Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR)



An Electrochemical and Photoelectron Spectroscopy Study of a Low Temperature Liquid Metal Battery Based on an Ionic Liquid Electrolyte

Lalau, C.-C.; Dimitrova, A.; Himmerlich, M.; Ispas, A.; Weier, T.; Krischok, S.; Bund, A.;

Originally published:

September 2016

Journal of the Electrochemical Society 163(2016)10, A2488-A2493

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1149/2.0051613jes

Perma-Link to Publication Repository of HZDR:

https://www.hzdr.de/publications/Publ-24072

Release of the secondary publication on the basis of the German Copyright Law § 38 Section 4.

An electrochemical and photoelectron spectroscopy study of a low temperature liquid metal battery based on an ionic liquid electrolyte

3 Cornel-Constantin Lalau^{a,z}, Anna Dimitrova^{b,z}, Marcel Himmerlich^b, Adriana Ispas^a, Tom Weier^c, Stefan

4 Krischok^b and Andreas Bund^a

5 ^a Electrochemistry and Electroplating Group, Ilmenau University of Technology, Gustav-Kirchhoff-

6 Str. 6, 98693 Ilmenau, Germany

7 ^b Institute of Physics and Institute of Micro- and Nanotechnologies, Ilmenau University of

8 Technology, PF 100565, 98684 Ilmenau, Germany

9 ^c Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Bautzner Landstr. 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany

10 ^z E-mail: cornel-constantin.lalau@tu-ilmenau.de

11 ^z E-mail: anna.dimitrova@tu-ilmenau.de

12

13 Abstract

We report the design of a low-temperature liquid metal battery (LMB). Li and Ga as the negative 14 and positive electrode, respectively, are used in combination with a room temperature ionic 15 liquid as an electrolyte. 1 mol/L lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (Li[TFSI]) in 1-butyl-16 1-methylpyrrolidinium bis (trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([BMP][TFSI]) is chosen as 17 electrolyte. The battery operates at 220 °C which is a relatively low temperature for a LMB and 18 shows good electrochemical performance at low current density. The cells were cycled for more 19 20 than 600 h and achieved a round-trip Coulombic efficiency close to 100 % and an average voltage efficiency of 66 % resulting in an overall energy efficiency of 65 %. At higher current 21 densities, however, the system showed up to 75 % irreversible capacity loss after three cycles. To 22 23 understand the origin of this strong deterioration, we characterized the surface and the bulk properties of the Ga cathode using X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy. Especially at higher 24

current densities a decomposition of the electrolyte was found. The occurring chemical changes
and the elemental distribution at the Ga cathode are analyzed based on XPS measurements at
different stages of the battery charge/discharge cycling.

28

29 1. Introduction

The rapid deployment of renewable energy technologies, such as solar and wind power, 30 accelerates the need of new generation low-cost and long-life energy storage devices. To this 31 end, various technologies have been proposed, such as hydroelectric pump storage, compressed 32 33 air, fuel cells, flywheels and capacitors. However, they are often unable to provide satisfactory performance in terms of durability, high power, round trip energy efficiency and costs. Batteries 34 are attractive as stationary storage devices due to their rapid response times, relatively high 35 specific energy and energy densities (128 Wh \cdot kg⁻¹ and 230 Wh \cdot L⁻¹ for lithium-ion batteries, 150 36 $Wh \cdot kg^{-1}$ and 350 $Wh \cdot L^{-1}$ for sodium-sulfur batteries), high storage efficiencies and simple 37 maintenance. However, their high cost and the safety risk prevent them from being widely 38 adopted for stationary applications [1-4]. 39

Recently the technology of liquid metal batteries (LMBs), first introduced in the early 60's, has been renewed [5-7]. The LMB tests in the Sadoway group at MIT provide valuable information about Mg/Sb, Li/Sb-Pb and Li/Bi systems and pointed out the promising features of these batteries such as their low production costs, their ability to work with high voltage efficiencies at high current densities when compared with conventional intercalation-type batteries and, last but not least, their ability to withstand a large number of charge/discharge cycles.

46 Typically, a LMB comprises three liquid layers, a low density alkali or earth alkali metal as47 negative electrode on the top, a high density molten metal as positive electrode at the bottom,

48 separated by a molten alkali halide mixture electrolyte with intermediate density [5]. The system self-stratifies due to density differences and immiscibility. During discharge, the molten metal at 49 the anode is oxidized and cations are conducted through the electrolyte being reduced at the 50 cathode and forming a liquid metal alloy. The process is reversed when the cell is charged. 51 Depending on the selected electrode pairs and the used electrolytes, LMBs typically operate at 52 high temperatures ranging from 450 to 700 °C. So far molten salt mixtures such as LiCl-LiBr, 53 LiF-LiCl-LiI, are used as electrolytes in the LMBs [5-7]. In the present study we explore the 54 suitability of room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) as electrolytes in LMBs. 55

In recent years RTILs attracted a great attention due to their non-volatility, non-flammability, wide temperature range of operation and high conductivity [9,10]. They are already successfully used as electrolytes in rechargeable lithium batteries [11-13]. Those features of RTILs motivated us to explore their suitability as alternatives to molten salts for LMBs.

The advantage of operating at this relatively low temperature for such a system is the reduced reactivity of the molten electrodes with impact on the corrosion of the cell construction materials.

The electrolyte used in this study was a mixture of lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide 63 dissolved in 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide 64 (Li[TFSI]) ([BMP][TFSI]). The RTIL was chosen based on its good thermal and electrochemical stability 65 and the low reactivity with molten lithium at the operating temperature (220 °C) [9]. In search of 66 67 appropriate electrodes, we considered Li and Ga because of their low melting temperatures coupled with the binary phase diagram and the estimated equilibrium cell voltage [14]. 68 Moreover, liquid Ga was already used as a self-healing negative electrode in lithium ion batteries 69 70 and lithium shows good stability towards its halide melts and high discharge capacity [7, 8, 15].

72

2. Experimental

73 2.1. Electrochemical setup

Cells consisting of a Li negative electrode, a RTIL electrolyte mixture, 1 mol/L lithium 74 bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (Li[TFSI]) in 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis 75 (trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide ([BMP][TFSI]) and a Ga positive electrode were assembled in 76 the fully charged state inside an insulating aluminum nitride ceramic tube with an active surface 77 area of 0.68 cm² and then placed inside a cylindrical glass container (Fig. 1). Tungsten wires 78 were used as negative and positive current collectors and the inter-electrode distance was 0.5 cm. 79 The assembly process and the electrochemical characterization of the cells were conducted in an 80 inert glove-box with argon atmosphere (OMNI-LAB from Vacuum-Atmospheres - O₂ content 81 < 0.2 ppm, H₂O content < 0.5 ppm). The negative electrode was prepared by immersing the 82 tungsten wire into the molten lithium. Then the gallium metal was added as liquid inside the AIN 83 tube after which the RTIL electrolyte was poured and the negative electrode was placed on top of 84 the electrolyte. The cells were heated up to 220 °C through a coil made of thermoresistive 85 elements which was fixed around the glass tube. The temperature was measured and controlled 86 with a thermocouple. The molten components self-segregate into three layers based on their 87 immiscibility and density differences (0.512 g·cm⁻³ for Li, 1.4 g·cm⁻³ for [BMP][TFSI] and 88 $6.095 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ for Ga). Thus, based on the chosen geometry and the used materials, the assembled 89 90 cells have a theoretical capacity of approximately $0.2 \text{ A} \cdot \text{h}$.

According to the Ga-Li binary phase diagram, in order to maintain the all liquid metal alloy during operation at the chosen working temperature (220 °C) a fully discharged target composition of 7 mol. % Li in Ga was used [14]. Li and Ga were selected on the basis of their relative low melting points as compared to other
existing electrode pairs (180.5 °C for Li and 29.7 °C for Ga). As precursors for battery assembly,
Li (purity 99.9 %, supplier Alfa Aesar), Ga (99.99 %, Alfa Aesar), Li[TFSI] (99.9 %, Alfa
Aesar) and [BMP][TFSI] (99.95 %, Iolitec) were used as received without further purification.
The moisture content in the RTILs was monitored by Karl Fischer titration (model 831 KF from
Metrohm) and an average value of 40 ppm water content was recorded.

Galvanostatic cycling with potential limitation (GCPL), cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were employed to characterize the cells, using a two-electrode setup with Li metal serving as the counter/reference electrode and the Ga as the working electrode. The voltammetric curves were recorded between ± 1.1 V and ± 0.35 V at a scan rate of 0.5 mV·s⁻¹ and the impedance spectra were acquired in the frequency range from 100 kHz to 10 mHz with an AC voltage amplitude of ± 10 mV around the open circuit potential. A Biologic potentiostat model SP300 was used for the electrochemical characterizations.

107

108 2.2. Sample preparation for XPS analyses

For analysis of the elemental distribution and the chemical composition of the Ga cathode's surface and bulk region, two Ga cathode samples (after discharge - lithiation and charge delithiation, respectively) were carefully separated from the rest of the battery components prior to being packed into a hermetically sealed transfer-box for transportation. All the operations were done in a glove box under argon atmosphere.

114

115

117 2.3. XPS measurements and instrumentation

To prevent the samples from moisture/air exposure, a nitrogen dry-box (Carl Roth GmbH,
Germany) was mounted to the XPS spectrometer so that the samples could be easily removed
from the transfer-box within the dry-box, and placed into the transfer chamber.

XPS analysis were carried out with a Specs SAGE spectrometer (base pressure $< 1 \times 10^{-8}$ mbar) 121 equipped with a Phoibos 150 electron analyzer using a focused monochromatized AlKa radiation 122 (hv = 1486.7 eV). During the experiments the pressure in the analysis chamber was ~ 5×10^{-1} 123 ⁸ mbar. Core level spectra were recorded at a constant analyzer pass energy of 13 eV allowing a 124 total energy resolution of 0.6 eV and no charge neutralization was used. For binding energy 125 calibration, the energy scale was corrected by aligning the N1s signal to the N1s cation state of 126 the neat ionic liquid at 402.7 eV [BMP][TFSI] [16], while the binding energy (BE) scale of the 127 128 cathode sample was calibrated from the gallium signal using the $Ga2p_{3/2}$ peak at 1116.7 eV [17]. The core level spectra were analyzed by subtracting a Shirley-type background [18] and peak 129 areas were calculated by a weighed least-square fitting of model curves (70 % Gaussian, 30 % 130 Lorentzian) to the experimental data using the software package CASA XPS (Version 23.16) 131 Dev52, Casa Software Ltd.). For quantification the atomic percentage (at. %) was calculated on 132 the basis of photoionization cross sections by Yeh and Lindau [8]. 133

134 XPS sputter profiling was performed using a differentially pumped IQE 12/38 ion source 135 operated with Argon (source pressure 2.2×10^{-3} mbar, Ar⁺ ion energy keV, emission current 136 10 mA, ion current 6 mA) and laterally scanning the Ar⁺ ions across the sample surface (scan 137 area 10×10 mm²). Under these conditions, the Ga-cathode can be etched at a rate of ~ 138 0.2 nm·min⁻¹.

3. Results and discussion

141 3.1 Electrochemical performance

In order to investigate the electrochemical behavior of the Li||Ga LMB, several cells were assembled and tested at 220 °C. At this temperature, before the electrochemical test starts, the open-circuit potential (OCP) stabilizes at ~ 2 V, which strongly deviates from the reported thermodynamic data [20]. The deviation is probably caused by the formation of a passivation layer at the electrode-electrolyte interfaces as a result of interaction with the electrolyte. After several minutes of galvanostatic cycling, the OCP value stabilized at ~ 0.75 V. This change could correspond to a disintegration of the passivation layer during cycling.

The electrical conductivity of the RTIL electrolyte was estimated from the resistance at high frequency limit in EIS measurements and it was found to be 30 mS·cm⁻¹, which is considerable lower than the conductivity of conventional molten halide salts typically used as electrolytes in LMB's $(1.7-3.5 \text{ S} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1} \text{ for lithium halides})$.

The cell prototypes of ~ 0.2 A h theoretical capacity were galvanostatically cycled at 10 mA \cdot cm⁻ 153 2 (C/40 rate) for a predefined time of 20 h corresponding to a 50 % depth of discharge. The cut-154 off charging voltage was set to 1.11 V and the cells were operated continuously for about 600 h, 155 i.e. for 14 charge-discharge cycles with stable cycling performance (Fig. 2). The near-constant 156 voltage plateau observed in the galvanostatic voltage-capacity profile and the absence of a sharp 157 voltage drop suggests that during the operation of the battery no intermetallic compounds are 158 formed in agreement with the Li-Ga phase diagram. During the initial cycles the cells exhibited a 159 round-trip Coulombic efficiency of 97 % which slowly increased to 100 % at the end of the test. 160 This can be caused by an improved wetting of the tungsten negative current collector by molten 161

lithium during the cycling experiments. The cells achieved an average value of voltage efficiencyof 66 % during the tests resulting in an overall energy efficiency of 65 %.

To gain further insight in kinetics of the LMB, we applied cyclic voltammetry (Fig. 3a) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (Fig. 3b). The charge-transfer overpotential, indicated by the deviation of the I=f (V) linear dependence in the CV experiment (Fig. 3a), is also confirmed by the presence of the depressed semicircle in the EIS scan (Fig. 3b). In the former the presence of more pronounced hysteresis in the anodic scan profile suggests irreversible phenomena during the charging (delithiation) process, which are further proved by XPS measurements (see Section 3.2).

The presence of the semicircle in the high frequency region can be attributed to the formation of a layer at the cathode-electrolyte interface, resulting from electrolyte decomposition products and can be defined as a <u>solid-electrolyte interface (SEI)</u>. Based on these findings one could estimate that the potential drop coupled with the charge-transfer overpotential plays an important role in the overall loss mechanism in the investigated system [21].

Our experimental results suggest that at low current densities and moderate time cycling a porous SEI layer is formed, facilitating the Li⁺ diffusion into the cathode. This is confirmed by the slight decrease in the cell internal resistance observed in the figure 3b (EIS spectrum). At higher rates however, dense and impenetrable SEI structures might form, which hinder Li⁺ diffusion out and into the cathode and lead to an increased capacity fade.

Further, in order to evaluate the battery capability, the cells were fully discharged (lithiated) at three different current rates: $10 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$, $16 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ and $32 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$, corresponding to a C/40, C/24 and a C/12 rate, respectively (Fig. 4). The cut-off voltage was set to 0.2 V for lithiation and

184 1.4 V for delithiation. At $10 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ the good electrochemical performance of the cells was 185 confirmed by a stable cycling behavior.

In the case of higher current rates $(16 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ and } 32 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2})$ the batteries exhibit a significant limitation in the delithiation process (see the capacity loss at Fig. 4), which suggest irreversible phenomena occurring in the bulk of the electrolyte, at the SEI, or within the Ga cathode.

In order to clarify the failure mechanisms within the battery, cells at different charge states were 190 slowly cooled down to room temperature and post mortem analyses were performed. When 191 192 opening the cell, it was visible that the RTIL electrolyte showed signs of degradation proved by a change in color which turned to light-brown and also by the presence of fine particles 193 accumulated at the cathode-electrolyte interface. This might be caused by a SEI instability 194 coupled with RTIL decomposition products. Within the fracture cross-section of the cathode, a 195 distinct gray layer corresponding to the Li-Ga alloy, is present at the top, while liquid Ga is 196 observed beneath. 197

198

199 3.2 XPS analyses

As a next step we use XPS to determine the chemical composition on the surface and in the bulk of two cathode samples. One was taken from a cell after the first complete lithiation, while the other after a few electrochemical cycles, the last one being stopped at the end of the delithiation. The BE positions of the surface detected core level states and the atomic concentration of the elements are summarized in Table 1, and show a relatively good agreement between the elemental composition and the peak positions of the neat [BMP][TFSI] ionic liquid [16] and the Ga cathode surface. This refers to an electrolyte film, which does not evaporate after drying 207 under vacuum due to the very low vapour pressure of the ionic liquid. The increased amount of [TFSI] anion, suggested by the increased N^{anion}/N^{cation} (i.e, N^{399.5 eV}/N^{402.7 eV}) ratio, originates 208 form the dissolved Li[TFSI] salt. No distinct presence of electrolyte decomposition products is 209 210 found in this thin layer except a small amount of fluorine compound with $BE = 685.3 \text{ eV} \pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$ (0.8 at. % and 2.3 at. % after lithiation and delithiation, respectively), 211 which we assign to LiF [22]. Its detection suggests electrochemical reduction of the [TFSI] anion 212 to a reactive radical, \cdot NSO₂CF₃, which further breaks down to CF₂ or F⁻-containing species, 213 which easily react with metallic lithium and form RCF₂Li and LiF, as already proposed by 214 Aurbach et al. [23] and Howlett et al. [24]. The absence of a Li⁺ signal is assigned to the low 215 photoelectron cross section of the Li1s state [19] and the related detection limit of XPS 216 217 (0.1 at. %).

Further, we analyse the elemental compositions and the distribution in the bulk of the cathode after sputtering the samples with Ar^+ ions.

The BE positions and the quantitative analysis are displayed in Fig. 5 and Table 2. The detection of F, O, C, N and S inside the cathode is a direct indication for the decomposition of the electrolyte in the course of the electrochemical process and supports our assumption based on the EIS data. F, O, S and C – containing reduction products diffused irreversibly into the cathode layer already in the course of the first lithiation cycle and stay accumulated there after the liquidsolid transition during cooling of the battery system. S-containing species intercalate reversibly, while the N–containing reduction products are found only after a few cycles.

The deconvolution of the F1s spectrum reveals the presence of several species, which we assign to LiF ($BE^{F1s} \sim 685.5 \text{ eV}$, $BE^{Li1s} \sim 56 \text{ eV}$) [22] and three organic fluorine compounds detected at BE ~ 687, 689 and 691 eV. The peak at (~ 689 eV) has a position, which correlates with the 230 trifluoromethyl (-CF₃) group from the [TFSI] anion. The corresponding C1s peak however appears at BE ~ 289 eV, which is relatively low with respect to the [TFSI]⁻C1s peak of the neat 231 ionic liquid (i.e. 293 eV) [16]. We assign this to an anion decomposition via cleavage of the F–C 232 233 bond and formation of a CF⁻ containing [TFSI]⁻ fragment (denoted as R₁CF⁻ in Fig. 5). Its further reduction leads to the formation of smaller species resulting in F1s state at ~ 687 eV. Finally, the 234 fluorine component at ~ 691 eV points out to a subsequent rearrangement of the decomposition 235 products and formation of species with $O-F_x$ fragment with a O1s peak at ~ 534.7 eV. Two 236 additional oxygen states at ~ 533.2 and 531.2 eV indicate further incorporation of O-C-O or C=O 237 238 containing [TFSI]⁻ reduction products (with corresponding C1s features at ~ 286-287 eV) and oxidation of Ga atoms, respectively. The $Ga2p_{3/2}$ state at ~1119 eV is characteristic for Ga–O 239 bonds and the quantitative analysis of the corresponding components indicates formation of 240 gallium oxyhydroxide (GaOOH) during lithiation and further transformation to gallium oxide 241 (Ga₂O₃) during delithiation. 242

The C1s peak at ~ 285 eV has a characteristic BE for C-C/H bonds and most probably originates from the $[BMP]^+$ cation C₄H₉-alkyl chain. The detection of sulphur refers to $[TFSI]^$ fragmentation, while the nitrogen signal might originate from both, the anion or the cation.

Next, we try to distinguish possible metallic and intermetallic states of Li and Ga. While the metallic Ga peak at 1116.7 eV is the most intensive one in the Ga2p_{3/2} spectrum, there is no metallic Li detected in the bulk of the cathode (Fig. 5). The deconvolution of the Li1s spectrum identifies three components at ~ 56, 58 and 60 eV. As mentioned already, the first one originates from LiF, while the other two components at higher BE can only be explained by the presence of lithium–species, in which the Li^{δ+} positive charge is higher than that of LiF. This correlates well with two Ga-Li intermetallic states existing at room temperature: Ga₇Li₂ (BE = 58.0 eV) and Ga₁₄Li₃ (BE 60 eV) [14]. The corresponding Ga2p_{3/2} states are expected around 1120 eV, but are not undoubtedly identified in the measurement. We anticipate that this is primarily connected to an unexpectedly low amount of Ga detected after Ar^+ sputtering (Table 2), which might be due to the sample roughness and the inhomogeneous distribution of the elements. Moreover, due to the difference in kinetic energy the depth of information of the Ga2p photoelectrons is much smaller than that of the Li1s photoelectrons.

259

260 **4.** Conclusion

A low temperature liquid metal battery with Li and Ga molten electrodes and an ionic liquid 261 based electrolyte (1M Li[TFSI] in [BMB][TFSI]) operated at 220 °C has been proposed and its 262 performances was evaluated. Low temperature LMBs are interesting candidates for fluid flow 263 measurements in multilayer systems. Cell prototypes of ca. 0.2 A'h theoretical capacity were 264 constructed and galvanostatically cycled at different current densities ranging from 10 mA cm⁻² 265 (C/40 rate) to 32 mA cm⁻² (C/12 rate). The cells show promising performances at relatively low 266 current densities. The cells tested at 10 mA cm⁻² showed stable alloying and de-alloying with 267 discharge capacity values of about 100 mA'h at 50 % depth of discharge. Furthermore, the 268 round-trip Coulombic efficiency is close to 100 % with an overall energy efficiency of 65 %. 269 Further we checked the electrochemical behavior at higher current rates. At 16 mA cm⁻² and 270 32 mA cm⁻² the cells have almost identical performances, showing irreversible delithiation. 271 Increasing the current density rate induces a higher IR voltage drop coupled with charge transfer 272 losses contributing to a faster capacity fade. There are also indications of mass-transport 273 limitations of the Li ions at either of the electrode-electrolyte interfaces or within the electrolyte 274 275 or the Ga cathode. Our attempts to improve the battery performances by modifying the system

276	design did not succeed so far. However, in order to control the system, we tried to understand the
277	processes which lead to the irreversible delithiation phenomena. X-ray Photoelectron
278	Spectroscopy reveals that during the battery operation at 16 mA cm ⁻² , the ionic liquid based
279	electrolyte decomposes already after the first discharge cycle and the reduction products
280	accumulate in the Ga-cathode. Next to the metallic Ga in the bulk of the cathode, two Li-Ga
281	intermetallic states are also detected: Ga ₇ Li ₂ and Ga ₁₄ Li ₃ .
282	
283	
284	
285	Acknowledgement
286	This work was supported by Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft Deutscher Forschungszentren (HGF) in
287	frame of the Helmholtz Alliance LIMTECH. Additionally, we are thankful for research funding
288	by the state of Thuringia and the European Union within the frame of the European Funds for
289	Regional Development (EFRD) under grant 12021-715.
290	
291	
292	
293	
294	
295	
296	
297	
298	

299 References

- 300 [1] G. L. Soloveichik, Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng., 2, 503 (2011).
- 301 [2] J. Kondoh, I. Ishii, H. Yamaguchi, A. Murata, K. Otani, K. Sakuta, N. Higuchi, S. Sekine, M.
- 302 Kamimoto, Energ. Convers. Manage., 41, 1863 (2000).
- 303 [3] Z. Yang, J. Zhang, M.C.W. Kintner-Meyer, X. Lu, D. Choi, J.P. Lemmon, J. Liu, *Chem.*304 *Rev.*, **111**, 3577 (2011).
- 305 [4] H. Chen, T. Ngoc Cong, W. Yang, C. Tan, Y. Li, Y. Ding, *Prog. Nat. Sci.*, **19**, 291 (2009).
- 306 [5] H. Kim, D.A. Boysen, J.M. Newhouse, B.L. Spatocco, B. Chung, P.J. Burke, D.J. Bradwell,
- 307 K. Jiang, A.A. Tomaszowska, K. Wang, W. Wei, L.A. Ortiz, S.A. Barriga, S.M. Poizeau, D.R.
- 308 Sadoway, Chem. Rev., 113, 2075 (2013).
- 309 [6] D.J. Bradwell, H. Kim, A.H. Sirk, D.R. Sadoway, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 134, 1895 (2012).
- [7] X. Ning, S. Phadke, B. Chung, H. Yin, P. Burke, D. R. Sadoway, *J. Power Sources*, 275, 370
 (2015).
- 312 [8] K. Wang, K. Jiang, B. Chung, T. Ouchi, P. J. Burke, D. A. Boysen, D. J. Bradwell, H. Kim,
- 313 U. Muecke, D. R. Sadoway, *Nature*, **514**, 348 (2014).
- [9] H. Ohno, Electrochemical Aspects of Ionic Liquids, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2005.
- 315 [10] A. Fernicola, B. Scrosati, H. Ohno, *Ionics*, **12**, 95 (2006).
- 316 [11] H. Sakaebe, H. Matsumoto, K. Tatsumi, J. Power Sources, 146, 693 (2005).
- 317 [12] A. Ejigu, P. A. Greatorex-Davies, D. A. Walsh, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 54, 55 (2015).
- 318 [13] S. Zhang, N. Sun, X. He, X. Lu, X. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 35, 1475 (2006).
- 319 [14] W.X. Yuan, W.J. Wang, Y.T. Song, X.L. Chen, *Scripta Mater.*, 48, 1053 (2003).
- 320 [15] R. D. Deshpande, J. Li, Y. T. Cheng, M. W. Verbrugge, J. Electrochem. Soc., 158, A845
- **321** (2011).
- 322 [16] S. Men, K.R.J. Lovelock, P. Licence, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **13**, 15244 (2011)

- 323 [17] J. F. Moulder, W. F. Stickle, P. E. Sobol, K. D. Bomben, Physical Electronics Division,
- 324 Perkin-Elmer Corp, 1992.
- 325 [18] D. A. Shirley, *Phys. Rev.*, **B 5**, 4709 (1972).
- 326 [19] J. J. Yeh and I. Lindau, Atomic subshell photoionization cross sections and asymmetry
- 327 parameters: $1 \le Z \le 103$, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables, **32(1)**, 1 (1985).
- 328 [20] C. J. Wen and R. A. J. Huggins, J. Electrochem. Soc., 128, 1636 (1981).
- [21] M. E. Orazem and B. Tribollet, Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy, John Wiley and
 Sons, New York, 2008.
- 331 [22] L. Suo, Y.-S. Hu, H. Li, M. Armand, L. Chen, Nat. Commun., 4:1481 doi:
- 332 10.1038/ncomms2513 (2013).
- 333 [23] D. Aurbach, I. Weissman, A. Zaban, O. Chusid, *Electrochim. Acta*, **39**, 51 (1994).
- 334 [24] P. C. Howlett, N. Brack, A. F. Hollenkamp, M. Forsyth, D. R. Mac–Farlane, J.
 335 *Electrochem. Soc.*, **153**, A595 (2006).
- 336
- 337
- 338
- 339
- 340
- 341

Table 1. Binding energy (BE in eV) and atomic percentage (at. %) of the elements F, O, N, C
and S from XPS spectra of the neat IL alone and the cathode surface after lithiation (measured at
the end of the first discharge cycle) and delithiation (measured after three cycles), corresponding
to Figure 5.

346 347 348		[BMP]	BMP][TFSI]		Cathode surface after lithiation		Cathode surface after delithiation	
349	State	BE	at. %	BE	at. %	BE	at. %	
350	F1s	689.0	25.7	688.9	28.3	688.9	22.8	
351				685.4	0.8	685.2	2.3	
352	Ols	532.8	12.8	532.8	16.6	532.8	13.8	
353						531.2	1.4	
354	N1s	402.7	2.6	402.7	2.8	402.7	2.3	
355		399.6	2.6	399.5	3.6	399.5	3.6	
356	C1s	293.0	8.1	293.0	7.7	293.1	5.1	
357		286.8	21.6	286.8	13.6	286.7	11.6	
358		285.5	18.2	285.4	19.0	285.3	31.2	
250	S2s	233.3	7.7	233.2	6.7	233.2	5.0	
360				230.2	0.9	229.5	0.8	

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		LITHIA	ATION	DELITHIATION		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(30 min sp	(30 min sputtering)		(30 min sputtering)	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	State	BE	at. %	BE	at. %	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	F1s	690.8	2.7	690.9	4.3	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		688.9	3.5	689.2	3.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		687.3	16.1	687.2	9.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		685.6	6.3	685.7	2.7	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ols	534.5	4.0	534.9	2.7	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		533.2	2.9	533.4	6.7	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		531.2	1.5	531	1.8	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N1s			391.9	5.4	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			_	388.6	1.8	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cls	288.8	1.9	289	2.5	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		287.4	7.0	287.3	11.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		286.1	9.1	285.9	6.5	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		285.1	10.6	285	11.3	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S2s	234.4	1.5			
Li1s 59.9 60.6 58.0 28.0 58.0 29.5 56.3 56.6 Co 2a 1120.4 0.5 1120 0.4		228.7	2.4			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lils	59.9		60.6		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		58.0	28.0	58.0	29.5	
$C_{-2} = 1120.4 0.3 1120 0.4$		56.3	0.5	56.6	0.4	
Ga 2p	Ga 2p	1120.4	0.5	1120	0.4	
		1116.2	0.0	1116.5	0.0	
		1116./	1.3	1116./	0.7	
1115./ 0.2 1115.9 0.1		1115./	0.2	1115.9	0.1	

Table 2. Binding energy (BE in eV) and atomic percentage (at. %) of the elements F, O, N, C, S,
Li and Ga from XPS spectra of the Ga cathode after sputtering with 3 keV Ar⁺ for 30 min
(corresponding to Fig. 2)

399 Figure captions

- 400 Fig. 1 Schematic layout of the LillGa liquid metal battery.
- 401 Fig. 2 Electrochemical performance of a LillGa cell cycled at 10 mA \cdot cm⁻² for 50 % depth of
- 402 discharge operated at 230 °C.
- 403 Fig. 3 Comparative cyclic voltammetric curves for a Li||Ga cell: initial (first black and second
- 404 red voltammetric scans) and after 14 charge-discharge cycles at 10 mA \cdot cm⁻² (first blue and
- second magenta voltammetric scans). Scan rate: $0.5 \text{ mV} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ (a) and the Nyquist plots of a
- 406 Li||Ga cell. Frequency range from 100 kHz to 10 mHz (b).
- 407 Fig. 4 Voltage profiles during charge-discharge cycles at different current densities of LMBs
- 408 with theoretical capacity of 0.21 $A \cdot h$.
- 409 Fig. 5 Ga2p_{3/2}, Li1s, C1s, F1s and O1s core level peaks XPS spectra of the bulk of the Ga
- 410 cathode after lithiation (1) and after delithiation (2). The spectra are recorded after 30 min
- 411 sputtering with Ar^+ . The dashed spectra are the experimental ones, while the envelope peak is
- 412 colored in blue and all fitted components are colored in black. The XPS spectra are presented in
- 413 the order they are mentioned in the text.









