoylmethylphosphine oxide) [37] attached to the upper rim. The resulting ligand clearly exceeds the extraction efficiency for the separation of lanthanides and actinides compared to CMPO alone [38, 39]. So mostly, these chalice-like molecules are used as scaffolds for the construction of large organic ligand systems where the binding pocket for guest molecules is not coercively the cavity itself [40-45]. With regard to their modifiability Böhmer et al. [46] has already described these tert-butyl-phenol based macrocycles as molecules with almost unlimited possibilities.

However, studies of particular interest are those that lead to an improved knowledge of fundamental chemistry, eventually increasing selectivity of new ligand systems for extraction [47, 48]. One essential part is the understanding of the coordination chemistry of the target metal cation. For the hexavalent uranium a planar binding pocket would be preferable as it can coordinate to the basal plane of the linear uranyl cation ( $UO_2^{2+}$ ). Thus, 8-hydroxyquinoline and similar heteroaromatic ring systems seem to be promising [49]. Additionally, reliable thermodynamic data are required to associate a correct speciation to the dynamic equilibria in solution and thus, to develop process understanding.

#### 1.2 General concept

Recently, we reported the good extraction ability of an 8-hydroxyquinoline based calix[4]arene (Figure 1, L<sup>1</sup>) towards U<sup>VI</sup> [50] as well as trivalent lanthanides (Eu<sup>III</sup>, Tb<sup>III</sup>) [51]. Based on that well-working system, a structurally related 6-hydroxymethylpyridine based calix[4]arene was synthesized (Figure 1, L<sup>2</sup>), which led to substantial cost savings during synthesis. The combination of Schiff bases [52] and heteroaromatic units [53] with the rigid macrocyclic backbone is expected to enhance the chelate effect [54] and to preorganize the binding pocket. Consequently, the affinity of the ligand for metal ions can be controlled. This could pave the way to very selective extracting agents to separate U<sup>VI</sup> from lanthanide-containing concentrates under a wide range of conditions, e.g., relevant for water

purification purposes, waste treatment or metal recycling. Moreover, the macrocyclic backbone enhances the solubility in nonpolar organic solvents, which is indispensable for an application as extracting agent.



**Figure 1.** Calix[4]arene-based ligands with 8-hydroxyquinoline (left) and 6-hydroxymethylpyridine (right) substituents at the lower rim.

The combination of hard (O) with soft (N or S) donor atoms in proximity to each other allows the separation of 5*f* and 4*f* elements [55-59] and thus, to control the selectivity of the ligand by varying the extraction parameters. Both ligands, L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>2</sup>, exhibit two oxygen and two nitrogen donor atoms at each substituent (Figure 2). Hence, by complexation of a metal cation at least three five-membered rings can be formed and it is possible to establish a coordination number of eight (only by ligand donor atoms) as described for the dinuclear Eu<sup>III</sup> complex [51]. In addition, since the ligands were designed as complexing agents mainly for U<sup>VI</sup> a flat rigid binding pocket was in mind. Due to the linear arrangement of UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> it is advantageous to have a planar binding pocket (sp2 nature of the aromatic units as well as C=N) which can coordinate to the basal plane of the coordination polyhedron of the uranyl cation as shown for our previously reported mononuclear U<sup>VI</sup> complex with L<sup>1</sup>[50].





In general, stability against radiolysis and at acidic/basic conditions is an important requirement for extracting agents with regard to an application in spent fuel processing. However, the main application of the present calix[4]arene-based ligands is foreseen for environmentally related separation problems. Hence, due to the low activity of natural uranium (isotope mixture with <sup>238</sup>U as major component) no radiolytic damages of the ligands are expected or have been observed in our experiments. Moreover, the calix[4]arene-based ligands are stable in the pH range 3 – 9, otherwise

the imine bond would hydrolyze (Scheme S1). Thus, their direct application for the separation of U<sup>VI</sup> from highly acidic rare earth concentrates of leached ores is not possible, only after an additional process step during which the pH is raised.

The main differences between  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  are the different solubilities mainly resulting from the heteroaromatic units and the unequal  $pK_a$  values of the hydroxyl groups, which clearly influence the extraction behavior of the ligands (see section below). The  $pK_a$  values for 8-hydroxyquinoline [60] and methanol in water are approximately 9 and 15, respectively. The ability of aprotic solvents like acetonitrile and chloroform to stabilize a proton is quite low, consequently, the importance of internal hydrogen bonding is significant [61]. The  $pK_a$  values for calixarenes in acetonitrile have been determined by selective titration with bases using a spectrophotometric method [62]. In this, a variety of organic bases were used in order to indirectly determine the protonation grade of the calixarenes. However, this requires that the electronic spectrum of the calix[4]arene-based ligand itself has no absorption bands in the wavelength region where the used base absorbs. In our systems this would be very difficult and therefore, we did not determine the explicit acid strengths of L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>2</sup>.

In this work, we present the synthesis of ligand  $L^2$  and its coordination properties towards  $Eu^{III}$  and  $U^{VI}$  by determination of stability constants as well as stoichiometries of formed complexes in acetonitrile solution. Acetonitrile was used as a representative and photochemically stable, aprotic solvent with the advantage of ensuring sufficient solubility of the calix[4]arene-based ligands, the metal salts, and the as-formed complex species. The concentrations of the ligand and of the metal salts have been chosen with respect to the applied analytical methods. Additionally, we compared  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  concerning their extraction properties towards  $U^{VI}$  and  $Eu^{III}$  in dependence on pH, studied the influence of relevant anions and the competitive extraction with EDTA. Based on the results, we summarized and identified favorable and basic conditions for the separation of  $U^{VI}$  from lanthanide-containing solutions for both functionalized calix[4]arene ligands  $L^1$  and  $L^2$ .

#### 2 Results and discussion

#### 2.1 Synthesis and characterization

## 5,11,17,23-Tetra-*p-tert*-butyl-25,27-bis[(6-hydroxymethylpyridine-2-carbaldehyde-hydrazone-carbonylmethoxy)]-26,28-dihydroxycalix[4]arene (L<sup>2</sup>)

The new calix[4]arene-based ligand L<sup>2</sup> was synthesized via a modular synthesis procedure starting from *p-tert*-butylcalix[4]arene in overall four steps, similar to L<sup>1</sup> [51]. L<sup>2</sup> was characterized by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, attenuated total reflection Fourier-transform infrared (ATR FT-IR) spectroscopy, electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) and elemental analysis (cf. section 4.4 and supplementary material Figures S1–S4). The flexibility of L<sup>2</sup> in solution demands heating to 90 °C for the NMR measurements. Thus, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) does not show a sharp signal pattern of a 2,6 disubstituted pyridine with two doublets and one triplet, but two doublets and one broad singlet in the region between 7.3 and 7.9 ppm. The hydroxyl group as well as imine proton generate a singlet at 11.6 and 7.8 ppm, respectively. The pair of doublets (<sup>2</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 13.1 Hz, 3.46 ppm, 4.37 ppm) induced by the bridging methylene groups of the calix[4]arene is entirely consistent with the expected C<sub>2v</sub> symmetrical structure. The ESI mass

spectrum reveals one major peak at m/z 1053.5 which corresponds to the Na<sup>+</sup> adduct of L<sup>2</sup> ([L<sup>2</sup>+Na<sup>+</sup>]<sup>+</sup>). In the ATR FT-IR spectrum of L<sup>2</sup> the characteristic N–H as well as C=O vibrations of the carbonyl-hydrazone units were observed at 3431 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1698 cm<sup>-1</sup> which is in good correspondence to data published previously [50, 51].

#### 2.2 Spectrophotometric titrations

To determine the stability constants and complex stoichiometries of  $L^2$  with  $U^{VI}$  as well as  $Eu^{III}$  in solution, a series of UV/Vis spectrophotometric batch titrations [63] was carried out in acetonitrile containing a supporting electrolyte to ensure a constant ionic strength. Figure 3 (left) shows the change of the UV/Vis absorption spectra with increasing concentration of UO<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O. The spectrum of L<sup>2</sup> (black line) is characterized by a strong band with a maximum at 200 nm attributed to  $\pi - \pi^*$  transitions of the calix[4] arene backbone (not influenced by complexation, not shown in Figure 3) and one absorption at 290 nm with a shoulder at 303 nm corresponding to  $n-\pi^*$  /  $\pi-\pi^*$  transitions of the aromatic units. With increasing metal ion concentration the absorption band at 290 nm decreases and due to charge-transfer transitions a new broad absorption band with maxima at 318 nm and 330 nm as well as a broad shoulder at 363 nm evolves. These findings indicate the involvement of the pyridine ring in complexation and suggest that a 1:1 stoichiometry is the limiting complex under the described conditions (Figure S5). However, factor analysis of the absorption spectra by the program suite HypSpec [64] affirmed the presence of the free ligand and two successive uranyl L<sup>2</sup> complexes and conditional stability constants of the  $[(UO_2)(L^2)]$  and  $[(UO_2)_2(L^2)]$  complexes (log  $\mathcal{B}_{1:1} = 5.82 \pm 0.04$ , log  $\beta_{2:1}$  = 5.57±0.05) were calculated. Applying these data, the species distribution shown in Figure 3 (right) was derived.



**Figure 3.** UV/Vis spectra of batch titration of  $L^2$  (2.5<sup>-10<sup>-5</sup></sup> M) with increasing U<sup>VI</sup> concentration (0 – 4 eq.) in 10<sup>-2</sup> M NaClO<sub>4</sub> acetonitrile solution (left) and distribution of the L<sup>2</sup> species as a function of U<sup>VI</sup> equivalents (right).

In accordance with this, ESI mass spectra of acetonitrile/methanol solutions containing  $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  and  $L^2$  in different molar ratios also revealed 1:1 as well as 2:1 uranium-ligand complex species (Figure S8). In addition, with this method, nitrate anions acting as co-ligands, which cannot be detected by spectrophotometric investigations, were identified.

In the batch titrations of  $L^2$  with  $Eu^{III}$  similar changes of the electronic spectra were observed with increasing amounts of  $Eu(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  (Figure S6). For europium, nonlinear least-square refinements

with HypSpec converged consistently for a speciation model involving 1:1 complex species (log  $\beta_{1,1} = 6.85 \pm 0.03$ ). Compared to these results, the spectrophotometric titrations of L<sup>1</sup> and Eu<sup>III</sup> (Figure S7) showed also an inflection point at one equivalent of Eu<sup>III</sup> indicating a 1:1 stoichiometry. However, this analysis method cannot clearly differentiate between a 1:1 and a possible 2:2 stoichiometry which was found for Eu<sup>III</sup> and L<sup>1</sup> in the solid state [51]. Thus, in line with the isolated solid complex  $[Eu_2(L^2)_2)]$  we propose also in solution a polynuclear (2:2) complex species with  $L^2$ , which is additionally consistent with the isolated tetranuclear Eu<sup>III</sup> complex with L<sup>2</sup> in solid state (cf. supplementary material, section 6). Table 1 summarizes the respective stability constants of L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>2</sup> with the trivalent europium cation as well as the divalent uranyl cation. In general, both ligands form 1:1 (2:2) complexes with  $Eu^{III}$ , which are slightly more stable than the  $U^{VI}$  species. Moreover, with  $L^2$ and the uranyl cation at least two complex species are formed under the given conditions. These findings agree well with the recently reported  $U^{VI}$  complexes with  $L^1$  concerning the stoichiometry as well as stability constants [50]. For the separation of U<sup>VI</sup> from lanthanide-containing solutions, a 2:1 complex stoichiometry ([ $(UO_2)_2(L^2)$ ]) would be more efficient since two metal cations are complexed by one ligand (extracting agent). However, the complexes formed in acetonitrile solution have not coercively a relationship to the structure of the extracted species in chloroform. Nevertheless, the coordination mode of the binding pocket of the calix[4]arene-based ligands could be clearly identified and is in agreement with all analytical results obtained in solution as well as in solid state.

	Eu <sup>m</sup>	U <sup>VI</sup>
L <sup>1</sup>	$\log \beta_{1:1} = 7.53 \pm 0.04$ [65]	$\log \beta_{1:1} = 5.94 \pm 0.02$ [50]
		$\log \beta_{2:1} = 6.33 \pm 0.01$ [50]
L <sup>2</sup>	$\log \beta_{1:1} = 6.85 \pm 0.03$	$\log B_{1:1} = 5.82 \pm 0.04$
		$\log B_{2:1} = 5.57 \pm 0.05$

**Table 1.** Stability constants log  $\mathcal{B}$  for L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>2</sup> with Eu<sup>III</sup> and U<sup>VI</sup>.

Errors represent two standard deviations.

#### 2.3 Liquid-liquid extractions

For a better understanding of the extraction behavior of the calix[4]arene-based ligands, comprehensive extraction studies were performed under a variety of conditions. Due to leaching of ores with acids [2, 66-68] the aqueous concentrates contain additional components, namely sulfate or phosphate. Carbonate plays a role for more environmentally relevant scenarios, e.g., for the separation of metal ions from aqueous solution to attenuate environmental contamination. Thus, the influence of these anions (sulfate, phosphate, carbonate) on the U<sup>VI</sup> and Eu<sup>III</sup> extractability was examined in addition to the pH dependence. The corresponding aqueous speciations of U<sup>VI</sup> and Eu<sup>III</sup> (Figure S15 and S17) were calculated as a function of pH value in absence and presence of sulfate, phosphate or carbonate by using the speciation code EQ3/6 [69]. For this, U<sup>VI</sup> and Eu<sup>III</sup> thermodynamic data compiled in the OECD/NEA Thermochemical Database [70] and in the Thermo-Chimie database (version 9b0) from ANDRA [71] with addition of recently determined thermodynamic data of Eu<sup>III</sup> phosphates [72] were applied.

#### Dependence on pH

Firstly, to determine optimal pH conditions for the selective separation of U<sup>VI</sup> from lanthanidecontaining solutions, aqueous phases containing  $10^{-5}$  M Eu<sup>III</sup> and  $10^{-5}$  M U<sup>VI</sup> were extracted by  $4^{\cdot}10^{-5}$  M chloroform solutions of ligand  $L^1$  or  $L^2$  (Figure 4). Both ligands extracted  $U^{VI}$  in nearly quantitative yield in just one extraction step over a wide pH range. Due to the different  $pK_a$  values of the aromatic units, the U<sup>VI</sup> extraction by L<sup>1</sup> is very high already at pH 4 whereas the U<sup>VI</sup> extraction by the less acidic L<sup>2</sup> reaches comparable yields at pH > 5.5. Commercially applied separation processes mostly work under highly acidic conditions, since e.g. sulfuric acid is applied to leach uranium and lanthanides from rare-earth ores, whereby simultaneously hydrolysis of the uranyl cation is prevented [73, 74]. Interestingly, both ligands extract  $U^{VI}$  even at higher pH values (> 5) where the uranyl cation forms a variety of hydrolysis species [75] though they just differ by a loss of one to three protons from the first hydration shell of the uranyl unit. Even when the  $[(UO_2)_2CO_3(OH)_3]^-$  species is dominant (pH 6 – 10, atmospheric conditions) extraction percentages of 95 % and above were reached. Thus, either this species is dissociated enforced by complexation of the uranyl ion by the calix[4]arene-based ligands or is actually extracted in its original form. Though, the latter is rather unlikely due to the large ion size. The reason for this is the strong chelate effect of the calix[4]arene-based ligands [76]. Substantiated by distribution experiments at pH 6 and 8 (Figure S9), we propose for uranium a 2:1 complex with L<sup>2</sup> and a 1:1 stoichiometry with L<sup>1</sup> [50] formed during the extraction. This is in good agreement with the complexes observed in acetonitrile as well as the solid structure  $[UO_2(L^1)(NO_3)](NO_3)$  [50]. Indeed, this holds for all mononuclear uranyl hydrolysis species, which were actually extracted by each substituent. The slight decrease in extraction efficiency of the L<sup>2</sup> ligand at pH 9 is most probably related to the emergence of the uranyl tricarbonato complex. Nevertheless, to establish the exact structure of the extracted species at each pH value and the underlying mechanisms require further investigations, especially in the case of the aqueous uranyl oligomers and ternary hydrolysis species (Figure S16). In contrast, the trivalent europium cation is extracted by both ligands only at pH > 7suggesting that a higher deprotonation degree of the ligands is required. This was already shown by extraction experiments monitored by radiotracing the trivalent <sup>160</sup>Tb and <sup>152</sup>Eu [51]. In addition, the solid state structure of the uranyl complex with L<sup>1</sup> [50] supports the assumption of a lower deprotonation degree of the ligand by coordinating a divalent metal cation. For the U<sup>VI</sup> and Eu<sup>III</sup> extraction by  $L^1$  and  $L^2$ , the distribution ratios (D) are found to increase with the pH value with a slope of about one (Figure S13). That means, that one hydrogen ion is released into solution by the formation of  $U^{VI}$  and  $Eu^{III}$  complexes with these ligands.



**Figure 4.** pH dependence of the extraction of  $U^{VI}$  and  $Eu^{III}$  by  $L^1$  ([50], left) and  $L^2$  (right).

#### Influence of sulfate

Figure 5 shows the impact of sulfate anions on the extraction of  $Eu^{III}$  and  $U^{VI}$  as function of pH value of the aqueous phase. For  $U^{VI}$  the high extraction percentages observed for both extractants were not influenced as the affinity of the flat binding pocket of the ligands (towards the basal plane of  $UO_2^{2+}$ ) is higher than that of the spherical (tetrahedral) sulfate anion. This is in good agreement with the small stability constants of the uranyl sulfate complex [( $UO_2$ )( $SO_4$ )](aq) occurring at acidic conditions. In contrast to  $U^{VI}$ ,  $Eu^{III}$  sulfate species are significant until pH 7.5 (Figure S17). Consequently, the percentage extraction of  $Eu^{III}$  with  $L^2$  is decreased in the presence of  $10^{-3}$  M sulfate, whereby simultaneously, the separation factors ( $SF_{U/Eu}$ ) of  $L^2$  are enhanced in the higher pH range. For  $L^1$  the effective charge of the [ $Eu(SO_4)$ ]<sup>+</sup> species, which were actually extracted. The stronger chelate effect of  $L^1$  actually renders the europium extractability more environmentally friendly (near at circumneutral pH values). And it ensures still a good separation ability between  $U^{VI}$  and  $Eu^{III}$  (see Tables 2 and S1).



**Figure 5.** pH dependence of the extraction of  $U^{VI}$  and  $Eu^{III}$  by  $L^1$  (left) and  $L^2$  (right) in absence and presence of  $10^{-3}$  M sulfate.

#### Influence of phosphate

Extractions in the presence of  $10^{-3}$  M phosphate (Figure 6) indicate the impact of the formation of metal phosphate species on the extraction efficiencies of both extractants. Based on the U<sup>VI</sup> speciation

(Figure S15) at pH > 3.5 the predominating aqueous species are  $[UO_2(HPO_4)](aq)$  and  $[UO_2(PO_4)]^-$ . The U<sup>VI</sup> extraction percentage with both ligands decreases rapidly with increasing pH value. Most probably this is caused by these complexes being sterically oversized for direct extraction by the ligands. However, with further increasing pH values (> 7.5) the U<sup>VI</sup> extraction increases again since the uranyl phosphate species transforms to the ternary uranyl hydroxo carbonate species  $[(UO_2)_2CO_3(OH)_3]^-$ , which can be extracted by the calix[4]arene ligands as already shown in Figure 4. The phosphate also affects the aqueous speciation of Eu<sup>III</sup>. In pH range 5 – 8.5, the  $[Eu(HPO_4)]^+$ complex governs the speciation (Figure S17). This high affinity of the tetrahedral trivalent phosphate anion to the spherical europium cation decreases the percentage extraction below 20 % for both calix[4]arene-based ligands.



**Figure 6.** pH dependence of the extraction of  $U^{VI}$  and  $Eu^{III}$  by  $L^1$  (left) and  $L^2$  (right) in absence and presence of  $10^{-3}$  M phosphate.

#### Influence of carbonate

As expected in the case of U<sup>VI</sup>, a high concentration of carbonate considerably influences the speciation and the extraction behavior. At pH 6 – 8, mainly the  $[(UO_2)_2CO_3(OH)_3]^-$  species predominates (Figure S15), which can be extracted by both L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>2</sup> as already shown in the previous experiments. Due to the planarity of the carbonate anion it fits optimal to the basal plane of the linear UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> moiety and the resulting uranyl carbonate complex  $[(UO_2)(CO_3)_3]^{4-}$ , predominating between pH 8 and 10, is very stable. Even more important, this species is highly negatively charged and therefore, the affinity of the calix[4]arene-based ligands towards this anionic species is rather weak. Thus, at pH ≥ 8 the extraction efficiencies of both ligands towards U<sup>VI</sup> are significantly decreased. In case of europium, at pH 7 – 9 the positively charged carbonate complex [Eu(CO<sub>3</sub>)]<sup>+</sup> is the dominant species [77]. Thus, similar to the influence of the sulfate anion the effective charge of the potentially extracted species is lowered and consequently, the extraction curve is shifted to lower pH values for L<sup>1</sup>. Analog results were observed for L<sup>2</sup>. The deprotonation degree of L<sup>2</sup> is apparently more influenced by the amphoteric properties of the bicarbonate system due to its higher pK<sub>a</sub> value compared with L<sup>1</sup>. This results in larger margins of errors for the pH values in the region 6 – 8 (Figure S12) as well as for the extraction percentage in dependence on pH value.



**Figure 7.** pH dependence of the extraction of  $U^{VI}$  and  $Eu^{III}$  by  $L^1$  (left) and  $L^2$  (right) in absence and presence of  $10^{-3}$  M carbonate.

#### **Competitive extraction with EDTA**

The simultaneous use of different extracting agents in solvent extractions is a common instrument to enhance separation efficiencies [78, 79]. Here, EDTA was dissolved in the aqueous phase in order to change the separation ability of the resulting extraction system. In general, EDTA forms very stable metal complexes. For instance, the mononuclear europium complex has a stability constant of log  $K_{1:1}$  = 15.35 (pH 7.38) [80]. Conditional stability constants of uranyl EDTA complexes are log  $K_{1:1}$  = 7.95 and log  $K_{2:1}$  = 17.85 (pH 3) [81]. Interestingly, in the presence of equimolar amounts of EDTA ( $10^{-5}$  M) in the aqueous solution, the trivalent europium cation is retained in the aqueous phase over the whole pH range whereas the U<sup>VI</sup> extraction is almost unaffected as observed for both ligands (Figure 8). This does not correspond to the conditional stability constants of the metal EDTA complexes. Due to the possible higher negative charge of the EDTA molecule, the affinity of L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>2</sup> towards the trivalent europium cation is smaller under the given conditions. Actually, in case of L<sup>1</sup> U<sup>VI</sup> is still extracted in nearly quantitative yield even when  $10^{-4}$  M EDTA was applied (Figure S11). In contrast, excess EDTA (10<sup>-4</sup> M) vastly affects extraction percentage of ligand L<sup>2</sup> by complexing both metal cations in the aqueous phase (Figure S11). Thus, solely in the presence of equimolar amounts of EDTA, the trivalent europium is entirely complexed by the aminopolycarboxylic acid in the aqueous phase whereas the uranyl cation is selectively extracted by both calix[4]arene-based ligands. However, when EDTA is present at higher concentrations, the chelate effect of L<sup>2</sup> is not strong enough to effectively extract  $U^{VI}$ .



**Figure 8.** pH dependence of the extraction of  $U^{VI}$  and  $Eu^{III}$  by  $L^1$  (left) and  $L^2$  (right) in absence and presence of  $10^{-5}$  M EDTA.

In summary, both calix[4]arene-based ligands are able to separate  $U^{VI}$  selectively from Eu<sup>III</sup>-containing solution under a variety of conditions in laboratory scale. Moreover, successful back extractions (stripping) of metal ions were carried out by extracting the loaded organic phase with an aqueous phase at acidic pH. Table 2 summarizes the parameters and results of the liquid-liquid extractions of  $U^{VI}$  and Eu<sup>III</sup> by the macrocyclic ligands. Thus, favorable conditions for the separation of  $U^{VI}$  from lanthanide-containing solutions by both calix[4]arene-based ligands, L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>2</sup>, have been identified (cf. SF<sub>U/Eu</sub> in Tables 2, S1 and S2). For this, the 8-hydroxyquinoline based calix[4]arene L<sup>1</sup> seems to be slightly more efficient due to the rigid and more planar binding pocket as well as the lower p*K*<sub>a</sub> value compared with the 6-hydroxymethylpyridine based calix[4]arene L<sup>2</sup>.

	Eu <sup>m</sup>		U <sup>VI</sup>	
	$L^1$	L <sup>2</sup>	L <sup>1</sup>	L <sup>2</sup>
рН	pH 8 – 9	pH 7 – 8	pH 4 – 9	рН 6 – 9
efficiency <sup>[a]</sup>	> 95 %	90 %	> 95 %	> 95 %
extraction	4 h	4 h	4 h	4 h
back extraction	92 % [51] (1 h, pH 4)	-	74 % (19 h, pH 3)	31 % (19 h, pH 3)
stoichiometry <sup>[a]</sup>	-	_	1:1 [50]	2:1
$\begin{aligned} & \textbf{SF}_{\textbf{U/Eu}} \\ & \textbf{pH} = 5 \\ & \textbf{pH} = 5 \ (\textbf{PO}_4^{-3})^{[b]} \\ & \textbf{pH} = 5 \ (\textbf{SO}_4^{-2})^{[b]} \\ & \textbf{pH} = 5 \ (\textbf{CO}_3^{-2})^{[b]} \\ & \textbf{pH} = 5 \ (\textbf{EDTA})^{[c]} \end{aligned}$			> 6000 [51] 170 917 2468 160	> 200 12 930 105 1150

**Table 2.** Extraction parameters for  $L^1$  and  $L^2$  with Eu<sup>III</sup> and U<sup>VI</sup>.

<sup>[a]</sup> pH 8, <sup>[b]</sup> c(anion) =  $10^{-3}$  M, <sup>[c]</sup> c(EDTA) =  $10^{-5}$  M.

#### 3 Conclusions

To arrive at new insights into the complexation characteristics of calix[4]arene derivatives with respect to the U<sup>VI</sup> and Eu<sup>III</sup> metal cations, a comprehensive spectroscopic, modeling and preparative approach was followed, developed and applied. In a first step, a new calix[4]arene-based ligand L<sup>2</sup> with a carbonyl-hydrazone-6-hydroxy-methylpyridine moiety was synthesized and structurally characterized. Due to the applied modular synthesis, further calix[4]arene-based ligands are conceivable and easily synthetically accessible to vary the extracting properties in a well-defined way. The distinct differences in functionality between the two extractants, combined with the variation of selected boundary conditions (pH, content of sulfate, phosphate, carbonate, EDTA as co-ligand) allowed to derive proposals for the extracted species and the mechanisms behind. This was further supported by the coordination properties of L<sup>2</sup> towards U<sup>VI</sup> and Eu<sup>III</sup> which were spectroscopically determined in acetonitrile solution. The third important pillar to achieve a better process understanding on a molecular level was the derivation of complex stoichiometries and stability constants of 1:1 and 2:1 complexes with U<sup>VI</sup> and of a 1:1 species with Eu<sup>III</sup>. The same holds for the already earlier established compound L<sup>1</sup>. Moreover, the speciation patterns found both in acetonitrile and chloroform by a multimethod study are internally consistent providing a high degree of confidence to the derived findings.

The comprehensive  $U^{VI}$  and  $Eu^{III}$  extraction studies performed with both ligands circumstantiate the strong chelate effect by extracting even the  $U^{VI}$  hydrolysis species at pH > 4. Moreover, the extraction efficiency of L<sup>1</sup> towards  $U^{VI}$  is nearly unaffected by anionic additives, except for a high phosphate concentration. However, a typical source for  $U^{VI}$  and rare earth elements occurring together in nature are phosphate minerals such as monazite or apatite [2, 68]. Thus, the extraction conditions and the affinity of the binding pocket of the ligand would have to be further modulated for such an application. The extraction of  $Eu^{III}$  is much stronger influenced by accompanying anionic ligands possibly due to the higher positive charge of the cation. Especially the competitive extraction with equimolar amounts of EDTA enables the selective separation of  $U^{VI}$  from  $Eu^{III}$  over the whole pH range by retaining the trivalent europium cation in the aqueous phase.

In conclusion, the thermodynamic constants derived in this work as well as the extraction results with regard to the influence of several anions provide a basis for assessing the suitability of these macrocyclic multidentate ligands for metal ion separation under environmentally or industrially relevant conditions. The high extraction efficiency even at circumneutral pH values would allow the reduction of contamination problems more environmentally compatible than working under strongly acidic conditions. Potential applications extending into the technical scale, however, require further studies. For instance, studies on the effect of additional competing ions, such as Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ba<sup>2+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup> or Fe<sup>3+</sup>, present in natural environments or in leached ore concentrates as well as studies on the effect of higher sulfate or phosphate concentrations on the extraction efficiency of the calix[4]arene-based ligands have to be performed.

#### 4 Experimental section

#### 4.1 Materials and general methods

Caution! Natural uranium consists of radioactive nuclides including long-lived  $\alpha$ -emitters (<sup>235</sup>U,  $t_{1/2} = 7.04^{\circ} 10^{8}$  years and <sup>238</sup>U,  $t_{1/2} = 4.47^{\circ} 10^{9}$  years) and is also chemically toxic. Special precautions as well as appropriate equipment and facilities for radiation protection are required for handling this material.

Generally, all experiments were performed at  $(25\pm0.1)$  °C under aerobic conditions and reagent-grade chemicals were used. Acetonitrile (VWR), chloroform (Sigma Aldrich), ethanol (VWR), ethyl bromoacetate (Alfa Aesar), hydrazine monohydrate (Sigma Aldrich), NaOH (Roth), UO<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Chemapol), Eu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Alfa Aesar), Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Sigma Aldrich), Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (Merck), NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (Merck), Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (Roth), EDTA (Merck), HCIO<sub>4</sub> (Merck), triethylamine (Sigma Aldrich), NaCIO<sub>4</sub> anhydrous (Alfa Aesar), (*n*Bu)<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> anhydrous (Alfa Aesar) and Na<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>O<sub>7</sub>·10H<sub>2</sub>O (Merck) were used as purchased from commercial sources without further purification. A stock solution of UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> was prepared by diluting Na<sub>2</sub>U<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Chemapol) in 0.01 M HCIO<sub>4</sub>. Elemental analyses were carried out with a VARIO EL elemental analyzer. ATR FT-IR spectra were recorded with a Bruker VECTOR 22 FT-IR spectrophotometer on a ZnSe crystal plate. NMR spectra were recorded with a Varian UnityInova 400 spectrometer at 363 K [<sup>1</sup>H: 300.21 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C(<sup>1</sup>H): 100.63 MHz]. Chemical shifts refer to solvent signals. ESI mass spectra were recorded with a Agilent 6538 UHD Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC-MS.

#### 4.2 Spectrophotometric titrations

Spectrophotometric measurements with  $UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  were performed on a TIDAS 100 spectrophotometer (J&M Analytik) in the wavelength range between 190 and 700 nm with 0.1 nm interval using 10 mm quartz glass cuvettes. As supporting electrolyte  $10^{-2}$  M NaClO<sub>4</sub> was used to ensure a constant ionic strength. For the batch titrations with Eu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>  $\cdot 6H_2O$  the UV/Vis absorption spectra were recorded with a JASCO V-570 UV/Vis/NIR spectrophotometer and  $(nBu)_4NPF_6$  was used as supporting electrolyte. The complex stability constants were calculated by the nonlinear regression program HypSpec (version 1.1.18, Protonic Software) [64]. In the fitting algorithm, the pH was not considered, as acetonitrile is an aprotic solvent.

#### 4.3 Liquid-liquid extractions

The U<sup>VI</sup> and Eu<sup>III</sup> extraction from the aqueous phase by L<sup>1</sup> and L<sup>2</sup> in chloroform was studied in the pH range from 2 to 9. The pH was adjusted by using a WTW pH benchtop meter (Portable meter ProfiLine pH 3110) equipped with a WTW pH microelectrode. A three-point calibration at room temperature with technical calibration buffers (HANNA instruments) was routinely performed before pH adjustment. Chloroform was used as organic phase with the advantage of sufficient solubility of the extractants and the as-formed complex species. Moreover, no extraction by the solvent itself and no significant uptake of the aqueous phase was observed. The general extraction procedure was as follows: The respective aqueous phase contained  $10^{-5}$  M Eu<sup>III</sup> and  $10^{-5}$  M U<sup>VI</sup>, in which Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 0.1 M

 $UO_2^{2+}$  in 0.01 M HClO<sub>4</sub> were used as starting material. For the studies of the impact of anions, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (10<sup>-3</sup> M) or EDTA (10<sup>-4</sup> or 10<sup>-5</sup> M) were additionally to the metals dissolved in the aqueous phase.

The pH value was adjusted by diluted NaOH and HClO<sub>4</sub> solutions. Sodium tetraborate decahydrate was used as a pH stabilizer at a concentration of 13 mM in experiments with pH > 6. A 4 mL aliquot of the aqueous phase was added to 4 mL organic phase containing  $4 \cdot 10^{-5}$  M ligand. For liquid-liquid extraction, PFA (perfluoralkoxy polymer) vials (AHF analysentechnik AG) were used. The two-phase mixture was shaken for 12 h at 400 rpm by a Thermoshaker MKR 23 (Hettich Benelux B.V., Geldermalsen). After reaching equilibrium, the aqueous and organic phases were separated by centrifugation. Then, the final pH of the aqueous phase was determined. The initial and equilibrium concentrations of Eu<sup>III</sup> and U<sup>VI</sup> were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, ICP-MS (Elan 9000, Perkin Elmer). The extraction (%) values were calculated using Eq. (1) where  $c_0$  is the initial concentration and  $c_1$  the equilibrium concentration of the metal ion in the aqueous phase.

E (%) = 
$$100 \times \left(\frac{c_0 - c_1}{c_0}\right)$$
 (1)

Distribution ratios (D) and separation factors ( $SF_{U/Eu}$ ) were calculated using Eq. (2) and (3), respectively.

$$\mathsf{D} = \left(\frac{\mathsf{c}_0 - \mathsf{c}_1}{\mathsf{c}_1}\right) \tag{2}$$

$$SF_{U/Eu} = \left(\frac{D_U}{D_{Eu}}\right)$$
(3)

#### 4.4 Synthetic procedures and characterization

5,11,17,23-Tetra-*p-tert*-butyl-25,27-bis[(8-hydroxyquinolinecarbaldehyde-hydrazone-carbonylmethoxy)]-26,28-dihydroxycalix[4]arene (L<sup>1</sup>) was synthesized as previously described [51]. 6-hydroxymethylpyridine-2-carbaldehyde was prepared according to the procedure given by Artali et al. [82].

#### 5,11,17,23-Tetra-p-tert-butyl-25,27-bis[(6-hydroxymethylpyridine-2-carbaldehyde-hydrazone-

**carbonylmethoxy)]-26,28-dihydroxycalix[4]arene (L<sup>2</sup>).** Ligand L<sup>2</sup> was prepared in four steps equal to L<sup>1</sup>. Starting from *p-tert*-butylcalix[4]arene [83] the lower rim was substituted in 1,3 distal position with ethyl bromoacetate [84], followed by treatment with hydrazine monohydrate to give the corresponding hydrazide [85]. The hydrazide (0.5 g, 631 µmol) was dissolved in ethanol (30 mL). A solution of 6-hydroxymethylpyridine-2-carbaldehyde (173 mg, 1.26 mmol) in ethanol (5 mL) was added dropwise. The yellow solution was heated under reflux for 16 h. The obtained pale yellow precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with ethanol and dried in air to give the target compound. Yield: 508 mg (80 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 11.57 (s, 2H, OH), 7.79 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 4H, py, HC=N), 7.62 (s, 2H, py), 7.53 – 7.29 (m, 2H, py), 7.13 (s, 4H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 7.00 (s, 4H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 5.10 (s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 4.57 (s, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.38 (d, *J* = 13.0 Hz, 4H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-Ar), 3.44 (d, *J* = 13.1 Hz, 4H, Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-Ar), 1.25 (s, 18H, <sup>1</sup>Bu), 1.05 (s, 18H, <sup>1</sup>Bu). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  [ppm] = 162.25 (C<sub>carb</sub>), 152.43 (HC=N), 150.22 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>), 141.94 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>), 137.41 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 133.08 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 128.18 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 126.09 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 125.61 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 121.31 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>), 119.48 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 118.80 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>), 64.72 (CH<sub>2</sub>-R), 34.17 (C<sub>tert</sub>), 33.96 (C<sub>tert</sub>), 31.93 (Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-Ar), 31.79 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 31.22 (CH<sub>3</sub>). MS(ESI(+), MeCN): m/z calcd. C<sub>62</sub>H<sub>74</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Na [L<sup>2</sup>+Na<sup>+</sup>]<sup>+</sup>

1053.6; found: 1053.5. IR (ATR):  $\tilde{v}$  [cm<sup>-1</sup>] = 3431 v(N–H), 2956 v(C–H), 1698 v(C=O), 1585 v(C=N), 1201 v(C–O). UV/Vis (MeCN):  $\lambda_{max}$  [nm] ( $\epsilon$  [L·mol<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-1</sup>]) = 202 (9759), 290 (3800). Elemental analysis C<sub>62</sub>H<sub>74</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>8</sub> + EtOH (1030.6 + 46.0): calcd. C 71.35, H 7.48, N 7.80; found C 71.45, H 7.72, N 7.82.

#### Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (SE-FLECX, 033R132A / 033R132E) for funding this work. We are thankful to Peter Kaden for providing facilities for NMR measurements, Robert Barthen and Karsten Heim for their help in performing the ESI-MS and ATR FT-IR spectroscopic measurements, respectively, as well as Sebastian Schöne for providing assistance with crystal structure refinement.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at

#### **Declarations of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- [1] M.K. Jha, A. Kumari, R. Panda, J.R. Kumar, K. Yoo, J.Y. Lee, Review on hydrometallurgical recovery of rare earth metals, Hydrometallurgy, 165 (2016) 2–26.
- [2] A. Kumari, R. Panda, M. Kumar Jha, J.R. Kumar, J.Y. Lee, Process development to recover rare earth metals from monazite mineral: A review, Miner. Eng., 79 (2015) 102–115.
- [3] S. Radhika, B.N. Kumar, M.L. Kantam, B.R. Reddy, Liquid–liquid extraction and separation possibilities of heavy and light rare-earths from phosphoric acid solutions with acidic organophosphorus reagents, Sep. Purif. Technol., 75 (2010) 295–302.
- [4] N.K. Batchu, T. Vander Hoogerstraete, D. Banerjee, K. Binnemans, Non-aqueous solvent extraction of rare-earth nitrates from ethylene glycol to n-dodecane by Cyanex 923, Sep. Purif. Technol., 174 (2017) 544–553.
- [5] V.K. Gupta, I. Ali, T.A. Saleh, A. Nayak, S. Agarwal, Chemical treatment technologies for wastewater recycling - an overview, RSC Advances, 2 (2012) 6380–6388.
- [6] J. Yang, T. Retegan, B.-M. Steenari, C. Ekberg, Recovery of indium and yttrium from Flat Panel Display waste using solvent extraction, Sep. Purif. Technol., 166 (2016) 117–124.
- [7] X.R. Zhang, L.Y. Yuan, Z.F. Chai, W.Q. Shi, A new solvent system containing N,N'-diethyl-N,N'ditolyl-2,9-diamide-1,10-phenanthroline in 1-(trifluoromethyl)-3-nitrobenzene for highly selective UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> extraction, Sep. Purif. Technol., 168 (2016) 232–237.
- [8] H.-S. Yoon, C.-J. Kim, K.-W. Chung, S.-D. Kim, J.-Y. Lee, J.R. Kumar, Solvent extraction, separation and recovery of dysprosium (Dy) and neodymium (Nd) from aqueous solutions: Waste recycling strategies for permanent magnet processing, Hydrometallurgy, 165 (2016) 27–43.
- [9] K. Binnemans, P.T. Jones, B. Blanpain, T.V. Gerven, Y. Yang, A. Walton, M. Buchert, Recycling of rare earths: a critical review, J. Cleaner Prod., 51 (2013) 1–22.
- [10] E. Yamada, H. Murakami, S. Nishihama, K. Yoshizuka, Separation process of dysprosium and neodymium from waste neodymium magnet, Sep. Purif. Technol., 192 (2018) 62–68.
- [11] S. Mondal, V. Kumar, D.K. Singh, J.N. Sharma, T. Sreenivas, V. Kain, Process for recovery of uranium from low grade SDU of phosphoric acid/D2EHPA-TBP plant origin using DHOA/ndodecane solvent, Sep. Purif. Technol., 189 (2017) 341–346.
- [12] J. Veliscek-Carolan, Separation of actinides from spent nuclear fuel: A review, J. Hazard. Mater., 318 (2016) 266–281.

- [13] Z. Zhu, Y. Pranolo, C.Y. Cheng, Separation of uranium and thorium from rare earth for rare earth production - A review, Miner. Eng., 77 (2015) 185–196.
- [14] M. Eskandari Nasab, Solvent extraction separation of uranium(VI) and thorium(IV) with neutral organophosphorus and amine ligands, Fuel, 116 (2014) 595–600.
- [15] A.M. Yousif, A.H. El-Afandy, G.M. Abdel Wahab, A.E. Mubark, I.A. Ibrahim, Selective separation of uranium(VI) from aqueous solutions using amine functionalized cellulose, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 303 (2015) 1821–1833.
- [16] F.T. Bunus, Uranium and rare earth recovery from phosphate fertilizer industry by solvent extraction, Min. Pro. Ext. Met. Rev., 21 (2000) 381–478.
- [17] J. Arichi, G. Goetz-Grandmont, J.P. Brunette, Solvent extraction of europium(III) from nitrate medium with 4-acyl-isoxazol-5-ones and 4-acyl-5-hydroxy-pyrazoles. Effects of salts and diluents, Hydrometallurgy, 82 (2006) 100–109.
- [18] M. Petrova, I. Dukov, Effect of crown ethers on the solvent extraction and separation of lanthanide(III) ions with 4-benzoyl-3-methyl-1-phenyl-2-pyrazolin-5-one, Chem. Papers, 62 (2008) 207–214.
- [19] A. Bhattacharyya, P.K. Mohapatra, V.K. Manchanda, Separation of americium(III) and europium(III) from nitrate medium using a binary mixture of cyanex-301 with N-donor ligands, Solv. Ex. Ion Exch., 24 (2006) 1–17.
- [20] H. Narita, T. Yaita, S. Tachimori, Extraction of lanthanides with N,N'-dimethyl-N,N'-diphenylmalonamide and -3,6-dioxaoctanediamide, Solv. Ex. Ion Exch., 22 (2004) 135–145.
- [21] N. Sehati, Z. Shiri-Yekta, A.A. Zamani, M.R. Yaftian, N. Noshiranzadeh, Solvent extraction of Th(IV) and Eu(III) ions by 3,5-di-tert-butyl-2-hydroxy-benzaldehyde oxime from aqueous chloride media, Sep. Sci. Technol., 47 (2012) 670–676.
- [22] F.W. Lewis, L.M. Harwood, M.J. Hudson, M.G.B. Drew, A. Wilden, M. Sypula, G. Modolo, T.-H. Vu, J.-P. Simonin, G. Vidick, N. Bouslimani, J.F. Desreux, From BTBPs to BTPhens: The Effect of Ligand Pre-Organization on the Extraction Properties of Quadridentate Bis-Triazine Ligands, Procedia Chemistry, 7 (2012) 231–238.
- [23] B.B. Beele, A. Skerencak-Frech, A. Stein, M. Trumm, A. Wilden, S. Lange, G. Modolo, U. Müllich, B. Schimmelpfennig, A. Geist, P.J. Panak, 2,6-Bis(5,6-diisopropyl-1,2,4-triazin-3-yl)pyridine: a highly selective N-donor ligand studied by TRLFS, liquid–liquid extraction and molecular dynamics, New J. Chem., 40 (2016) 10389–10397.
- [24] A. Favre-Réguillon, D. Murat, G. Cote, M. Draye, Cloud point and solvent extraction study of uranium(VI) by 8-hydroxyquinoline, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 87 (2012) 1497–1501.
- [25] P.J. Panak, A. Geist, Complexation and extraction of trivalent actinides and lanthanides by triazinylpyridine N-donor ligands, Chem. Rev., 113 (2013) 1199–1236.
- [26] M.R. Foreman, M.J. Hudson, M.G. Drew, C. Hill, C. Madic, Complexes formed between the quadridentate, heterocyclic molecules 6,6'-bis-(5,6-dialkyl-1,2,4-triazin-3-yl)-2,2'-bipyridine (BTBP) and lanthanides(III): implications for the partitioning of actinides(III) and lanthanides(III), Dalton Trans., (2006) 1645–1653.
- [27] S. Derakhshanrad, M. Mirzaei, A. Najafi, C. Ritchie, A. Bauzá, A. Frontera, J.T. Mague, Surfacegrafted lanthanoid complexes of the tungstosilicate polyanion [SiW<sub>12</sub>O<sub>40</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>: a synthetic, structural and computational investigation, Acta Cryst., C74 (2018) 1300–1309.
- [28] S.Y. Gorbacheva, A.P. Novikov, G.A. Pribylova, V.S. Ryleeva, A.V. Abramova, A.V. Travkina, Impact of ionic liquids on europium and americium extraction by an upper rim phosphorylated calixarene, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 303 (2014) 193–197.
- [29] J. Kameník, F. Šebesta, J. John, V. Böhmer, V. Rudzevich, B. Grüner, Polyacrylonitrile based composite materials with extracting agents containing chemically bonded CMPO groups for separation of actinoids, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 304 (2014) 313–319.
- [30] S. Haupt, M. Handke, R. Kuhnert, M. Poetsch, B. Kersting, Synthesis of calix[4]arene-based polycarboxylate ligands and their chemical immobilization onto controlled-pore glass, Tetrahedron, 70 (2014) 5254–5259.
- [31] F. Glasneck, K. Kobalz, B. Kersting, Lanthanide Complexes of a Calix[4]arene Ligand with Dangling Phosphonate and Picolinamide Arms: Synthesis, Crystal Structures, and Extraction Properties, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2016 (2016) 3111–3122.
- [32] R. Sharma, H. Shivkumar, S. Rana, N. Sharma, Para-tert-butylcalix[4]arene as promising complexing agent for removal of the strontium from the aqueous medium, Rad. Protec. Env., 36 (2013) 78–84.
- [33] K. Schmeide, K.H. Heise, G. Bernhard, D. Keil, K. Jansen, D. Praschak, Uranium(VI) separation from aqueous solution by calix[6]arene modified textiles, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 261 (2004) 61–67.

- [34] F. Arnaud-Neu, M.-J. Schwing-Weill, J.-F. Dozol, Calixarenes for nuclear waste treatment, in: Calixarenes 2001, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 642–662.
- [35] K. Ohto, T. Matsufuji, T. Yoneyama, M. Tanaka, H. Kawakita, T. Oshima, Preorganized, coneconformational calix[4]arene possessing four propylenephosphonic acids with high extraction ability and separation efficiency for trivalent rare earth elements, J. Incl. Phenom. Macro., 71 (2011) 489– 497.
- [36] X. Lu, D. Zhang, S. He, J. Feng, A. Tesfay Reda, C. Liu, Z. Yang, L. Shi, J. Li, Reactive extraction of europium(III) and neodymium(III) by carboxylic acid modified calixarene derivatives: Equilibrium, thermodynamics and kinetics, Sep. Purif. Technol., 188 (2017) 250–259.
- [37] E.P. Horwitz, D.G. Kalina, G.F. Vandegrift, W.W. Schulz, The TRUEX Process A process for the extraction of the transuranic elements from nitric acid in wastes utilizing modified PUREX solvent, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 3 (1&2) (1985) 75–109.
- [38] S. Barboso, A.G. Carrera, S.E. Matthews, F. Arnaud-Neu, V. Bohmer, J.F. Dozol, H. Rouquette, M.J. Schwing-Weill, Calix[4]arenes with CMPO functions at the narrow rim. Synthesis and extraction properties, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 (1999) 719–724.
- [39] L.H. Delmau, N. Simon, M.-J. Schwing-Weill, F. Arnaud-Neu, J.-F. Dozol, S. Eymard, B. Tournois, C. Grüttner, C. Musigmann, A. Tunayar, V. Böhmer, Extraction of trivalent lanthanides and actinides by "CMPO-Like" Calixarenes, Sep. Sci. Technol., 34 (1999) 863–876.
- [40] V.V. Mummidivarapu, K. Tabbasum, J.P. Chinta, C.P. Rao, 1,3-Di-amidoquinoline conjugate of calix[4]arene (L) as a ratiometric and colorimetric sensor for Zn<sup>2+</sup>: spectroscopy, microscopy and computational studies, Dalton Trans., 41 (2012) 1671–1674.
- [41] W. Sliwa, T. Girek, Calixarene complexes with metal ions, J. Incl. Phenom. Macro., 66 (2009) 15– 41.
- [42] O. Sahin, M. Yilmaz, Synthesis and fluorescence sensing properties of novel pyrene-armed calix[4]arene derivatives, Tetrahedron, 67 (2011) 3501–3508.
- [43] G.K. Li, Z.X. Xu, C.F. Chen, Z.T. Huang, A highly efficient and selective turn-on fluorescent sensor for Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion based on calix[4]arene bearing four iminoquinoline subunits on the upper rim, Chem. Commun., 15 (2008) 1774–1776.
- [44] M.N. Soares, E.F. Moscardini, L.P. Mercuri, I.A. Bagatin, Photoluminescence and thermonalytical studies of complexes based on 5-C-8-hydroxyquinoline and calix[4]arene ligands., Mater. Sci. Eng., C, 33 (2013) 2213-2220.
- [45] H. Huang, S. Ding, N. Liu, Y. Wu, D. Su, S. Huang, Extraction of trivalent americium and europium from nitric acid solution with a calixarene-based diglycolamide, Sep. Purif. Technol., 123 (2014) 235–240.
- [46] V. Böhmer, Calixarenes, Macrocycles with (Almost) Unlimited Possibilities, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 34 (1995) 713–745.
- [47] A.E. Gorden, M.A. DeVore, B.A. Maynard, Coordination chemistry with f-element complexes for an improved understanding of factors that contribute to extraction selectivity, Inorg. Chem., 52 (2013) 3445–3458.
- [48] M.J. Hudson, L.M. Harwood, D.M. Laventine, F.W. Lewis, Use of soft heterocyclic N-donor ligands to separate actinides and lanthanides, Inorg. Chem., 52 (2013) 3414–3428.
- [49] M. Mirzaei, A. Hassanpoor, A. Bauza, J.T. Mague, A. Frontera, A new solvated complex of the uranyl ion (UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup>) with 8-hydroxyquinoline, Inorg. Chim. Acta 426 (2015) 136–141.
- [50] A. Bauer, A. Jäschke, S. Schöne, R. Barthen, J. März, K. Schmeide, M. Patzschke, B. Kersting, K. Fahmy, J. Oertel, V. Brendler, T. Stumpf, Uranium(VI) Complexes with a Calix[4]arene-Based 8-Hydroxyquinoline Ligand: Thermodynamic and Structural Characterization Based on Calorimetry, Spectroscopy, and Liquid-Liquid Extraction, ChemistryOpen, 7 (2018) 467–474.
- [51] A. Jäschke, M. Kischel, A. Mansel, B. Kersting, Hydroxyquinoline-Calix[4]arene Conjugates as Ligands for Polynuclear Lanthanide Complexes: Preparation, Characterization, and Properties of a Dinuclear Eu<sup>III</sup> Complex, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2017 (2017) 894–901.
- [52] E.L. Gavey, M. Pilkington, Coordination complexes of 15-membered pentadentate aza, oxoaza and thiaaza Schiff base macrocycles "Old complexes offer new attractions", Coord. Chem. Rev., 296 (2015) 125–152.
- [53] J. Masternak, M. Zienkiewicz-Machnik, M. Kowalik, A. Jabłońska-Wawrzycka, P. Rogala, A. Adach, B. Barszcz, Recent advances in coordination chemistry of metal complexes based on nitrogen heteroaromatic alcohols. Synthesis, structures and potential applications, Coord. Chem. Rev., 327-328 (2016) 242–270.
- [54] G. Schwarzenbach, Der Chelateffekt, Helv. Chim. Acta 291 (1952) 2344–2359.
- [55] J.-C.G. Bünzli, Review: Lanthanide coordination chemistry: from old concepts to coordination polymers, J. Coord. Chem., 67 (2014) 3706–3733.

- [56] C.L. Xiao, C.Z. Wang, L.Y. Yuan, B. Li, H. He, S. Wang, Y.L. Zhao, Z.F. Chai, W.Q. Shi, Excellent Selectivity for Actinides with a Tetradentate 2,9-Diamide-1,10-Phenanthroline Ligand in Highly Acidic Solution: A Hard-Soft Donor Combined Strategy, Inorg. Chem., 53 (2014) 1712–1720.
- [57] X.M. Yin, Y.X. Wang, X.J. Bai, Y.M. Wang, L.H. Chen, C.L. Xiao, J. Diwu, S.Y. Du, Z.F. Chai, T.E. Albrecht-Schmitt, S. Wang, Rare earth separations by selective borate crystallization, Nat. Commun., 8 (2017) 14438.
- [58] Y.X. Wang, H.J. Lu, X. Dai, T. Duan, X.J. Bai, Y.W. Cai, X.M. Yin, L.H. Chen, J. Diwu, S.Y. Du, R.H. Zhou, Z.F. Chai, T.E. Albrecht-Schmitt, N. Liu, S. Wang, Facile and efficient decontamination of thorium from rare earths based on selective selenite crystallization, Inorg. Chem., 57 (2018) 1880–1887.
- [59] M. Mirzaei, H. Eshtiagh-Hosseini, V. Lippolis, H. Aghabozorg, D. Kordestani, A. Shokrollahi, R. Aghaei, A.J. Blake, Structural variation within uranium(VI) heterocyclic carboxylates: Solid and solution states studies, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 370 (2011) 141–149.
- [60] A. Albert, J.N. Phillips, Ionization constants of heterocyclic substances. Part II. Hydroxyderivatives of nitrogenous six-membered ring-compounds, J. Chem. Soc., (1956) 1294–1304
- [61] D. Himmel, S.K. Goll, I. Leito, I. Krossing, A unified pH scale for all phases, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 49 (2010) 6885–6888.
- [62] I.D. Cunningham, M. Woolfall, Deprotonation of Calixarenes in Acetonitrile, J. Org. Chem., 70 (2005) 9248–9256.
- [63] J.H. Yoe, A.L. Jones, Colorimetric Determination of Iron with Disodium-1,2-dihydroxybenzene-3,5disulfonate, Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed., 16 (1944) 111–115.
- [64] P. Gans, A. Sabatini, A. Vacca, Investigation of equilibria in solution. Determination of equilibrium constants with the HYPERQUAD suite of programs, Talanta, 43 (1996) 1739–1753.
- [65] A. Jäschke, Calix[4]aren-basierte Liganden zur Extraktion von Seltenen Erdelementen, Doctoral thesis, Leipzig University, Leipzig, 2017.
- [66] C.-J. Kim, H.-S. Yoon, K.W. Chung, J.-Y. Lee, S.-D. Kim, S.M. Shin, S.-J. Lee, A.-R. Joe, S.-I. Lee, S.-J. Yoo, S.-H. Kim, Leaching kinetics of lanthanum in sulfuric acid from rare earth element (REE) slag, Hydrometallurgy, 146 (2014) 133–137.
- [67] S.K. Bhargava, R. Ram, M. Pownceby, S. Grocaott, B. Ring, J. Tardio, L. Jones, A review of acid leaching of uraninite, Hydrometallurgy, 151 (2015) 10–24.
- [68] M.S. Gasser, S.S. Emam, S.E. Rizk, B.A. Salah, S.A. Sayed, H.F. Aly, Extraction and separation of uranium(IV) and certain light lanthanides from concentrated phosphoric acid solutions using octyl phenyl acid phosphate, J. Molecular Liquids, 272 (2018) 1030–1040.
- [69] T.J. Wolery, EQ3/6. A software package for the geochemical modeling of aqueous systems, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Report UCRL-MA-110662, Part 1, Livermore, USA, 1992.
- [70] R. Guillaumont, T. Fanghänel, J. Fuger, I. Grenthe, V. Neck, D.A. Palmer, M.H. Rand, Update on the chemical thermodynamics of uranium, neptunium, plutonium, americium and technetium. Chemical Thermodynamics, vol. 5 (OECD Nuclear Energy Agency, ed.) Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [71] E. Giffaut, M. Grive, P. Blanc, P. Vieillard, E. Colas, H. Gailhanou, S. Gaboreau, N. Marty, B. Made, L. Duro, Andra thermodynamic database for performance assessment: ThermoChimie, Appl. Geochem., 49 (2014) 225–236.
- [72] N. Jordan, M. Demnitz, H. Lösch, S. Starke, V. Brendler, N. Huittinen, Complexation of trivalent lanthanides (Eu) and actinides (Cm) with aqueous phosphates at elevated temperatures, Inorg. Chem., 57 (2018) 7915–7024.
- [73] F. Xie, T.A. Zhang, D. Dreisinger, F. Doyle, A critical review on solvent extraction of rare earths from aqueous solutions, Miner. Eng., 56 (2014) 10–28.
- [74] M. Nascimento, B.M. Valverde, F.A. Ferreira, R.d.C. Gomes, P.S.M. Soares, Separation of rare earths by solvent extraction using DEHPA, Rem: Revista Escola de Minas, 68 (2015) 427–434.
- [75] K. Müller, V. Brendler, H. Foerstendorf, Aqueous Uranium(VI) Hydrolysis Species Characterized by Attenuated Total Reflection Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy, Inorg. Chem., 47 (2008) 10127–10134.
- [76] A.M. Wilson, P.J. Bailey, P.A. Tasker, J.R. Turkington, R.A. Grant, J.B. Love, Solvent extraction: the coordination chemistry behind extractive metallurgy, Chem. Soc. Rev., 43 (2014) 123–134.
- [77] M.A. Patel, A.S. Kar, S. Kumar, B.S. Tomar, Effect of phosphate on sorption of Eu(III) by montmorillonite, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 313 (2017) 537–545.
- [78] M. Tian, Q. Jia, W. Liao, Studies on synergistic solvent extraction of rare earth elements from nitrate medium by mixtures of 8-hydroxyquinoline with Cyanex 301 or Cyanex 302, J. Rare Earths, 31 (2013) 604–608.

- [79] B. Nagaphani Kumar, S. Radhika, B. Ramachandra Reddy, Solid–liquid extraction of heavy rareearths from phosphoric acid solutions using Tulsion CH-96 and T-PAR resins, Chem. Eng. J., 160 (2010) 138–144.
- [80] S.L. Wu, W.D. Horrocks Jr., General Method for the Determination of Stability Constants of Lanthanide Ion Chelates by Ligand-Ligand Competition: Laser-Excited Eu<sup>3+</sup> Luminescence Excitation Spectroscopy, Anal. Chem., 68 (1996) 394–401.
- [81] T.R. Bhat, M. Krishnamurthy, Studies on EDTA Complexes II Uranyl EDTA System, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 26 (1964) 587–594.
- [82] R. Artali, M. Botta, C. Cavallotti, G.B. Giovenzana, G. Palmisano, M. Sisti, Novel functionalized pyridine-containing DTPA-like ligand. Synthesis, computational studies and characterization of the corresponding Gd(III) complex, Org. Biomol. Chem., 5 (2007) 2441–2447.
- [83] C.D. Gutsche, Calixarenes: An Introduction, 2 ed., RSC Publishing Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2008.
- [84] D.M. Rudkevich, W. Verboom, D.N. Reinhoudt, Calix[4]arene Salenes: A Bifunctional Receptor for NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, J. Org. Chem., 59 (1994) 3683–3686.
- [85] P.G. Sutariya, A. Pandya, A. Lodh, S.K. Menon, Fluorescence switch on-off-on receptor constructed of quinoline allied calix[4]arene for selective recognition of Cu<sup>2+</sup> from blood serum and F<sup>-</sup> from industrial waste water, Analyst, 138 (2013) 2531–2535.