Millisecond flash lamp annealing of shallow implanted layers in Ge
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Shallow n+ layers in Ge are formed by phosphorus implantation and subsequent millisecond flash lamp annealing. Present investigations are focused on the dependence of P redistribution, diffusion and electrical activation on heat input into the sample and flash duration. In contrast to conventional annealing procedures an activation up to $6.5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ is achieved without any dopant redistribution and noticeable diffusion. Present results suggest that independently of pretreatment the maximum activation should be obtained at a flash energy that corresponds to the onset of P diffusion. The deactivation of P is explained qualitatively by mass action analysis which takes into account the formation of phosphorus-vacancy clusters. © 2009 American Institute of Physics.

$T = cE_{\text{FLA}} + T_0$. The parameters $c$ and $T_0$ are determined by complete SPER (Refs. 3 and 4) at about 600 °C and by melting of the sample surface at about 937 °C (3 ms flash: $c = 5.81$ kJ$^{-1}$ K, $T_0 = 373$ °C; 20 ms flash: $c = 2.31$ kJ$^{-1}$ K, $T_0 = 401$ °C). In the following the flash energy $E_{\text{FLA}}$ is always used as a measure for the heat transfer to the sample.

Analysis by channeling Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS/C) and cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (XTEM) showed that the thickness of the amorphous layer formed by the PAI is about 178 nm, whereas the P implantation produces an amorphous layer of about 78 nm thickness. Furthermore, RBS/C and XTEM revealed that preannealing produces a diffuse layer of about 78 nm thickness. If preannealing is not applied, samples recrystallize during FLA. The only exception is that treatment of preamorphized samples by 3 ms flashes leads to the formation of a polycrystalline layer by spontaneous nucleation and recrystallization. In the recrystallized samples end-of-range defects cannot be found by XTEM. This is in contrast to silicon where such defects are always observed after SPER.

Depth profiles of $P$ before and after annealing are shown in Fig. 1. The data were obtained by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS). The difference between the as-implanted profiles in single-crystalline and preamorphized Ge is due to suppressed channelling in the latter case. Preannealing at 400 °C for 240 s leads to fast phosphorus redistribution by the snow plough effect [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)]. A certain amount of P is incorporated into crystalline Ge, and the surplus is pushed ahead from the moving amorphous-crystalline interface. This leads to P loss of about 25% and the level of incorporation is about $3 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$. This value can be obtained from the plateau in the profiles shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). The level of P incorporation found during SPER at 400 °C is higher than the maximum equilibrium solubility known from literature ($2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$, cf. Refs. 1, 6, and 7) and is therefore called metastable solubility. On the other hand, SPER during FLA [Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)] does not show any significant snow plough effect. Obviously,
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nearly all phosphorus atoms are incorporated into crystalline Ge. This may be due to the fact that the temperature during SPER at millisecond FLA is higher than during pre-annealing, leading to a metastable solubility of at least \(5 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-3}\). The temperature dependence of the metastable solubility can be explained by the competition between the mobility of the impurity in the amorphous phase and the velocity of SPER (cf. Refs. 9 and 10, and references therein). The higher the temperature, the higher the speed of the amorphous-crystalline interface, the less likely the impurity can stay in front of it and, therefore, incorporation into the crystalline phase prevails.

Figures 1(a)–1(d) clearly demonstrate that no P diffusion occurs if the flash energy does not exceed 80 and 139 kJ for 3 and 20 ms flash duration, respectively. This is in striking contrast to conventional annealing with durations of seconds to hours. Significant concentration-dependent P diffusion is already observed in the case of annealing at 500 °C for 60 s.\(^{8,11}\) However, some diffusion is also found at higher flash energies of 88 kJ (3 ms) and 183 kJ (20 ms) as shown in Fig. 2(a). In this case the temperature of the sample is so high that diffusion can even occur during milliseconds. If the flash energy is further increased the near surface region of the sample melts and a huge diffusion of phosphorus is found [Fig. 2(b)].

The variable probe spacing technique\(^{12}\) and micro-four-point-probe measurements\(^{13}\) were applied to determine the sheet resistance of the samples.\(^{14}\) Figures 3(a) and 3(c) depict the sheet resistance of the samples annealed by FLA for 3 and 20 ms, respectively. The flash energy \(E_{\text{FLA}}\) is given on the abscissa. In Fig. 3 data points obtained by the same preparation method are marked by the same symbols. These points are connected by straight lines if no P diffusion is observed [cf. Figs. 1(a)–1(d)] whereas the isolated points indicate diffusion in the solid phase [cf. Fig. 2(a)]. Since it is not useful to compare sheet resistance data for active layers with different depth distributions of charge carriers, the electrical properties are discussed in terms of the activation level of dopants. This quantity was roughly estimated using both the SIMS profile obtained after annealing and the corresponding value of sheet resistance.\(^{15}\) The relation by Cuttriss\(^{16}\) was used in order to transform resistivity to carrier concentration data. The resulting values for the activation are shown in Figs. 3(b) and 3(d). The figures demonstrate that below a certain threshold the activation level increases with increasing heat transfer to the sample, independently of the pretreatment. This effect is more pronounced for 3 ms flash duration. Below a certain flash energy preannealed samples show a lower activation than those without this treatment. If preannealing is used, samples that underwent PAI show a higher activation. In the case of 20 ms flash duration samples that did not undergo preannealing have a higher activation if they were preamorphized. The similar case for 3 ms duration was not investigated since a polycrystalline layer was formed.

The highest activation level of about \(6.5 \times 10^{19}\) cm\(^{-3}\) is achieved for the 3 ms flash and 97 kJ electrical energy. This corresponds to a case where slight P diffusion is observed.
[cf. Fig. 2(a)]. However, the comparison with results for the 20 ms flash shows that the activation level does not increase significantly or even decreases if P diffusion becomes stronger [cf. Figs. 3(d) and 2(a)]. Therefore, the optimum should be found at that electrical energy of the flash that corresponds to the onset of P diffusion. Taking into account the data depicted in Figs. 3(b) and 3(d) it may be concluded that this optimum should not strongly depend on the pretreatment. However, the highest activation level of P obtained in this work is far below the metastable and the equilibrium solubility. The fact that solubility returns to the equilibrium value during annealing after the completion of SPER or in subsequent annealing steps was also reported for dopants in Si. The reduction of solubility leads to less dopants on substitutional sites and to a concentration of activated dopants below or equal to equilibrium solubility. The decrease of solubility and activation may be accompanied by the formation of dopant-defect clusters.19-21 However, in the considered samples such clusters were not found by XTEM. Therefore, it is assumed that deactivation is due to the formation of P-vacancy (PV) acceptor pairs1 or other tiny clusters containing vacancies and dopant atoms20 which are hardly detectable by standard XTEM. Indeed calculations using density functional theory and mass action analysis showed that the formation of P$_{V}$ clusters ($n=1,\ldots,4$) may be responsible for the deactivation of P.21 Using the data from Ref. 21 a similar mass action analysis was performed. The temperature dependence of the concentration of unbound P is depicted in Fig. 4. In order to demonstrate qualitatively the effect of deactivation a total P concentration ($10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$) below equilibrium solid solubility is assumed and different values for the total concentration of vacancies were considered. These values are much higher than the equilibrium vacancy concentration due to defect formation by ion implantation. Figure 4 can be used to interpret the dependence of the P activation on flash energy and pretreatment: At low temperatures (or flash energies) and/or at high vacancy concentration many P$_V$ clusters are formed and, therefore, a relatively low level of unbound or electrically active P is found. At higher temperature and/or lower concentration of vacancies the concentration of active P increases. It should be emphasized that present mass action analysis considers equilibrium states as well as a homogeneous distribution of P and vacancies. Kinetics could prevent the ability of the system to reach such states so that the above interpretation should be used with some caution.