Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR)



## Origin and Manipulation of Stable Vortex Ground States in Permalloy Nanotubes

Zimmermann, M.; Gerhard-Meier, T. N.; Dirnberger, F.; Kákay, A.; Decker, M.; Wintz, S.; Finizio, S.; Josten, E.; Raabe, J.; Kronseder, M.; Bougeard, D.; Lindner, J.; Back, C. H.;

Originally published:

April 2018

## Nano Letters 18(2018)5, 2828-2834

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b05222

Perma-Link to Publication Repository of HZDR:

https://www.hzdr.de/publications/Publ-27435

Release of the secondary publication on the basis of the German Copyright Law § 38 Section 4.





Subscriber access provided by Gothenburg University Library

# Origin and manipulation of stable vortex ground states in permalloy nanotubes

Michael Zimmermann, Thomas Norbert Gerhard Meier, Florian Dirnberger, Attila Kákay, Martin Decker, Sebastian Wintz, Simone Finizio, Elisabeth Josten, Jörg Raabe, Matthias Kronseder, Dominique Bougeard, Jürgen Lindner, and Christian Horst Back

Nano Lett., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b05222 • Publication Date (Web): 05 Apr 2018

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on April 9, 2018

## **Just Accepted**

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

# Origin and Manipulation of Stable Vortex Ground States in Permalloy Nanotubes

Michael Zimmermann<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Norbert Gerhard Meier<sup>1</sup>, Florian Dirnberger<sup>1</sup>,

Attila Kákay<sup>2</sup>, Martin Decker<sup>1</sup>, Sebastian Wintz<sup>2,3</sup>, Simone Finizio<sup>3</sup>, Elisabeth Josten<sup>2</sup>, Jörg Raabe<sup>3</sup>, Matthias Kronseder<sup>1</sup>, Dominique Bougeard<sup>1</sup>, Jürgen Lindner<sup>2</sup>, Christian Horst Back<sup>1\*,†</sup>

†<sup>1</sup> Physics Department, Universität Regensburg, Universitätsstr. 31, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany

 <sup>2</sup> Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden - Rossendorf, Institute of Ion Beam Physics and Material Research, Bautzner Landstr. 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany
 ¶<sup>3</sup> Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

E-mail: Christian.Back@ur.de

Phone: +49 941943 2621. Fax: +49 941943 4544

### Abstract

We present a detailed study on the static magnetic properties of individual permalloy nanotubes (NTs) with hexagonal cross sections. Anisotropic magnetoresistance (AMR) measurements and scanning transmission x-ray microscopy (STXM) are used to investigate their magnetic ground states and its stability. We find, that the magnetization in zero applied magnetic field is in a very stable vortex state. Its origin is attributed to a strong growth induced anisotropy with easy axis perpendicular to the long axis of the tubes. AMR measurements of individual NTs in combination with micromagnetic simulations allow determining the magnitude of the growth-induced anisotropy for different types of NT coatings. We show that the strength of the anisotropy can be controlled by introducing a buffer layer underneath the magnetic layer. The magnetic ground states depend on the external magnetic field history and are directly imaged using STXM. Stable vortex domains can be introduced by external magnetic fields and can be erased by radio frequency (rf) magnetic fields applied at the center of the tubes via a strip line antenna.

Keywords: Nanotube, anisotropic magnetoresistance, permalloy, vortex, ground state

## Introduction

Magnetic nanoconduits have been proposed as future high density memory elements.<sup>1</sup> In such devices information can be encoded by writing domain walls (DWs) in a track. The DWs can be efficiently moved by spin-polarized currents and/or spin orbit torques.<sup>2,3</sup> Ideally, a future domain wall memory device should allow for densely packed arrays which requires stray field free states.<sup>4</sup> Furthermore, the speed at which domain walls can be moved along a nanotrack determines the performance of such a device. A ferromagnetic nanotube (NT), with its lack of magnetic core, is a promising candidate to meet both requirements. A variety of stable configurations for NTs are conceivable at zero external magnetic field, including a global vortex state (VS), where the magnetization circulates around the circumference of the tube, a uniform magnetized state (US), where the magnetization points along the long axis of the tube, an onion state (OS) and mixtures between these cases.<sup>5</sup> These states are illustrated in Fig. 1 (a). The metastable OS can only be obtained, after the application of an external magnetic field perpendicular to the tube axis. Note that since the VS is a flux closed state it enables close packing of nanowires without magnetic cross talk via stray fields. Furthermore, it has recently been predicted by micromagnetic simulations, that DWs in magnetic NTs can be moved at extremely high speeds.<sup>6,7</sup> Since the DWs introduced above and in particular their velocities are very different, the knowledge of the actual magnetic ground state and

#### Nano Letters

its control are key prerequisites for a successful implementation of magnetic NTs in modern storage technology.

Earlier attempts to determine the magnetic properties of NTs have mostly been conducted on large ensembles<sup>8–10</sup> due to the small single magnetic moment of individual tubes. This, however, prevents an accurate characterization, since ensembles of NTs exhibit a distribution in size, shape and orientation depending strongly on the growth density. Therefore, the tube's individual magnetic properties may differ from those of the ensemble. Consequently, the investigation of single NTs with different ferromagnetic coatings came into the focus of research.<sup>11–15</sup>

While anisotropic magnetoresistance (AMR) measurements on single NTs have been performed, <sup>14–16</sup> it remains challenging to draw conclusions from AMR data alone to the magnetic ground state. One reason may lie in a typically rough surface which makes it difficult to compare the experimental data to micromagnetic models of idealized tubes. In this letter, we investigate nearly perfectly grown NTs, where the tubes and the magnetic coating have been grown in ultra high vacuum at very low base pressures. In addition, we exclusively process single NTs by using a dual beam scanning electron microscope (SEM/FIB). On these grounds we are able to avoid tapering effects appearing for densely grown NTs, guaranteeing a smooth, homogeneous magnetic shell.

For the analysis of individual NTs two different techniques are applied. First, an AMR setup is used where magnetic fields up to 2 T can be applied. The resistance change as a function of applied field gives access to the underlying magnetic ground state. Comparison of different field orientations, parallel and perpendicular to the tube's long axis, allows us to draw conclusions on the magnetic state in zero applied field. As a second tool scanning transmission x-ray microscopy (STXM) at the L<sub>3</sub>-edge of iron (Fe) is used to directly visualize the magnetic state by making use of x-ray magnetic circular dichroism<sup>17</sup> (XMCD) as contrast mechanism.

We find very stable vortex states, independent of the length of the tubes, indicating a

different origin for the fluxed closed VS than the reduction of stray fields.<sup>5</sup> Careful analysis of the AMR data and comparison to micromagnetic simulations allow determining the strength of the magnetic anisotropy for individual NTs. Using  $Al_2O_3$  buffer layers, the anisotropy can be tuned, such that the VS is less stable to externally applied magnetic fields. This ultimately leads to the conclusion that the VS is favored by a tunable growth induced azimuthal anisotropy, which in turn can be understood as a result of a shadowing effect occurring during growth at oblique angles. A growth induced anisotropy has also been addressed in references<sup>15,18</sup> as a possibility for the interpretation of the experimental findings.

## Sample design

In this study NTs, consisting of non-magnetic single crystalline GaAs cores and permalloy  $(Ni_{80}Fe_{20}, Py)$  shells, are chosen as objects of investigation. This choice is based on the characteristic low magnetic anisotropy of Py thin films, even on well prepared singlecrystalline substrates. The NTs are fabricated in the following two steps. First, GaAs rods are grown on oxidized Si(111) wafers via molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) in a III-V MBE using Ga droplets as catalysts.<sup>9,19</sup> After in-situ transfer to a metal MBE in a pressure better than  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  mbar, the coating layers (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Py/Al or Py/Al) are deposited at pressures around  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  mbar (base pressure  $5 \times 10^{-11}$  mbar), see left panel of Fig. 1(a). The Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer is important to control the magnetic ground state and is, if deposited, 1 nm thick. The aluminum (Al) capping layer is 3 nm thick for all samples. The angle between the NTs axis and the evaporation direction of the Py source is 28°. During evaporation the sample rotates at 10 rpm, producing a homogeneously thick coating on the NT. Fig. 1(b),(c),(d) and (e) show a sample after growth. Fig. 1(b) shows a cross section transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of sample s1. The inset displays a magnified image of the region between GaAs and Py where the crystalline structure of Py can be identified. The SEM images in Fig. 1(c) and (d) were taken at a grazing angle of roughly  $85^{\circ}$  with respect to the





Figure 1: a) Illustration of different states found in magnetic NTs and sketch of the layers deposited on the GaAs core. The thicknesses of the different layers for the samples are specified in Table 1. While the vortex state (VS) and the uniform state (US) may appear as magnetic ground states, the onion state (OS) is metastable and can only be entered after the application of an external magnetic field. b) Cross sectional TEM image of sample s1. The inset shows an enlargement of the border between GaAs and Py. The crystalline structure of Py can be identified. c) SEM image of the free standing, low density NTs which are individually selected for the experiments. d) Hexagonally shaped NTs exhibiting a very smooth surface after metal layer deposition. e) AFM images of the facets reveals a root mean squared roughness of  $R_{\rm rms} = 0.4$  nm.

substrate normal. The NT density is very low with approximately 1000 NTs/mm<sup>2</sup>. While most of the tubes grow perpendicularly to the [111] surface normal, oblique NTs may occur for [001] and [110] crystalline directions. By means of atomic force microscopy (AFM) the root mean squared (rms) surface roughness on the side facets of a single NT is determined to be 0.4 nm (Fig. 1(e)).

The hexagonally shaped NTs have a 15 nm thick magnetic shell with side facet normal vectors oriented along the [110] directions. The vertex-to-vertex diameter of the selected tubes is 500 nm and the tube's lengths range from 10 to 30  $\mu$ m. To guarantee a homogeneous Py coating a single NT is selected from an area where no other tubes are in its vicinity (compare Fig. 1(c)). Hence, tapering effects due to shadowing during growth are completely avoided. Using a gas-injection system (GIS) the chosen NT is fixed with platinum on the tip of a nano-manipulator. A focused ion beam (FIB) then detaches the NT from the substrate and it is placed elsewhere on an oxidized silicon sample. Finally specific lengths and straight ends are created using precise FIB cuts. The obtained individual NT can, for instance, be contacted with gold stripes (Fig. 2(a)) via electron beam lithography for transport measurements.

The exclusion of tapering, straight tube ends and low surface roughness provide samples closely resembling model samples used in simulations. Therefore, a direct comparison of the results from experimental measurements and simulations becomes possible. For the simulations standard Py material parameters are used:  $M_{\rm S} = 800$  kA/m and  $A_{\rm ex} = 13 \cdot 10^{-12}$ J/m. Furthermore we simulated a maximal cell size of 4 nm, which is below the exchange length of Py:  $l_{\rm ex} \approx 5.7$  nm. For most simulations periodic boundary conditions are applied to a cross sectional cut of the nanotube. This treatment is justified due to the high anisotropies encountered in our samples, leading to a homogeneous magnetic profile along the tubes length. In the simulations the AMR response is calculated from the cosine square of the local angle between magnetization and current. Hence, we do not account for the dependence of the AMR on out-of-plane or in-plane magnetization.<sup>20</sup> Since the maximal simulated out-ofplane tilting angle is only  $18.7^{\circ}$  this effect is negligible.

## **AMR-Measurements**

This section presents AMR measurements conducted on three different NTs (s1, s2.1 and s2.2). Their properties and the obtained results are summarized in Table 1. The resistance R is measured as a function of external magnetic field **H** for various directions indicated by the angle  $\Theta$  in Fig. 2(a). The applied current I was varied between 1 and 10  $\mu$ A. Due to the insulating Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> buffer layer of s2.1 and s2.2 a higher current flows in the Py-layer than for s1, where a significant portion ( $\approx 24$  %) flows through the semi-conducting GaAs core. This unwanted current-flow in the semiconductor reduces the maximum AMR ratio defined as AMR =  $(R_{\parallel} - R_{\perp})/R_{\perp}$  by roughly a factor of 3 (compare Table 1), but does not influence the interpretation of the data. Furthermore the signal-to-noise ratio deteriorates, which can only be partially compensated by enhancing the current. Typically I = 2 (5)  $\mu$ A have been applied for s2.1/s2.2 (s1).

Fig. 2(b) and (c) display resistance measurements for s1 and s2.1, respectively. The black curves, obtained for  $\Theta = 0$ , immediately reveal that the remanent state can not be a saturated state pointing along the tubes axis, since the zero-field resistance differs drastically from the high-field resistance. Since the onion state can only be entered after application

Table 1: Overview of the properties of the three different NTs (s1, s2.1, s2.2) investigated via AMR.  $H_{\text{sat},\parallel}$  is the external magnetic field necessary to align the magnetization **M** parallel to the tube's long axis.  $R_0$  is the resistance at zero applied field, d is the distance between the voltage contacts and L the length of the tube

name	s1	s2.1	s2.2
layer	Py/Al	$Al_2O_3/Py/Al$	$Al_2O_3/Py/Al$
$L (\mu m)$	20	20	30
d ( $\mu$ m)	9	9	15
AMR $(\%)$	$0.25 {\pm} 0.03$	$0.68 {\pm} 0.05$	$0.74{\pm}0.05$
$\mathrm{R}_0 \ (\Omega)$	$472.5 \pm 0.2$	$620.5 {\pm} 0.2$	$700 {\pm} 0.4$
$\mu_0 H_{\text{sat},\parallel} \text{ (mT)}$	$53 \pm 3$	$30{\pm}3$	$30\pm3$
type	4-point	2-point	4-point



Figure 2: AMR-measurements on individual NTs. a) SEM image of a NT contacted by Au leads in a four-point configuration. The coordinate system describes the direction of the externally applied field **H**. The magnetic field was swept from positive to negative values for all measurements. A linear background was subtracted from all obtained curves. Measurements for sample s1 and s2.1 are shown in (b) and (c), respectively. The red (black) curves are obtained for  $\Theta = 90^{\circ} (0^{\circ})$ . b) The inset shows a zoomed range of -40 to 40 mT. The inset in c) shows the normalized AMR signal in the saturated state ( $\mu_0 H = 1$  T) as a function of the angle  $\Theta$ . d) Simulation of the AMR experiment with parameters according to those of sample s2.1. e) Images at selected field values according to the simulation shown in d). The cross sectional images of the NT display the magnetization for the given values and directions of **H**.

#### Nano Letters

of an external field perpendicular to the tube axis the ground state is a VS. Given that the magnetization in the VS is perpendicular to the tubes long axis, the resistance is decreasing while approaching zero external field. For s1 (s2.1), an applied field of  $H_{\text{sat},\parallel} = 53 \text{ mT}$  (30 mT) is necessary to rotate the magnetic moments completely into the direction parallel to the tube's long axis, thus identified as the hard axis. The corresponding energy density difference  $\Delta \epsilon$  between US and VS can be calculated using the relation  $\mu_0 \cdot H_{\text{sat},\parallel} = \frac{2\Delta \epsilon}{M_S}$ , were  $\mu_0 M_S = 1 \text{ T}$  is the saturation magnetization of Py. Hence,  $\Delta \epsilon = 21200 \text{ J/m}^3$  for s1 and  $\Delta \epsilon = 13200 \text{ J/m}^3$  for s2.1/s2.2. The strength of the anisotropy is calculated in the following. The total energy density difference between the US and the VS is given by

$$\Delta \epsilon = \Delta \epsilon_{\rm ex} + \Delta \epsilon_{\rm ani} + \Delta \epsilon_{\rm demag},\tag{1}$$

$$= (0 - \epsilon_{\text{ex,VS}}) + (\epsilon_{\text{ani,US}} - 0) + (\epsilon_{\text{dem,US}} - 0)$$
(2)

comprising the exchange energy density  $\epsilon_{\text{ex,VS}}$ , induced by the curvature of the six edges in the VS, the anisotropy energy density  $\epsilon_{\text{ani,US}}$  and the demagnetizing energy density  $\epsilon_{\text{dem,US}}$ , for the case of a NT in the US. The anisotropy is assumed to be of uniaxial character and can be described by  $\epsilon_{\text{ani,US}} = -K_2 \cos^2\Theta$ , where  $K_2$  is the uniaxial anisotropy constant and the angle  $\Theta$  is introduced in Fig. 2 (a). The last non zero term in Eq. (2) can be estimated by approximating the NT by a general ellipsoid, yielding<sup>21</sup>

$$\epsilon_{\rm dem, US} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 M_{\rm S}^2 \frac{t}{t+L} = 300 \frac{J}{m^3},\tag{3}$$

were t = 15 nm is the thickness of the Py coating and L = 20  $\mu$ m is the length of the NT. The exchange contribution to the energy density of the VS  $\epsilon_{ex,VS}$  is estimated using micromagnetic simulations conducted with  $K_2^{s1} = -21000 \text{ J/m}^3$  and  $K_2^{s2} = -13000 \text{ J/m}^3$ . The latter is exemplary shown in Fig. 2(d). From these simulations the values  $H_{sat,\parallel,sim}^{s1} = 48$  mT and  $H_{sat,\parallel,sim}^{s2} = 28$  mT are obtained. Applying these values and the result of Eq. (3) to Eq. (2) yields  $\epsilon_{ex,VS,sim}^{s1} = 2100 \text{ J/m}^3$  and  $\epsilon_{ex,VS,sim}^{s2} = 2100 \text{ J/m}^3$ . As expected, the exchange

energy density does not change for different anisotropies and can be used to obtain  $K_2$  from the measurements. Using the values for  $\mu_0 H_{\text{sat},\parallel}$  listed in Table 1 and applying  $\epsilon_{\text{ex,VS}} = 2100$  $J/\text{m}^3$  and  $\epsilon_{\text{dem,US}} = 300 \text{ J/m}^3$  to Eq. (2) finally gives  $K_2^{s1} = -23000 \text{ J/m}^3$  and  $K_2^{s2} = -15000$  $J/\text{m}^3$  for s1 and s2, respectively. Hence, the 1 nm thick Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> layer reduces the anisotropy by a factor of 1.5.

A typical angular dependence of the AMR in the saturated state at 1 T is shown exemplarily for s2 in the inset of Fig. 2(c). The normalized AMR signal shows a maximum (minimum) corresponding to a parallel (perpendicular) orientation of current and external field. The data follows the well known  $\cos^2\Theta$  dependence and the corresponding fit is shown as red line.

A major difference between sample s1 and s2.1 is observed in the behavior of the AMR when approaching zero field with  $\Theta = 90^{\circ}$ . The field sweep direction is always from positive to negative fields. s2.1 switches into the vortex ground state for field values below 15 mT, visible in the AMR measurements as an abrupt resistance drop. In contrast, s1 remains in a metastable onion like state with a larger resistance at zero applied field. The inset in Fig. 2(b) shows the field regions between -40 and 40 mT. For s1 the original onion state is left at -10 mT, possibly for another onion like state with orientation switched by 180°. Simulations conducted with the parameters corresponding to s1 and s2.1 always lead to the vortex state at zero field. Fig. 2(d) and (e) show the simulation according to the parameters of s2.1. In the simulations the vortex state is reached for an applied magnetic field twice as large than observed experimentally. The onion like metastable state of s1 at zero field may be attributed to pinning effects which were not included in the simulations. These seem to be pronounced if the Py layer is directly grown on GaAs.

The remainder of this section discusses the origin and the tunability of the large anisotropy  $K_2$ , described above. To account for crystalline anisotropies a Py full film sample grown on GaAs(110) was analyzed via conventional absorption ferromagnetic resonance. For this sample we find a uniaxial in-plane anisotropy of  $K_2 = 3000 \text{ J/m}^3$ . Hence, crystalline anisotropy

#### Nano Letters

itself can not be responsible for the large anisotropies found in the NTs, especially since the orientation of the anisotropy does not coincide with the NTs circumference, which would be necessary in order to favor the VS.

The role of the demagnetizing field on the ground state arising from the different NT length is investigated in the following. Samples s2.1 and s2.2 were both picked from the same wafer, thus their only difference is their length;  $L = 20 \ \mu \text{m}$  for s2.1 and  $L = 30 \ \mu \text{m}$  for s2.2. When applying the external field at  $\Theta = 0$ , a saturation field  $H_{\text{sat},\parallel}$  is necessary to drag the magnetization out of the vortex state into the US. The results listed in Table 1 reveal no difference for both lengths, confirming the negligible contribution of the demagnetizing energy for the investigated NT-parameters and lengths. Applying Eq. (3) the difference for  $L = 20 \ \mu \text{m}$  and  $L = 30 \ \mu \text{m}$  is approximated to be only 0.25 mT. This result can be confirmed by micromagnetic simulations assuming no anisotropy: A NT with magnetic parameters corresponding to s2.1 exhibits a ground state magnetization pointing along the tubes long axis, with edge vortex-domains on each side of roughly 1  $\mu \text{m}$  length. In good approximation these edge domains remain the same size, independent of the NTs-length.

The origin of the enormous anisotropy can be explained by a self-shadowing effect, which occurs during the growth process. This effect is well known and has been studied in several earlier works on full-film samples.<sup>22–25</sup> However, it has not been considered in the context of MBE-grown magnetic NT coatings. A prerequisite for its occurrence is a small angle between long axis of the tubes and the Py evaporation beam direction, referred to as  $\zeta$ . The 'surface' in the case of a NT is given by the facets. For our setup  $\zeta = 28^{\circ}$  for all facets. During the initial stages of the Py layer formation, small crystallites are randomly distributed across the facets. These crystallites act further as growth nuclei, while the facet region behind them is deprived of Py deposition leading to a shadowing effect. At some point the crystallites get large enough to start merging, creating crystalline chains, whose long axis therefore circles the perpendicular to the beam direction.<sup>23</sup> In the case of NTs this axis therefore circles the

circumference, creating a growth induced anisotropy that favors the vortex ground state.

Knowledge of the origin of the growth-induced anisotropy is essential to modify the NTs magnetic properties, such as the stability of the ground state at zero applied field. Comparison of s1 and s2.1, whose only difference is the insulating buffer layer  $Al_2O_3$ , shows a difference in  $H_{\text{sat},\parallel}$  of 23 mT which is directly linked to an anisotropy difference of  $\Delta K_2 = 8000 \text{ J/m}^3$ . The 1 nm thick buffer layer creates a slightly rougher surface and influences the crystalline growth of Py, due to its amorphous structure. Without the buffer layer the crystalline structure of Py is adapted directly from the GaAs. For a 1 nm thick  $Al_2O_3$  layer the crystalline growth on the facets is influenced enough to reduce the induced anisotropy by a factor of 1.5 (difference between sample s1 and s2). In other reports, <sup>12,13</sup> the GaAs rods are transferred to a MBE chamber without in-situ connection. Consequently, the surface of the GaAs oxidizes and the reported results suggest almost no growth induced anisotropy. We expect similar findings for thicker layers of  $Al_2O_3$ .

Another approach to change the magnetic ground state of the NT would be a variation of the growth conditions. For instance, different angles  $\zeta$  can change the growth induced anisotropy.<sup>22–25</sup> While  $\zeta = 90^{\circ}$  would result in zero growth induced anisotropy, as it is known for Py thin films, smaller angles than 90° induce an anisotropy perpendicular to the Py atoms beam direction, with a maximum anisotropy at around 40°.<sup>22,23,25</sup> This anisotropy favors the vortex state in the case of nanotubes. Unfortunately changing  $\zeta$  is impossible in most growth chambers, since they require a repositioning of the evaporation source. Hence, as a more comfortable parameter the growth temperature can be exploited to tune the growth induced anisotropy and thus the ground state in NTs. Increasing the mobility of the metal atoms on the facets reduces the anisotropy since atoms are able to diffuse into the shadowed regions during the initial states of growth and thus influence the crystalline chains. This effect has already been investigated for flat Py-films.<sup>23</sup> Page 13 of 21

## **STXM-Measurements**

This section presents the scanning transmission x-ray microscopy (STXM) measurements conducted at the PolLux<sup>26</sup> (X07DA) endstation of the Swiss Light Source synchrotron at the Paul Scherrer Institute. In order to visualize the magnetization of the NTs<sup>27,28</sup> images with left and right circularly polarized light are taken, respectively. The absorption spectra are obtained at the L<sub>3</sub>-edge of iron (709.8 eV). Their difference spectrum is obtained by calculating the XMCD asymmetry, given by  $I_{\rm XMCD} = (I_{\rm left} - I_{\rm right})/(I_{\rm left} + I_{\rm right})$ . The XMCD method is only sensitive to the component of the magnetization collinear to the x-ray beam (**M** || x-ray beam).

Two different NTs are discussed in the following. A 210 nm diameter tube with a 30 nm thick Py shell and a 500 nm diameter tube with a 15 nm thick Py shell, both capped with 3 nm Al. The samples are shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b), respectively. Both samples are grown without buffer layers, meaning the Py layer is in direct contact with the GaAs core (compare sample s1 in Table 1). The samples are positioned such that the top facet normal is parallel to the x-ray beam (compare Fig. 3(d)). Since STXM imaging is a transmission technique, in the case of a tube in the VS, the magnetic signal of upper and lower facets average to zero, while the oblique facets accumulate their signals. The obtained images in Fig. 3 clearly visualize the vortex state described in the first section.

The images shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b) were obtained after application and removal of a magnetic field of 150 mT perpendicular to the NT. Initially, the NTs exhibited a global VS for both types of tubes. Our previously displayed AMR findings are therefore nicely confirmed using a complementary technique. However, we observe that after removing the external field the tubes decay into sections of vortex domains with opposite circulation around the NT. A line scan across a domain wall is shown in Fig. 3(c). The lateral size of the x-ray beam is approximately 40 nm in these experiments, i.e. the measured domain wall width of  $\Delta_{\rm DW} = \pi l = 140$  nm represents an upper limit. To estimate the actual value of the domain wall parameter *l* we use micromagnetic simulations, applying the sample parameters



Figure 3: XMCD images obtained with STXM at zero applied magnetic field. Black and white contrast corresponds to a magnetization component pointing parallel and antiparallel to the x-ray beam direction, which is illustrated in d). a) 210 nm diameter tube placed on top of a 1  $\mu$ m wide antenna in order to be able to apply rf-excitation fields. b) 500 nm diameter tube. Domains are clearly visible for both tube types. c) Enlarged image section of the 210 nm tube. A line scan is shown along the red line to estimate an upper bound of the domain wall width:  $\Delta_{\rm DW} = 140$  nm. The lateral size of the x-ray beam is approximately 40 nm. d) Illustration of the x-ray beam direction with respect to the NT facets orientation. The excitation field  $h_{\rm rf}$  is generated by the strip antenna.

of s1 ( $K_2 = 23000 \text{ J/m}^3$ ). In the simulation a DW is forced into a NT by starting with two opposing vortex domains. After the relaxation process the DW width is determined to be  $\Delta_{\text{DW}} \approx 70$  nm for the 500 nm as well as for the 250 nm diameter tube. l can be used to estimate the number of domain walls necessary to account for the AMR signal in Fig. 2(b), if assuming a multi domain state at zero applied field, instead of a metastable onion state. The domain wall profile is expressed as  $m_x(x) = \cosh^{-1}(x/l)$ , where x is the direction parallel to the tubes axis. Since the AMR signal depends quadratically on  $m_x$  the contribution of one single domain wall to the AMR signal is  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m_x(x)^2 dx = 2l$ . Using this approximation there would need to be more than 35 domains within the distance between the voltage contacts (d = 9  $\mu$ m) to account for the increase in resistance at zero applied field (red curve in Fig.

#### Nano Letters

2(b)). Since, we never encountered such a high density of domain walls the onion state is still a reasonable explanation to account for the increase in resistance approaching zero field.

In an attempt to achieve a uniform magnetic state, both types of tubes are placed on a copper strip line in order to be able to apply rf magnetic fields. For Fig. 3(b) the antenna is on the far right (outside the image section). Application of rf-excitation for some seconds, exceeding 4 dBm throughput leads to removal of all initially created domains leaving only a global vortex state (not shown). The power of 4 dBm corresponds to a field of roughly 5 mT directly at the facet in contact with the antenna (width = 1  $\mu$ m, thickness = 250 nm). Domains are removed for all tested frequencies (2 - 8 GHz). The exact process of domain wall removal is unresolved at the moment, but we speculate that the excitation of the magnetization with very large rf magnetic fields leads to the excitation of high amplitude spin waves which push the domain walls towards the ends of the tubes where they annihilate. Since applications rely on reading and writing processes, this finding is of particular interest for further investigations.

# Conclusion

In conclusion, anisotropic magnetoresistance and transmission x-ray microscopy measurements were conducted on individually selected Py NTs. A very stable vortex ground state was found for Py grown directly on the GaAs core. The large anisotropy necessary for the VS formation can be explained in terms of a growth induced effect, depending strongly on the growth conditions such as the angle between the NT axis and the thermal Py evaporation source. Using 1 nm thick  $Al_2O_3$  buffer layers between Py and GaAs, the anisotropy can be reduced by a factor of 1.5. These findings offer the possibility to control the magnetic ground states of NTs during growth. The flux closed VS is particularly interesting for densely packed arrays of NTs, since it greatly reduces magneto-static interactions. To corroborate our findings, the vortex ground state was directly visualized with x-ray magnetic microscopy.

Stable vortex domain walls can be introduced into the NT when applying external magnetic fields perpendicular to the tube's axis for a short period of time. Surprisingly, these domains can be erased again when applying a strong local rf-magnetic field excitation. Our findings may enable a broad range of further experiments concerning domain wall motion in magnetic NTs as well as applications using NTs as building blocks for future data storage devices.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### ORCID

Michael Zimmermann: 0000-0002-1945-1237

Christian Back: 0000-0003-3840-0993

List of abbreviations: nanotube (NT), anisotropic magnetoresistance (AMR), scanning transmission x-ray microscopy (STXM), domain wall (DW), vortex state (VS), uniform state (US), onion state (OS), scanning electron microscope (SEM), focused ion beam (FIB), x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD), molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM), gas-injection system (GIS)

## References

- Parkin, S. S. P.; Hayashi, M.; Thomas, L. Magnetic Domain-Wall Racetrack Memory. Science 2008, 320, 190–194.
- (2) Emori, S.; Bauer, U.; Ahn, S.-M.; Martínez, E.; Beach, G. S. D. Current-driven dynamics of chiral ferromagnetic domain walls. *Nature materials* **2013**, *12* 7, 611–616.
- (3) Ryu, K.-S.; Thomas, L.; Yang, S.-H.; Parkin, S. Chiral spin torque at magnetic domain walls. *Nature Nanotechnology* **2013**, *8*, 527–533.
- (4) Lin, W.-Y.; Ho, C.-C.; Hsu, W.-K. Large-scale production of ferromagnetic nanorings

#### Nano Letters

2
3
4
5
6
7
/
8
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
١ð
19
20
21
22
22
∠.) ⊃.4
24 2-
25
26
27
28
29
20
20
31
32
33
34
35
36
20
37
38
39
40
41
42
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
<u>4</u> 0
77 50
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
50
5/
58
59

60

by a modified hole-mask colloidal lithography: Controlled creation of flux-closure vortex state. *AIP Advances* **2016**, *6*, 025102.

- (5) Landeros, P.; Suarez, O. J.; Cuchillo, A.; Vargas, P. Equilibrium states and vortex domain wall nucleation in ferromagnetic nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. B* **2009**, *79*, 024404.
- (6) Yan, M.; Andreas, C.; Kkay, A.; Garca-Snchez, F.; Hertel, R. Fast domain wall dynamics in magnetic nanotubes: Suppression of Walker breakdown and Cherenkov-like spin wave emission. *Applied Physics Letters* **2011**, *99*, 122505.
- (7) Otálora, J. A.; Yan, M.; Schultheiss, H.; Hertel, R.; Kákay, A. Curvature-Induced Asymmetric Spin-Wave Dispersion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2016**, *117*, 227203.
- (8) Bachmann, J.; Jing,; Knez, M.; Barth, S.; Shen, H.; Mathur, S.; Gsele, U.; Nielsch, K. Ordered Iron Oxide Nanotube Arrays of Controlled Geometry and Tunable Magnetism by Atomic Layer Deposition. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2007, 129, 9554–9555.
- (9) Rudolph, A.; Soda, M.; Kiessling, M.; Wojtowicz, T.; Schuh, D.; Wegscheider, W.; Zweck, J.; Back, C.; Reiger, E. Ferromagnetic GaAs/GaMnAs CoreShell Nanowires Grown by Molecular Beam Epitaxy. *Nano Letters* **2009**, *9*, 3860–3866.
- (10) Albrecht, O.; Zierold, R.; Allende, S.; Escrig, J.; Patzig, C.; Rauschenbach, B.; Nielsch, K.; Grlitz, D. Experimental evidence for an angular dependent transition of magnetization reversal modes in magnetic nanotubes. *Journal of Applied Physics* 2011, 109, 093910.
- (11) Gross, B.; Weber, D. P.; Rüffer, D.; Buchter, A.; Heimbach, F.; Fontcuberta i Morral, A.; Grundler, D.; Poggio, M. Dynamic cantilever magnetometry of individual CoFeB nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. B* 2016, *93*, 064409.

- (12) Buchter, A. et al. Magnetization reversal of an individual exchange-biased permalloy nanotube. *Phys. Rev. B* 2015, *92*, 214432.
- (13) Wyss, M.; Mehlin, A.; Gross, B.; Buchter, A.; Farhan, A.; Buzzi, M.; Kleibert, A.; Tütüncüoglu, G.; Heimbach, F.; Fontcuberta i Morral, A.; Grundler, D.; Poggio, M. Imaging magnetic vortex configurations in ferromagnetic nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. B* 2017, 96, 024423.
- (14) Rüffer, D.; Huber, R.; Berberich, P.; Albert, S.; Russo-Averchi, E.; Heiss, M.; Arbiol, J.;
  Fontcuberta i Morral, A.; Grundler, D. Magnetic states of an individual Ni nanotube probed by anisotropic magnetoresistance. *Nanoscale* 2012, *4*, 4989–4995.
- (15) Baumgaertl, K.; Heimbach, F.; Maendl, S.; Rueffer, D.; Fontcuberta I Morral, A.; Grundler, D. Magnetization reversal in individual Py and CoFeB nanotubes locally probed via anisotropic magnetoresistance and anomalous Nernst effect. *Applied Physics Letters* 2016, 108, 132408.
- (16) Rüffer, D.; Slot, M.; Huber, R.; Schwarze, T.; Heimbach, F.; Ttncoglu, G.; Matteini, F.; Russo-Averchi, E.; Kovcs, A.; Dunin-Borkowski, R.; Zamani, R. R.; Morante, J. R.; Arbiol, J.; i Morral, A. F.; Grundler, D. Anisotropic magnetoresistance of individual CoFeB and Ni nanotubes with values of up to 1.4% at room temperature. *APL Materials* 2014, *2*, 076112.
- (17) Schütz, G.; Wagner, W.; Wilhelm, W.; Kienle, P.; Zeller, R.; Frahm, R.; Materlik, G.
   Absorption of circularly polarized x-rays in iron. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1987**, *58*, 737–740.
- (18) Stano, M. et al. Imaging magnetic flux-closure domains and domain walls in electrolessdeposited CoNiB nanotubes. arXiv 2017, 1704.06614.
- (19) Uccelli, E.; Arbiol, J.; Magen, C.; Krogstrup, P.; Russo-Averchi, E.; Heiss, M.; Mugny, G.; Morier-Genoud, F.; Nygrd, J.; Morante, J. R.; Fontcuberta i Morral, A.

1
2
3
4
5
ر د
6
7
8
٥.
10
10
11
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
10
10
19
20
21
22
22
23
24
25
26
20
27
28
29
30
21
31
32
33
34
25
30
36
37
38
20
22
40
41
42
<u>4</u> २
10
44
45
46
47
10
40
49
50
51
52
52
53
54
55
56
57
5/
58
59

60

Three-Dimensional Multiple-Order Twinning of Self-Catalyzed GaAs Nanowires on Si Substrates. *Nano Letters* **2011**, *11*, 3827–3832.

- (20) Rijks, T. G. S. M.; Coehoorn, R.; de Jong, M. J. M.; de Jonge, W. J. M. Semiclassical calculations of the anisotropic magnetoresistance of NiFe-based thin films, wires, and multilayers. *Phys. Rev. B* 1995, *51*, 283–291.
- (21) Osborn, J. A. Demagnetizing Factors of the General Ellipsoid. Phys. Rev. 1945, 67, 351–357.
- (22) Cohen, M. S. Anisotropy in Permalloy Films Evaporated at Grazing Incidence. Journal of Applied Physics 1961, 32, S87.
- (23) Smith, D. O.; Cohen, M. S.; Weiss, G. P. Oblique-Incidence Anisotropy in Evaporated Permalloy Films. *Journal of Applied Physics* **1960**, *31*, 1755–1762.
- (24) Knorr, T. G.; Hoffman, R. W. Dependence of Geometric Magnetic Anisotropy in Thin Iron Films. *Phys. Rev.* 1959, 113, 1039–1046.
- (25) Kamberský, V.; Málek, Z.; Frait, Z.; Ondris, M. The dependence of the uniaxial magnetic anisotropy in evaporated films on the angle of incidence. *Czech. J. Phys. B* 1961, 11, 171–178.
- (26) Raabe, J.; Tzvetkov, G.; Flechsig, U.; Bge, M.; Jaggi, A.; Sarafimov, B.; Vernooij, M. G. C.; Huthwelker, T.; Ade, H.; Kilcoyne, D.; Tyliszczak, T.; Fink, R. H.; Quitmann, C. PolLux: A new facility for soft x-ray spectromicroscopy at the Swiss Light Source. *Review of Scientific Instruments* 2008, 79, 113704.
- (27) Kimling, J.; Kronast, F.; Martens, S.; Böhnert, T.; Martens, M.; Herrero-Albillos, J.; Tati-Bismaths, L.; Merkt, U.; Nielsch, K.; Meier, G. Photoemission electron microscopy of three-dimensional magnetization configurations in core-shell nanostructures. *Phys. Rev. B* 2011, *84*, 174406.

(28) Streubel, R.; Kronast, F.; Fischer, P.; Parkinson, D.; Schmidt, O. G.; Makarov, D. Retrieving spin textures on curved magnetic thin films with full-field soft X-ray microscopies. *Nature Communications* **2015**, *6*, 7612.

1		
2		
3	AMR	STXM
4		
5		
6		
7	H≫0	x-ray
8	H=0	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
10		
12		
10		
20		
20		
27		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42 /3		
μ. ΑΔ		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59	21	