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Two-pion production in the second resonance region in $\pi^- p$ collisions with the High-Accuracy Di-Electron Spectrometer (HADES)
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Pion-induced reactions provide unique opportunities for an unambiguous description of baryonic resonances and their coupling channels by means of a partial-wave analysis. Using the secondary pion beam at SIS18, the two-pion production in the second resonance region has been investigated to unravel the role of the $N(1520)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. 
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resonance in the intermediate $\rho$-meson production. Results on exclusive channels with one pion ($\pi^-p$) and two pions ($\pi^+\pi^-n$, $\pi^0\pi^0n$) in the final state measured in the $\pi^-p$ reaction at four different pion beam momenta (0.650, 0.685, 0.733, and 0.786 GeV/c) are presented. The excitation function of the different partial waves and $\Delta\pi$, $N\pi$, and $N\rho$ isobar configurations is obtained, using the Bonn-Gatchina partial-wave analysis. The $N(1520)_{2}^{−}$ resonance is found to dominate the $N\rho$ final state with the branching ratio $\text{BR} = 12.2\% \pm 1.9\%$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Pion ($\pi$) scattering on a nucleon $N$ is an ideal tool to study baryon resonance production and their decays. In such experiments, pion-nucleon resonances are excited at a fixed mass, defined by the energy $\sqrt{s}$ of the $\pi N$ collision system, and can be analyzed via their decay products. The analysis of pion elastic-scattering data taken in the 1980s was the primary source of our understanding of the nucleon excitation spectrum until the end of the 1990s [1–4]. The results revealed too few states as compared with the predictions of various quark models, leading to the famed “missing resonances” problem (for a review see Ref. [5]). It motivated the next round of high-precision experiments conducted with electron and photon beams to search for new states, particularly those which could be missed due to a small pion-nucleon coupling. Indeed, several new resonances have been established in the photoproduction of various meson final states by using the advantage of polarization observables. In particular, decay modes including $N\eta$ and $K\Lambda(\Sigma)$ final states have been found to be important for studies of higher-mass resonances. The analysis of the new data led to the observation of six new $N^*$ and $\Delta^*$ states [6], which were included in the Review of Particle Physics [7]. In contrast, the database for pion-induced reactions has not been updated and is of much lesser precision, although it provides important information which is not directly accessible from photoproduction reactions. For example, the pion photoproduction data only give access to the product of the photon helicity couplings and couplings to the $\pi N$ channel. Hence, the analysis of the elastic $\pi N$ scattering allows us to directly obtain information about the $\pi N$ branching ratios of the resonances and therefore about their $\pi N$ couplings. The combined analysis of the pion-induced and photoproduction data defines both the resonance helicity and pion-nucleon couplings. Moreover, the analysis of the pion-nucleon collision data is notably simpler than the analysis of the meson photoproduction data. For the full reconstruction of the meson photoproduction amplitudes it is indeed necessary to measure at least eight observables with a good precision and angular coverage, while in the pion-induced experiments three observables provide the complete database. Furthermore, modern partial-wave data analysis techniques enable a combined multichannel analysis which fully exploits unitarity constraints and allows us to study subtle particle correlations on an event-by-event basis.

Studies of two-pion final states are particularly important because they contribute more than 50% to the total inelasticity. The most extensive analysis of the two-pion production in pion-induced reactions was achieved by Manley et al. (see Ref. [8], with an update [9]) within the isobar approximation. The analysis relied on 241 214 bubble-chamber events collected before 1984 (without the $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$ channel), in the energy region $\sqrt{s} = 1.32$–1.93 GeV. The single energy solutions were extracted for 22 energy bins, providing branching ratios to the $\rho N$, $\Delta\pi$, and $\sigma N$ final states for various $N^*/\Delta$ resonances in this mass range. Since then, only a few experimental data have become available for the reaction $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$ at $\sqrt{s} = 1.213$–1.527 GeV [10] and $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$ at $\sqrt{s} = 1.257$–1.302 GeV [11], and $\sqrt{s} = 2.060$ GeV [12]. The $\gamma p \rightarrow 2\pi^0p$ data were measured by the A2 and CBELSA/TAPS Collaborations and analyzed together with single meson photoproduction data, $\pi N$ elastic data, and $\pi p \rightarrow \pi^2n^0n$ data in Refs. [6,13,14]. These data provided results concerning cascade decay transitions for the resonances with masses above 1.7 GeV/c$^2$. However, they could not provide any information about the decay of the baryon resonances into $\rho N$, which requires final states with charged pions. Some results were obtained for the $N(1520)_{2}^{−}$ and for the $N(1440)_{2}^{1+}$ from the analysis of two-pion production in electron-scattering experiments [15], pointing, e.g., to smaller branching ratios for the $\rho N$ channels than that in Ref. [9]. It should also be noted that the Particle Data Group recently removed all information concerning the $N^*$ and $\Delta$ branching ratios to the $\rho N$ channel from the Review of Particle Physics [7]. Therefore, a precise determination of the $\rho N$ couplings of excited baryons is clearly lacking new high-precision data for two-pion production channels with charged pions in the exit channels in pion-induced reactions.

The resonance decay into two-pion final states with at least one charged pion is particularly well suited for studies of the $\rho$-meson–baryon coupling, because of its almost 100% decay branching into a pion pair. The studies of the vector-meson–baryon interaction is motivated by the vector-meson dominance (VMD) model [16,17], predicting the low-mass $\rho$, $\omega$, $\phi$ vector mesons ($V$) as mediating fields in the hadron-photon interactions. The aim of this model in the baryon sector is to provide a comprehensive description of both radiative resonance decays $R \rightarrow N\gamma$, $N\gamma^* \rightarrow Ne(\mu)^+e(\mu)^-$, and mesonic $R \rightarrow NV$ transitions, with the $\rho$ meson playing the most important role due to its stronger coupling to baryons. It provides a foundation for the description of low-mass ($M_{R}\gamma < 1$ GeV/c$^2$) dilepton ($l^+l^-$) production in elementary processes involving hadron decays in vacuum as well as from dense and hot nuclear matter. In particular, a successful description of the dilepton spectra measured in heavy-ion collisions from the BNL Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) to GSI/SIS18 (for a recent paper, see Ref. [18]) calls for special attention. The results show that the virtual photon radiation from the hot and dense zone of the collisions
can be described by intermediate $\rho$ mesons with a strongly modified spectral function. The interpretation relies on the microscopic calculations of the in-medium $\rho$-meson spectral function and application of VMD to hot and dense hadron gas radiation. The computation of the $\rho$ spectral function includes interactions with mesons and baryons in the fireball and reveals the leading role of the latter (for a theory review, see Ref. [19]). The main effects are driven by excitation of resonance-nucleon hole states induced by the $\rho$ meson, with $N^*$, $\Delta^*$ resonances playing the main role. In particular, strong effects on the $\rho$ spectral function for a small relative momentum of the meson with respect to the medium were extracted for $S$-wave $N^\rho$ resonances like $N(1520)^{\pi\pi}_\mp$, $\Delta(1620)^{\pi\pi}_\pm$, and $\Delta(1700)^{\pi\pi}_\mp$ [20,21]. Alternatively, more phenomenological approaches, assuming two-step processes $R \rightarrow N\rho \rightarrow Ne^+e^-$ and the meson collision broadening are used in the transport codes gIBUU [22,23], urQMD [24], HSD [25] and SMASH [26], showing also the dominant role of the $\rho$ meson in dilepton emission. The calculations are constrained by results on the resonance photoexcitation and the resonance decays $R \rightarrow N\rho$. More direct tests of the applicability of VMD to the baryon resonance transitions into dileptons require measurements of $R \rightarrow N\ell$ and are currently studied with the High-Acceptance Di-Electron Spectrometer (HADES) detector (see Refs. [27–30]). Indeed, it is not clear whether the formulation of VMD with a baryon coupling to one meson (monopole approximation) is valid or whether more refined approximations are required. In Ref. [31], it is argued that the monopole formulation of the VMD model with the couplings of the baryon resonances deduced from the mesonic decays given in Refs. [8,9] tends to overestimate the branching ratios for radiative decays. These inconsistencies can be removed by using various extensions of VMD. For example, an additional direct coupling of the resonances to photons [32] can be introduced, in combination with a vanishing $\rho\gamma$ coupling in the limit of real photons which allows fitting radiative and mesonic decays independently [33,34]. Interferences with higher-excited vector-meson states also allow this problem to be solved [35].

From the above-mentioned motivations, it is clear that new experimental data on baryon resonance decays into two-pion final states from pion-induced reactions are urgently needed. The HADES experiment at GSI has started a systematic investigation of baryon resonance excitations with an energy scan in the second resonance region, focusing on two types of reactions: (i) two-pion ($\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0\pi^-$) and (ii) dilepton production. The main objective is understanding the role of vector mesons in the baryon resonance transitions, and, in particular, in the dilepton decay channels. The upcoming studies will complement former results on $\Delta(1232) \rightarrow p\ell^+\ell^-$ and higher mass resonance transitions obtained with proton-proton reactions [27–30].

In this work, we present the results on the two-pion production. The paper is organized as follows: Section II presents the details of the experimental setup and the characteristics of the secondary pion beam at GSI. Section III describes the separation of events into the final states with two pions $\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0\pi^-$, and elastic scattering used for the normalization, acceptance corrections, and a brief introduction to the Bonn-Gatchina partial-wave analysis (PWA) framework, used for the data analysis. Section IV presents the results, with the conclusions in Sec. V.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

The High-Acceptance Di-Electron Spectrometer (HADES) [36] is installed at GSI Darmstadt and operates with primary proton, ion, and secondary pion beams. HADES has been optimized for electron and positron detection but it provides excellent charged hadron ($p$, $K$, $\pi$) identification capabilities, too. The spectrometer consists of six identical sectors, separated by coils of a superconducting toroidal magnet, centered around the beam direction. It covers the full azimuthal angle range, with the exception of the gaps between the sectors, and a broad range of polar angles ($18^\circ$–$85^\circ$), measured relative to the beam direction.

Each sector of the spectrometer is composed of a hadron blind ring-imaging Cherenkov detector (RICH), two multiwire drift chambers (MDCs) placed in front and two behind the region of the magnetic field, followed by a multiplicity electron trigger array (META). The latter consists of time-of-flight systems based on: (i) scintillator rods (TOF) (with time resolution $\delta t \simeq 120$ ps) for $\theta > 45^\circ$, and (ii) resistive plates counters (RPCs) for $\theta < 45^\circ$ ($\delta t \simeq 80$ ps), associated with an electromagnetic pre-shower detector. Momentum measurement of charged particles is achieved by track reconstruction based on hits detected in the MDCs and the known configuration of the magnetic field with a resolution of $1\%$ to $3\%$ for pions and protons in the energy range of our experiment.

In the experiment, pion projectiles were obtained from a primary $^{14}\text{N}$ beam provided by the SIS18 synchrotron with an intensity of $0.8–1.0 \times 10^{11}$ ions/spill impinging on a beryllium ($^9\text{Be}$) target [37,38]. Negative pions were transported to the HADES target, located $33$ m downstream from the production target, within the beam line equipped with nine quadrupole and two dipole magnets set for negative particles [39]. The pion intensity of $10^6$ pions/spill reached a maximum for a momentum $p = 1.0$ GeV/$c$ and decreased by a factor of two for the pion momentum range $p = 0.65–0.8$ GeV/$c$ covered in this experiment. Four settings of currents in the magnets, corresponding to momenta for the particle on the optical axis of about 0.650, 0.685, 0.733, and 0.786 GeV/$c$, were investigated in the measurement.

The differential transmission distribution of the pions as a function of the momentum were obtained from dedicated transport calculations and could be approximated by a Gaussian distribution with a typical width of $\sigma \simeq 1.0\%$. Further improvement in the pion beam momentum resolution (down to $\delta p = 0.3\%)$ was achieved by using the dedicated in-beam tracking system CERBEROS [39,40]. It was built of silicon strip detectors arranged in two stations, placed upstream of the HADES target: the first one close to the dispersive plane of the beam line ($\approx 21$ m), and the second one $\approx 3$ m before the target, respectively. The detectors provide $(x, y)$ coordinates of pion hits, with a precision given by the $780 \mu m$ pitch of the strips, which are used to determine the pion beam momentum. Independent measurements using a proton beam...
allowed us to verify the transport coefficients used in the beamline calculations, as described in detail in Ref. [39]. The resulting reconstructed momentum distributions of the pion beam are shown in Fig. 1 for the four settings with indicated central values (for details see Sec. III A). The particle identification (PID) for pions and protons in HADES is provided by conditions defined by correlations between the velocity measured by TOF or RPC detectors and the momentum reconstructed from the track deflection in the magnetic field [36]. The respective graphical cuts were adjusted by using Monte Carlo simulations in order to include about 99% of the signal for the given particles. Furthermore, the misidentified particles were eliminated by rigorous conditions on track correlations following from reaction kinematics, as explained below.

The $\pi^-p$ elastic scattering was selected by demanding coplanarity of the pion and the proton tracks (within $\pm 5^\circ$), a condition on the polar emission angles of both tracks: $\tan \theta_\pi \times \tan \theta_p > 1$ and a cut on the distribution of the pion momentum $p_{c.m.}$ in the center-of-mass (c.m.) system obtained from realistic simulations, as described below. Finally, elastic-scattering events were clearly visible in the $\pi^-p$ missing mass (squared) distribution, as shown in Fig. 2 by the solid black histogram.

FIG. 1. Pion beam momentum distributions measured with the use of the in-beam tracking system CERBEROS [39,40]. The distributions have been normalized to the area. The arrows indicate the central values of pion beam momenta reconstructed in the analysis, as indicated in the legend. For details see Sec. III A.

FIG. 2. $p\pi^-$ missing mass squared from the pion-proton system at a beam momentum of 0.685 GeV/c after elastic-scattering selection. Solid black and dashed red (gray) histograms present events from the polyethylene target and contribution of pion reactions on carbon nuclei in the polyethylene target, respectively. Their difference [hatched blue (gray) area] corresponds to $\pi^-p$ reactions (for details see text). Simulations of $\pi^-p$ elastic scattering are shown by the black dotted histogram.

III. DATA ANALYSIS

A. Extraction of $\pi^-p$ elastic-scattering, $\pi^-p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^-$, and $\pi^-p \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^+\pi^0$ signals

The particle identification (PID) for pions and protons in HADES is provided by conditions defined by correlations between the velocity measured by TOF or RPC detectors and the momentum reconstructed from the track deflection in the magnetic field [36]. The respective graphical cuts were adjusted by using Monte Carlo simulations in order to include about 99% of the signal for the given particles. Furthermore, the misidentified particles were eliminated by rigorous conditions on track correlations following from reaction kinematics, as explained below. The $\pi^-p$ elastic scattering was selected by demanding coplanarity of the pion and the proton tracks (within $\pm 5^\circ$), a condition on the polar emission angles of both tracks: $\tan \theta_\pi \times \tan \theta_p > 1$ and a cut on the distribution of the pion momentum $p_{c.m.}$ in the center-of-mass (c.m.) system obtained from realistic simulations, as described below. Finally, elastic-scattering events were clearly visible in the $\pi^-p$ missing mass (squared) distribution, as shown in Fig. 2 by the solid black histogram.
The identification of two charged pions ($\pi^+\pi^-$) or a proton and a pion ($p\pi^-$) in the final state allows for the complete reconstruction of the kinematics of the exclusive $n\pi^+\pi^-$ and $p\pi^-\pi^0$ channels, respectively, via additional cuts in the respective missing-mass distributions around the position of the non-detected neutron (Fig. 3, left panel) or neutral pion (Fig. 3, right panel). In the latter case, the background from elastic scattering was effectively suppressed by a veto on the elastic condition, as defined above.

To obtain a pure sample of events for the above-mentioned exclusive channel analysis, contributions from the $\pi^-C$ reactions must be separated in the sample of events collected with the polyethylene target. First, the relative contribution of the $\pi^-C$ reaction in the polyethylene target was determined with a high precision by an iterative minimization procedure described in detail in this section. The input to the procedure consists of (i) the missing-mass distributions of the $\pi^-p$ and the $\pi^+\pi^-$ systems, obtained from the measurements with the polyethylene and the carbon targets, respectively, taken with the same reference beam momentum; (ii) the Monte Carlo simulations of the $\pi^-p$ elastic scattering, and the two-pion ($\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^-\pi^0$) production on the proton target, within the HADES detector acceptance. The simulated channels were reconstructed with the same analysis flow as in the case of the experimental data. In the Monte Carlo simulation, the beam momentum distributions were taken according to the event-by-event pion beam measurements provided by the CERBEROS tracking system (see Fig. 1). However, the central values for each pion beam momentum bin were treated as free parameters, fixed by a fit to the data, as described below.

In the first step, the distribution of the $\pi^-p$ missing mass squared of events selected with the elastic conditions and obtained with the polyethylene target was fit with the sum of the $\pi^-p$ missing mass squared measured with the carbon target and the Monte Carlo simulations of the elastic reaction ($\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-p$). Their relative contributions were treated as free parameters of the fit. The minimization was performed by varying also the tracking resolution and the central value of the beam momentum. As a result, a very good description of the elastic peak was achieved [see dashed blue (gray) and dotted black histograms in Fig. 2] for $p_{\text{beam}} = 0.685$ GeV/c. The contribution of reactions on carbon was also determined for every pion beam momentum [dashed red (gray) histogram in Fig. 2], with an uncertainty of about 1%.

In the next step, the minimization procedure was repeated for the events corresponding to the two-pion production channels with the $\pi^-p$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ missing-mass distributions (see Fig. 3), starting with the parameters from the first step. The relative normalization of yields measured on the carbon target was modified only slightly, but the central values of the beam were found to be more sensitive to the expected positions of the $\pi^0$ and the neutron peaks (0.1349 and 0.9395 GeV/c²), respectively, as compared with the missing-mass peak for the elastic scattering. The determined central values of the beam momentum are $0.6501 \pm 0.002$, $0.6853 \pm 0.0025$, $0.7332 \pm 0.003$, and $0.786 \pm 0.0035$ GeV/c. The errors are related to the uncertainties of the fitting procedure to the aforementioned peak positions, and the variation of fit ranges. The obtained values are lower by 0.005–0.015 GeV/c than the reference values expected from the magnet settings. Similar conclusions were derived by the detailed studies of various kinematic constraints derived from elastic scattering only, as discussed in detail in Ref. [39]. The reason of these discrepancies are not uniquely identified but might be attributed to remanence effects or systematic shifts in the primary beam position on the production target (see discussion in Sec. 4.4 in Ref. [39]).

Figure 3 shows the missing-mass distribution of the $\pi^-p$ (right panel) and the $\pi^+\pi^-$ (left panel) systems, as obtained from the analysis of the data collected with the polyethylene target, for the central pion beam momentum $p = 0.685$ GeV/c. The total yield (black curves) is separated into the
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FIG. 3. Missing masses for two charged-pion (left panel) and proton-pion (right panel) systems at a beam momentum of 0.685 GeV/c. Solid black histogram shows uncorrected data from the polyethylene target, solid red (gray) histogram and hatched red (gray) area show events from the carbon target, green (gray) open squares [behind red (gray) solid histogram] show events from the polyethylene target matching the events from the carbon target (see text for details), dashed blue (gray) histogram shows signal from scattering off protons from the polyethylene target, magenta (gray) dotted histogram shows signal calculated based on information on momentum measured by the pion tracker. The vertical dashed blue (gray) lines display a window (±0.05 GeV/c²) centered around the mass of a neutron and a pion, for the selection of $n\pi^+\pi^-$ and $p\pi^-\pi^0$ events, respectively. The vertical magenta (gray) solid lines display a selection window for the signal calculated with the pion tracker information (±0.02 GeV/c² around a neutron, and ±0.03 GeV/c² around a neutral pion, respectively).
contributions from pion reactions on carbon [solid red (gray) curves] and on protons, within the polyethylene target. The simulated distributions of the subsequent production channels on the proton target are shown by dashed blue (gray) curves. The dotted magenta (gray) curves show, for the sake of comparison, the respective distributions calculated for the pion beam momentum obtained event-by-event from the CER-BEROS pion tracker.

For further analysis of kinematic correlations on an event-by-event basis, the separation of $\pi^-p$ from $\pi^-C$ events is necessary. This has been achieved by an event-by-event matching of the carbon signal derived from the polyethylene target (PE) with the events from the carbon target (C) by comparing the kinematical characteristics by means of a $\chi^2$ test. The ensemble of events corresponding to reactions on protons is then given as the remaining events measured on the polyethylene target after subtraction of the carbon-like events found in this procedure. The fraction of the carbon contribution in all events collected with the polyethylene target was fixed in this minimization procedure, as described above.

The events for the matching were grouped into several bins of similar missing-mass values. The minimization function $F_{\text{min}}$ between tracks measured in reactions with the PE target and the C target is defined as

$$F_{\text{min}} = \sum_{i,j,X} w_i \left( \frac{X^\text{PE}_i - X^\text{C}_j}{X^\text{C}_j} \right)^2,$$

where $i, j$ stand for all combinations of the $i$th event from the polyethylene target with the $j$th event from the carbon target, and $X_i$ are the values of the observables: momentum, polar and azimuthal angles of particles, invariant masses, as well as angular observables in the helicity and Gottfried-Jackson reference frames (for a definition see Sec. IV B), weighted with the empirical weights $w_i$. The consistency of the distributions of the observables built from the tagged carbon-like events from the reactions with the PE target and events from the reaction with the C target was carefully investigated for all the observables taken into account. In Fig. 3, the missing-mass distributions measured with the carbon target and normalized following the minimization procedure described above [solid red (gray) histograms, C target] are compared with the distributions for events from the polyethylene target tagged in the matching procedure as corresponding to interactions with carbon nuclei [green (gray) open squares, carbon-like events from the PE target]. The discrepancy between the signal yields obtained in both procedures was used to estimate the systematic error of the subtraction procedure, for every observable under consideration. It was found that the distribution of relative errors obtained from the investigated observables is similar to a normal distribution, with a 1$\sigma$ width of 1%.

### B. $\pi^-p$ elastic scattering and data normalization

The analysis of the $\pi^-p$ elastic-scattering events was used to provide the normalization for the measured yields for the four pion beam momentum settings, using existing information on the elastic-scattering differential cross sections.

Acceptance corrections for the measured elastic yields were calculated by using a simulation. Events were generated in the framework of the PLUTO event generator [41] with an angular parametrization taken from Ref. [42] and processed through the same analysis and reconstruction procedure as experimental hits. The distribution of elastic-scattering events from the Monte Carlo simulation agrees reasonably well with the measured angular distributions within the HADES acceptance. This allows us to calculate a one-dimensional correction defined as the ratio between simulated and reconstructed yields as a function of the scattering angle in the c.m. frame. After applying this correction, the elastic-scattering angular distribution was normalized to the average of world data in the $\theta_{\pi^-}^{\text{c.m.}}$ range of 59.5$^\circ$–110.5$^\circ$. The data were selected in the pion beam momentum window $\delta p = \pm 10$ MeV/$c$ centered around the central values given above. The distributions obtained at the four pion beam momenta are presented in Fig. 4, together with the W108 SAID solutions [43] and the world data [42,44–50]. The SAID solution was averaged over $\theta_{\pi^-}^{\text{c.m.}}$ bins of three degrees.

The shape of the distribution measured by HADES at the highest pion beam momentum is in better agreement with the new EPECUR data [51] than with the former world data. Finally, the following cross sections were chosen for the normalization $\sigma_{\text{elast}}^{59.5–110.5} : 3.60 \pm 0.07, 3.94 \pm 0.08, 3.87 \pm 0.13$, and $3.16 \pm 0.02$ mb for the four beam momenta, respectively. The above cross sections agree within the errors with those obtained from the SAID solutions, except for the cross section for $p = 0.685$ GeV/$c$, which is 10% higher.

The systematic uncertainty of the normalization has two main components. The first component of the systematic uncertainty is related to the errors of the world data, as given above. The second one, accounting for the point-to-point variations of the HADES data, was estimated with respect to the averaged world data cross sections, using the following procedure. The differences $\Delta_i$ between the averaged cross section and the HADES data were calculated for each scattering angle bin $i$ and weighted with the world data errors $\sigma_i$ at this point:

$$\Delta N_i = \sum_{i=59^\circ}^{i=110^\circ} \Delta_i^2 \sigma_i^2.$$

The resulting systematic error $\Delta N_1$ was established to be about 1%, except for $p = 0.685$ GeV/$c$ where it was found to be 4%. This uncertainty was compared with that obtained with an alternative method based on differences between elastic-scattering yields reconstructed in three independent HADES sector pairs. The respective error agrees with the former one, except for the lowest values of $\theta_{\pi^-}^{\text{c.m.}}$, outside the normalization region, where it was found to be larger, i.e., 9%, at 40$^\circ$ and decreasing to 2% at 60$^\circ$. The increase of the systematic error at smaller scattering-pion angles coincides with the strong decrease in the acceptance for pion-proton pairs, with a cutoff around $\theta_{\pi^-}^{\text{c.m.}} = 40^\circ$. The region between 40 and 60$^\circ$ was therefore excluded from the normalization region.

The relative normalization by means of measured pion-proton elastic scattering has also the advantage to reduce
systematic errors of the total cross sections related to the estimate of the efficiencies of pion and proton track reconstructions needed for corrections. To check the long-term stability of the measured yields, the ratio of the number of $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs originating from the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ final state to the number of $\pi^-p$ pairs from elastic scattering ($N_{\pi^+\pi^-}/N_{\text{elastic}}$) has been analyzed as a function of time over the whole measurement period. To correct for the known variation of the cross section for the elastic scattering as a function of the beam momentum these ratios were multiplied by the respective value of $\sigma_{\text{elast.}}$. The obtained ratio is very stable in time, for a given beam momentum. Plotted errors are statistical only and are smallest for $p_{\text{beam}} = 0.685$ GeV/c, where the largest number of events (given in the legend) was collected. The increase of the ratio as a function of beam momentum is due to the changes in the two-pion production cross sections, as discussed in more detail in Sec. IV C. The maximum deviations (2%) were observed for the pion beam momentum of 0.685 GeV/c and were used as systematic error related to the two-pion reconstruction efficiency. On the other hand, the ratio $N_{\text{elastic}}/N_{\text{START}}$ which was analyzed in a similar way shows variations up to 15% which do not allow for a precise estimate of the luminosity. It is attributed to the variations of the intensity of secondary particles, which is very sensitive to the position of the primary beam on the production target. Therefore, the relative normalization to the elastic scattering has been chosen as a more accurate approach.

C. Partial-wave analysis

The partial-wave analysis of the present data is based on the Bonn-Gatchina approach developed for the combined analysis of the pion nucleon scattering and photoproduction. This is a covariant method which treats the reactions with two-particle and multiparticle final states on a common basis. The amplitude which describes the transition of the pion-nucleon system with momenta $k_1$ and $k_2$ into the final channel with a meson and a nucleon with momentum $q_1$ given in the c.m. frame can be written as

$$A = \sum_{IJ\xi} \bar{u}(q_1) A^{IJ\xi}_{\alpha \gamma} F_{\alpha \mu}^{\gamma \nu}(p) N_{\mu \nu}(k^-) u(k_1).$$

Here, $IJ\xi$ are the isospin, the total angular momentum ($J = n + 1/2$), and naturality of the initial pion-nucleon system, respectively. The tensor $F_{\alpha \mu}^{\gamma \nu}(p)$ is the propagator of the initial $\pi N$ system with the momentum $p = k_1 + k_2$. The tensor $N_{\mu \nu}(k^-)$ describes the production vertex, which is constructed from the $\gamma$ matrices and the orbital momentum tensors which depend on the relative momentum of the initial

FIG. 4. $\pi^-p$ elastic-scattering cross section at four pion beam momenta $0.650, 0.685, 0.733,$ and $0.786$ GeV/c. The EPECUR data [51] [blue (gray) dots] are available for the highest beam momentum only. The HADES data are presented together with the world data [42,44–50] and the current SAID solutions [43], as specified in the legend.
Here, $k^\perp = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2)_\nu g^\perp_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2)_\nu \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \right)$. (3)

The naturality is connected with the orbital momentum $L$ as $J = L + \frac{1}{2}\xi$ and technically is related to the presence of the $\gamma_5$ matrix in the tensor decomposition. The explicit form of the tensors $F$ and $N^5$ is given in Ref. [52]. The multi-index $\alpha$ describes the number of the final-state configurations and includes isospin, spin, and naturality of the intermediate and final states.

In the case of a two-particle final state with a pseudoscalar meson and a $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ baryon, the amplitude $A_{J^{\perp}\alpha}^{1_{\perp}\alpha}$ depends on the decay vertex which has the same structure as the production one:

$$A_{J^{\perp}\alpha}^{1_{\perp}\alpha} = N_{\mu_1...\mu_n}^{\perp}(q^\perp) A_{1J2}(s) C_2.$$ (4)

Here $C_1$ is the isospin Clebsch-Gordan coefficient, $q^\perp$ is the relative momentum of the final particles orthogonal to the momentum $p$ and the tensor $N$ differs from the tensor $N$ by the order of the $\gamma_5$ matrices. In this case, the multi-index $\alpha$ is a dummy index and the partial wave amplitude depends only on the total-energy squared, $s = p^2$.

In the case of two pseudoscalar mesons and a baryon in the final state, the amplitude can be decomposed into the partial waves which describe the quasi-two-particle decay processes. Thus, the initial system decays into one of the final particles (spectator) and an intermediate quasiparticle which in turn decays into two other final particles. Therefore, the partial-wave amplitudes depend also on the quasiparticle energy squared $s_{ij} = (q_i + q_j)^2$. If the spectator particle is the pion with momentum $q_2$, the total amplitude has the form

$$A_{J^{\perp}\alpha}^{1_{\perp}\alpha} = G_{J^{\perp}\alpha}^{\perp\alpha}(L_1, q_1, q_2) F_{\mu_1...\mu_n}^{\perp\alpha}(q_1 + q_3)$$

$$\times N_{\mu_1...\mu_n}^{\perp\alpha}(q_{13}) A_{J^{\perp}\alpha}^{1_{\perp}\alpha}(s, s_{13}) C_{1,13},$$ (5)

where $C_{1,13}$ is the corresponding Clebsch-Gordan coefficient. The vertex $G_{J^{\perp}\alpha}^{\perp\alpha}(L, \xi_1, q_{23})$, which is the only new tensor in this equation, describes the decay of the initial partial wave into a pion and an intermediate baryon state with the spin $J_{13} = m + \frac{1}{2}$ and naturality $\xi_2$. This tensor is constructed from the orbital momentum tensors and depends on the momentum of the spectator particle orthogonal to the momentum of the initial system. As before, the naturality corresponds to the number of the $\gamma_5$ matrices in the tensor expression (see Ref. [52]).

The tensor which describes the production of a scalar meson and the spectator nucleon has the following form:

$$A_{J^{\perp}\alpha}^{1_{\perp}\alpha} = N_{\mu_1...\mu_n}^{\perp\alpha}(q_2) A_{J^{\perp}\alpha}^{1_{\perp}\alpha}(s, s_{12}) C_{1,12}.$$ (6)

This expression has a similar form as the single-pion-production amplitude. However, due to the positive parity of the scalar mesons, the naturality changes its sign $\xi_1 = -\xi_2$. For the vector-meson production this equation is modified as

$$A_{J^{\perp}\alpha}^{1_{\perp}\alpha} = N_{\mu_1...\mu_n}^{\perp\alpha}(q_3) \Pi_{\eta\nu} A_{J^{\perp}\alpha}^{1_{\perp}\alpha}(s, s_{12}) g_{12} C_{1,12}.$$ (7)

Here, $\Pi_{\eta\nu}$ is the standard $\rho$-meson propagator; the explicit equation for the tensors $V_{\mu_1...\mu_n}^{\perp\alpha}(q_3)$ which describe the decay of the intermediate baryon into $\rho$ meson and pion is given in Ref. [52].

The nonresonance contributions are described by the $t$-channel exchange amplitudes taken in the Reggezed form:

$$A = g_1(t) g_2(t) \frac{1 + \xi \exp \left[ -i\pi \alpha(t) \right]}{\sin(\pi \alpha(t))} \left( \frac{v}{v_0} \right)^{\alpha(t)}. \quad (8)$$

Here, $v = \frac{1}{2}(s - u), \alpha(t)$ is the Reggeon trajectory, and $\xi$ is its signature. The vertices $g_1$ and $g_2$ include form factors which we parametrize in the exponential form

$$g_1(t) g_2(t) = \Lambda e^{-bt}, \quad (9)$$

where $\Lambda$ and $b$ are fit parameters.

The partial-wave amplitudes are parametrized in the framework of the $N/D$-based approach described in detail in Ref. [53]. This approach can be considered as the solution of the Bethe-Salpeter equation with the kernel

$$K_{ij} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \frac{g_1^\beta g_2^\beta}{M_\beta^2 - s} + f_{ij}. \quad (10)$$

The indices $i, j$ correspond to the scattering channels, and the amplitudes are described as the sum of $N$ resonant terms and nonresonant contributions $f_{ij}$. The quantities $g_1^\beta$ are decay couplings related to the decay widths of resonances $\Gamma_{\beta}(M_\beta)$ via the relation

$$(g_1^\beta)^2 \rho^\beta(M_\beta) = M_\beta \Gamma_{\beta}(M_\beta), \quad (11)$$

where $\rho^\beta(M_\beta)$ is the phase space for the decay. This method satisfies explicitly the unitarity and analyticity conditions for

FIG. 5. Ratio of the number of $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs originating from the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ final state to the number of $\pi^- p$ pairs from the elastic scattering presented for the whole measurement time. The ratios were calculated for four-momenta (see legend), and corrected for variation of the corresponding elastic-scattering cross sections. The rise of the $N_{\pi\pi}/N_{\text{elast}}$ as a function of the pion beam momentum is due to the cross-section increase for the two-pion production (see text for details). The numbers indicated in the panel refer to the total number of collected events for each momentum under a given trigger condition.
the two-body final states. In the case of three-body final states, the unitarity is satisfied on the level of the quasi-two-particle processes. This approach takes correctly into account such analytical structures of the amplitudes as poles and cuts. In the majority of cases these singularities are the dominant logarithmic singularities connected with the triangle diagrams have, as a rule, a rather smooth energy dependence and can be taken into account by renormalization of the resonance couplings. However, such a renormalization leads to the appearance of the coupling phases which were treated as parameters in the optimization procedure.

The analysis of the HADES data was performed together with photo- and pion-induced data with one and two pseudoscalar mesons in the final state. The HADES data provide the needed information and impose strong constraints on the possible orbital momentum. In the present study, all \(\rho N\) decay channels were introduced explicitly for the resonances with masses below 1.6 GeV/c\(^2\). After including the \(\rho N\) channels and HADES data in the fit, all couplings of these states to the “black box” were optimized close to zero and were fixed to this value in the final fit. This means that the widths were fully defined by the sum of the partial widths of the fitted channels.

The combined analysis allows us to define the initial isospin of all partial-wave amplitudes. For example, the \(2\pi^0\) production reactions do not provide enough information for a unique decomposition of the \(\Delta(1232)\pi\) amplitudes into initial states with fixed isospin. The HADES data provide the needed information and impose strong constraints on the \(\Delta\pi\) and \(N^*\pi\) amplitudes. In addition, the HADES data allow us to extract, with a good precision, the contributions of the \(\rho(770)N\) amplitudes which do not contribute to the \(2\pi^0\) production reactions.

In the energy region of the HADES data, the leading contributions to the reactions are defined by the \(\Delta(1232)\pi\), \(N(1440)\pi\), \(\rho(770)N\), and \(\sigma N\) intermediate states. Here \(\sigma\) describes the energy dependence of the scalar \(\pi\pi\) S wave in the mass region from the two-pion threshold up to 0.8 GeV. We also introduce the contribution from the amplitudes with \(N(1535)(\frac{1}{2}^-)\pi\) and \(N(1520)(\frac{3}{2}^-)\pi\) intermediate states but did not find any notable contributions from them.

### D. Acceptance and efficiency corrections

To compare the HADES data on \(p\pi^0\pi^-\) and \(n\pi^+\pi^-\) final states to the results of PWA fits various differential distributions were studied. They include momentum and angular distributions of the final-state particles in the c.m. frame, invariant masses, and angular distributions in the Gottfried-Jackson (GJ) and the Helicity (H) reference frames (for definitions, see Sec. IV B). The distributions were compared with the PWA solutions calculated within the HADES acceptance. The agreement between the data and the PWA solutions is generally very good (see figures presented in the next section) and justifies model-driven combined acceptance and efficiency corrections. For this purpose one-dimensional correction functions were computed for all investigated observables. These functions have been obtained as ratios of two PWA solutions given for (a) the full solid angle (4\(\pi\)) and (b) the HADES acceptance, including all reconstruction cuts like PID and missing-mass windows, (ACC). Finally, experimental distributions were multiplied by the respective

### Table I.

The reactions, observables, and energy ranges of the two-pion production data used in the PWA. \(d\sigma/d\Omega\) and \(\sigma_{\text{tot}}\) refer to the differential and total photoproduction cross section, respectively, while the other observables (\(E, P, H, T\)) are defined in Ref. [55].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Observable</th>
<th>(W) (GeV)</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 p)</td>
<td>(d\sigma/d\Omega, \sigma_{\text{tot}})</td>
<td>1.2–1.9</td>
<td>MAMI [56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 p)</td>
<td>(E)</td>
<td>1.2–1.9</td>
<td>MAMI [56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 p)</td>
<td>(d\sigma/d\Omega, \sigma_{\text{tot}})</td>
<td>1.4–2.38</td>
<td>CB-ELSA [57,58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 p)</td>
<td>(P, H)</td>
<td>1.45–1.65</td>
<td>CB-ELSA [59,60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 p)</td>
<td>(T, P_1, P_2)</td>
<td>1.45–2.28</td>
<td>CB-ELSA [59,60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 p)</td>
<td>(P_1, P_3, P_4) (4D)</td>
<td>1.45–1.8</td>
<td>CB-ELSA [59,60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n)</td>
<td>(d\sigma/d\Omega)</td>
<td>1.29–1.55</td>
<td>Crystal Ball [10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n)</td>
<td>(d\sigma/d\Omega)</td>
<td>1.45–1.55</td>
<td>HADES (this work)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^- p)</td>
<td>(d\sigma/d\Omega)</td>
<td>1.45–1.55</td>
<td>HADES (this work)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
correction functions. The averaged correction factors depend
slightly on the beam momentum and amount to 6.5–8
and 9.5–12.5 for the \( n\pi^+\pi^- \) and \( p\pi^-\pi^0 \) data, respectively.
Note that, in this procedure no extrapolation outside the HADES
acceptance was performed and only the acceptance losses due
incomplete azimuthal coverage where accounted for. Fur-
thermore, the acceptance regions with a very large correction
factor (>15), corresponding to low acceptance or efficiency,
were excluded. Nevertheless, several angular distributions
have a full acceptance coverage in HADES (see below) and
can be used to determine the total cross section. The corrected
experimental distributions obtained in this way are of more
general interest since they can be directly compared with any
theoretical model.

Systematic uncertainties of the PWA have been estimated
by studying several solutions: with truncation at \( J^p = \frac{1}{2} \) and
at \( J^p = \frac{3}{2} \) for the data sets obtained with and without pion
tracker with the missing-mass selections shown in Fig. 3.
Furthermore, two strategies for data normalization were ap-
plied in the fits. One based on the cross sections measured
in the HADES acceptance only and the other one with the
HADES cross sections combined with the cross sections
for the two-pion production in complete solid angle derived from
the PWA analysis of former two-pion experiments, as given in
Ref. [9] (denoted as PWA Manley).

These various solutions were also used to estimate sys-
tematic errors of the correction functions introduced above.
It appears that correction functions for the given observable
calculated with the various PWA solutions are very similar.
The correction factors vary from point to point and their
average spread was estimated to 2%, reaching a maximum
of 7% for some specific regions of the acceptance. This
error was calculated for every bin of investigated differential
distributions and was propagated to the total systematic error.
The other contributions to systematic errors assigned to each
bin arise from the pion-carbon background subtraction (1%–
2%) and track reconstruction efficiency (2%), as discussed in
the previous sections.

For the estimate of the total cross section, angular distri-
butions with the complete HADES coverage were considered
for the data sets collected with and without pion tracker. In the
case of the \( n\pi^+\pi^- \) channel, the following projections were
used: \( \cos \theta_{\pi^+\pi^-} \), \( \cos \theta_{\pi^+\pi^-} \) and \( \cos \theta_{\pi^+\pi^-} \) in the (H) reference
frames, and \( \cos \theta_{\pi^+\pi^-} \) in the (GJ) reference frames. In the
\( p\pi^0\pi^- \) channel: \( \cos \theta_{p\pi^0\pi^-} \), \( \cos \theta_{p\pi^0\pi^-} \) and \( \cos \theta_{p\pi^0\pi^-} \) in the (H) reference
frames, and \( \cos \theta_{p\pi^0\pi^-} \), \( \cos \theta_{p\pi^0\pi^-} \) and \( \cos \theta_{p\pi^0\pi^-} \) in the (GJ) reference frames
(see Figs. 6 and 7). Based on these projections, the total
cross sections and the systematic errors related to the ex-
trapolation to the full solid angle were calculated from the
acceptance corrected distributions for each reaction channel
as the average of the respective integrals and their dispersion
(rms), respectively. Systematic errors related to corrections
of losses due to the missing-mass cuts were estimated by
variation of the window widths and comparing losses in the
simulation with the corresponding losses in the data (see
table). These errors (about 2%–5%) were found to be larger
(and asymmetric) than the errors related to the extrapolation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of uncertainty</th>
<th>Error estimate [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global errors:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalization (world data)</td>
<td>2–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cross-section extrapolation</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruction procedure of pion beam momentum</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation of missing mass cuts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n\pi^+\pi^- ) channel</td>
<td>+2–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p\pi^-\pi^0 ) channel</td>
<td>+2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total global systematics</td>
<td>4–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point-to-point errors:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic scattering ( \Delta N_0 )</td>
<td>1–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon background</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-pion reconstruction efficiency</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance corrections</td>
<td>2–7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(about 1%–2%) and are summarized together with the other
sources in Table II.

IV. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS FOR THE \( n\pi^+\pi^- \)
AND \( p\pi^-\pi^0 \) CHANNELS AND COMPARISON WITH THE
PARTIAL-WAVE ANALYSIS SOLUTIONS

The PWA fits, described in Sec. III C, have been per-
formed including the HADES data on the \( \pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi^0\pi^- \)
and \( \pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^- \) reaction channels measured at the
four-pion beam momenta. The differential distributions presented
in this section correspond to data obtained with \( p_{beam} = 0.685 \) GeV/c using the pion tracker. The highest statistics
was collected for this beam momentum, which had been
chosen for the study of the dielectron channel. This data set,
therefore, constitutes the most relevant reference and also
illustrates well the main conclusions from this analysis. The
results of the analysis of data obtained for the other beam
momenta are included in excitation functions shown at the end
of this section. All presented distributions are corrected for the
HADES acceptance and reconstruction efficiency. The yields
are converted to cross sections via the relative normalization
to the elastic pion-proton scattering, as described in Sec. III B.
The distributions are compared with the PWA solution given
in the full solid angle. The systematic point-to-point errors
related to the acceptance corrections and the subtraction of the
contribution originating from pion-carbon interactions
are added quadratically and displayed as boxes. Statistical
errors are indicated separately by vertical bars and are usually
smaller than the systematic ones. In addition to these errors,
global errors related to the PID method, the normalization,
the cross-section extrapolation, the pion beam momentum
reconstruction procedure, are included in the estimate of the
total cross sections but are not shown in the differential
distributions.

Table II summarizes the various types of systematic errors
considered in the analysis.
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A. Two-particle distributions

Within the Bonn-Gatchina framework, the two-pion production is described as a two-step process involving the formation of quasi-two-particle final-state isobars. The two-particle states consist of a meson associated with a baryon: $\pi \Delta$ or $N\rho(I = 1)$, $N\sigma(I = 0)$. The intermediate states $\rho$, $\sigma$, or $\Delta$ decay into the two-body final states $\pi\pi$ or $N\pi$, respectively. In the following discussion, we show differential distributions for such two-particle systems which characterize the first step of the reaction.

We begin the presentation of the results with the distributions of the polar emission angles in the c.m. frame of the collision (Fig. 8), and the invariant masses (Fig. 9). Since the nucleon (pion) and the two-pion (nucleon-pion) systems are emitted back-to-back in the c.m. frame, the respective angular distributions are closely related (by reflection symmetry). Therefore, the invariant-mass distributions for the particle combinations shown in Fig. 9 complement the one-particle angular distributions shown in Fig. 8.

In the figures, the data points (black points) are compared with the PWA solution (solid black histograms) normalized to the experimental cross sections. In this way, we first compare the shapes of the experimental and PWA distributions. The comparison of the total cross sections for the two-pion production, obtained in the PWA, to the cross sections measured by HADES is presented in Sec. IV C.
FIG. 7. Angular distributions of incoming pions in the nucleon-pion (left) and in the pion-pion (right) Gottfried-Jackson frames for the \( \pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^- \) (upper) and \( \pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi^0\pi^- \) (lower) reaction channels. The subscript indicates the two-body reference rest frame and the superscript indicates the angle of the given particle relative to the \( \pi^- \)-beam direction. The \( z \) axis of the Gottfried-Jackson rest frame is chosen along the direction of nucleon (left) and pion (right). Color (gray) curves display various final-state contributions (indicated in the legend).

The partial-wave analysis provides information about all contributing amplitudes which can be organized into various projections. The differential production cross sections are separated in the leading contributions: (a) the total angular momentum and the parity of the initial state \( (J^P = \frac{1}{2}^\pm, \frac{3}{2}^\pm) \), see Fig. 8, and (b) the type of quasi-two-particle states or isobars, see Fig. 9: \( \Delta\pi \) [solid cyan (light gray) curves], \( N\rho \) (dotted black curves), and \( N\sigma \) [dotted magenta (gray) curves]. In case (a), we additionally show contributions from the most important pion-nucleon waves in the \( s \) channel with \( I = 1/2 \) and fixed total angular momentum \( J \) and angular momentum \( L \), e.g., \( S_{11} \) \( (L = 0, J = 1/2) \) is shown by cyan (light gray) curves, \( P_{11} \) \( (L = 1, J = 1/2) \) is shown by red (dark gray) curves, and \( D_{13} \) \( (L = 2, J = 3/2) \) is shown by gray curves. The \( N^* \) resonances \( N(1535)_{1/2}^-, N(1440)_{3/2}^+, \) and \( N(1520)_{3/2}^- \) contribute respectively to these partial waves. In case (b), we also present the dominant sources of the \( \rho \)-meson production, which is in the focus of this study. There, the following contributions are shown: the total \( \rho \) contribution in the \( s \) channel, contributing almost 100\% of the total \( \rho \) production cross section [dashed cyan (light gray) curves], separated into the shares from \( S_{11} \) [dash-dotted red (gray) curves], and \( D_{13} \) (dashed black curves) partial waves.

Figure 8 shows distributions for the \( n\pi^+\pi^- \) (upper row) and the \( p\pi^0\pi^- \) (lower row) final states. They demonstrate the dominance of the \( \frac{3}{2}^- \) partial wave (dashed gray curves).
FIG. 8. Angular differential cross sections of the nucleons (left column) and pions (right column) in the c.m. frame for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^-$ (upper row) and $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi^0\pi^-$ (lower row) reaction channels for $p_{\text{beam}} = 0.685$ GeV/c. Color (gray) curves display contributions of partial waves (dashed-line histograms) and $I = 1/2$ $s$-channel contributions (solid-line histograms) to the total yield (solid black histogram).

in the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final state. For the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ final state, we obtain comparable contributions for the $1/2^+$ [dashed red (dark gray) curves] and $3/2^-$ waves (dashed gray curves), respectively. Contributions from the higher partial waves (not shown in the figure) are much smaller. As one can see, the different $J^P$ partial waves are dominated by the $s$ channel $I = 1/2$ contributions. The $t$ channel contributions are found to be much smaller (1%-4% depending on the partial wave). The $I = 3/2$ components, which are also much smaller than the $I = 1/2$ contributions, play some role via interference effects. This can be seen, for example, in the case of the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final state, where the total $J^P = 3^-\pi^-\pi^0$ partial-wave yield is smaller than the $I = 1/2$ $D_{13}$ $s$-channel contribution due to destructive interference effects with the corresponding $I = 3/2$ contribution.

The obtained decomposition into partial waves shows that, at HADES energies, the most significant contributions originate from $L = 0, 1, 2 (S, P, D)$ waves. The respective amplitudes, extracted from our analysis, are associated with $J^P : \frac{1}{2}^- (L = 0), \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+ (L = 1), \frac{3}{2}^-, \frac{5}{2}^- (L = 2)$ waves, where the total parity of pion-nucleon scattering is given by $(-1)^{L+1}$. The truncation at $L = 3$ imposed in our analysis is in agreement with the previous PWA analysis of two-pion production [8,9], and the analysis of inelasticity concluded from pion-nucleon elastic scattering [1,2] at similar energies. The results show that for $\sqrt{s} < 1.55$ GeV the contributions from $L > 3$ are negligible.

The separation of the total cross section into isobar contributions is shown in Fig. 9. It presents the invariant-mass distributions of the nucleon-pion (left column) and the two-pion (right column) pairs for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^-$ (upper row) and the $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi^0\pi^-$ (lower row) reaction channels. In the latter case, the dominant contribution is the off-shell $s$-
channel $\rho$-meson production, proceeding predominately via the $D_{13}$, and, to a smaller extent, via the $S_{11}$ partial waves. The two-pion mass distributions are cut below the meson pole by the limited phase space ($\sqrt{s-M_N} = 0.55 \text{ GeV/c}^2$). On the other hand, the proton-pion invariant-mass distributions are peaked around the $\Delta^+$ resonance pole, indicating also the formation of this isobar in the intermediate two-particle state. In the $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^-$ reaction, the $\Delta^+$ final state is much stronger (by about a factor of three) with respect to the $\rho N$ final state and dominates over the $\rho N$ channel. Such an increase of the $\Delta^+$ contribution can be understood from the isospin considerations for the $I = 1/2$ states. An enhancement by a factor of 2.5 is expected from the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for $N^{*0} \rightarrow (\Delta^-\pi^+,\Delta^+\pi^-)$ and for $N^{*0} \rightarrow (\Delta^0\pi^0,\Delta^+\pi^-)$ contributing to the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ and $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final states, respectively. Furthermore, as already mentioned (see Fig. 8), in addition to $D_{13}$, also the $P_{11}$, a branch comparable to the $\Delta^+$, contributes to the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ final state, and, consequently, the cross-section increases. This difference in the isobar contributions is also reflected in the pion angular distributions for the respective $D_{13}$ and $P_{11}$ components [see Figs. 8(b) and 8(d)]. While for the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ final state the distribution is dominated by $\Delta^-$ emission pattern, leading to an almost flat $\pi^+$ angular distribution for all $s$-channel contributions, in the case of the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final state, a clear anisotropy is observed for $\pi^0$ s emitted from the $D_{13}$, due to the strong contribution from the decay of $N(1520)$ into $\rho N$.

In the $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^-$ reaction channel also two-pion production in the $I = 0$ state ($N\sigma$) is allowed. This isobar production proceeds mainly through the decay branch of the $N(1440)_{1/2}^+$ resonance. As can be seen in the left column of Fig. 9, the invariant-mass distributions for the $N\sigma$ and $\Delta\pi$...
The distributions are corrected for the HADES acceptance and reconstruction efficiency. The $z$ axis represents cross section in mb. Theoretical borders of the Dalitz plots are drawn with black solid curves for the fixed (central value) pion beam momentum. The dashed black curve refers to $+3\sigma$ of the $\sqrt{s}$ distribution corresponding to the pion beam momentum range as presented in Fig. 1.

contributions have slightly different shapes as compared with those for the $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^- \pi^-$ reaction dominated by the $N\rho$ isobar. The $\sigma$ contribution is also reflected in the angular distribution of two pions having $J = \frac{3}{2}^-$ ($D_{13}$).

Finally, in Fig. 10 we show the Dalitz plots for the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ (left panel) and $n\pi^+\pi^-$ (right panel) final states, respectively, with the indicated positions for the expected $\rho$ and $\Delta$ resonance contributions. Figure 10 shows the HADES data (corrected for the HADES acceptance and reconstruction efficiency), within the HADES acceptance, while Fig. 11 shows data obtained from the PWA in the full solid angle. The solid contours visible in the figure visualize the respective envelopes for the Dalitz distributions assuming a fixed value of $\sqrt{s}$ corresponding to the central beam momentum values $p_{\text{beam}} = 0.685$ GeV/c. Events distributed outside the envelopes are due to the beam momentum spread and the HADES spectrometer resolution. The distributions show clear enhancements along the indicated positions and good coverage of the detector. The cutoff for the highest $\pi^-\pi^0$ and $n\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant masses, visible in the Dalitz plots of Fig. 10, is due to the lack of the detector acceptance at low polar angles essential for the event reconstruction in the case of production close to the kinematic limits. For example, in case of the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final state, higher-energy protons, which would lead to higher invariant masses $M(\pi^0 - \pi^-) > 0.27$ (GeV/c$^2$), go to polar angles less than $20^\circ$ in the laboratory and can thus not be detected in HADES.

**B. Three-particle distributions**

The configurations of three particles in the final state can be studied by angular distributions calculated in the Gottfried-Jackson and the Helicity frames.

The Helicity reference frame (H) is defined as the rest frame of any two final-state particles with the $z$ axis aligned along the direction opposite to the third particle momentum. The polar emission angle of a particle, boosted to that frame, is the helicity angle of this particle. Angular distributions in this particular reference frame (H) are strongly related to the Dalitz plot. They are sensitive to the presence of a resonance and/or higher partial waves involved in the respective two-particle final state. For example, the appearance of $\rho$-meson production in the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$ reaction is expected to produce an enhancement distributed along the high $M_{\pi\pi}$ edge of the Dalitz plot spanned by the $M_{\pi\pi}$ vs $M_{\pi\pi}$ invariant

![Fig. 10. Dalitz plots for the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ (left panel) and $n\pi^+\pi^-$ (right panel) final states for $p_{\text{beam}} = 0.685$ GeV/c with the indicated locations of the $\Delta$ and $\rho$-meson contributions. The distributions are corrected for the HADES acceptance and reconstruction efficiency. The $z$ axis represents cross section in mb. Theoretical borders of the Dalitz plots are drawn with black solid curves for the fixed (central value) pion beam momentum. The dashed black curve refers to $+3\sigma$ of the $\sqrt{s}$ distribution corresponding to the pion beam momentum range as presented in Fig. 1.](image1)

![Fig. 11. Same as Fig. 10 for the distributions from the PWA solutions in the full solid angle.](image2)
masses (see Fig. 10). In the pion-nucleon helicity frames the respective enhancement is expected to show up at large opening angles between the two pions (corresponding to a large \( n \pi \) invariant mass).

Indeed, such an enhancement is visible in the distributions plotted in Fig. 6 (left column) for small opening angles between the nucleon and the \( \pi^+ \) in the nucleon-\( \pi^- \) helicity frame (indicated by subscripts), which are equivalent to large pion-pion angles. This is visible for the \( \pi^- p \rightarrow n \pi^+ \pi^- \) (upper panel) as well as for the \( \pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi^0 \pi^- \) (lower panel) reaction channels. On the contrary, other channels, like \( N \sigma \) or \( \Lambda \pi \), have more uniform distributions, which demonstrates that the angular distributions in the helicity frame are sensitive to the \( N \rho \) production.

The pion-pion rest system in the \( H \) frame, on the other hand, is sensitive to the appearance of the \( \Delta \) resonance, which can be clearly seen as a bump in the respective pion-nucleon angular distributions (see right column in Fig. 6). As already evident from the distributions of the pion-nucleon invariant mass (see Fig. 9), the \( \Delta(1232) \) is observed in the covered mass range. Consequently, the bump is also observed in the respective angular distribution in the \( H \) frame.

One should emphasize that the presented angular distributions are fully covered by the HADES acceptance. This facilitates acceptance corrections and extraction of the total production cross sections, presented later in this section, as already introduced in Sec. III D.

In the Gottfried-Jackson (GJ) reference frame the projectile or the target is chosen as the reference particle boosted to the rest frame of two final state particles. The opening angle between the projectile or the target, and one particle forming the rest frame is calculated. The angular distributions plotted in Fig. 7 show the opening angles of the incoming pion projectile with respect to one of the particles (indicated by the superscript) in the two-body reference rest frame (indicated by the subscript). The angle plotted in the GJ frame is well suited to study the production mechanism. In particular, the two-body scattering of the incoming pion with an exchanged particle to a two-particle final state can be studied by the respective distribution. For example, the \( t \)-channel production of a \( \rho \) meson with subsequent decay into two pions or of a \( \Delta(1232) \) decaying into a nucleon and a pion could be studied in the GJ frame fixed to the two-pion or the pion-nucleon rest frames, respectively.

The distributions displayed in the left column are plotted for the nucleon-pion systems, while those in the right column are for the two-pion system. The upper and lower panels correspond to the \( \pi^- p \rightarrow n \pi^+ \pi^- \) and \( \pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^0 \pi^- \) channels, respectively. They are similar to the c.m. distributions discussed above (see Fig. 8) but, decomposed into the isobars, reveal interesting features for the \( \rho \)\( N \) channel. For both final states, the pion distributions emerging from the \( \rho \)\( N \) final state [Figs. 7(b) and 7(d)], are anisotropic and convex in shape for the coherent sum of \( s \)-channel contributions while they are concave for the dominant \( D_{13} \) contribution. This signal strong interferences between the dominant \( I = 1/2 \) partial waves, \( S_{11} \) and \( D_{13} \), with also some contribution from \( I = 3/2 \) components. The latter one will be discussed in more detail in the next section where the partial-wave contributions to the total cross section are discussed. One should also point out that the distributions shown in Figs. 7(b) and 7(d) demonstrate a good coverage of HADES for these observables, which allow for a total-cross-section estimate.

Summarizing, all the presented angular distributions agree very well with the Bonn-Gatchina PWA solutions. They are consistent with the isobar model assuming formation of the quasi-two-particle final states: \( \Delta \pi, N \rho \) in the \( \pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^0 \pi^- \), and additionally \( N \sigma \) in the \( \pi^- p \rightarrow n \pi^+ \pi^- \) reaction channels. The results show the dominance of the \( J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^- \) partial waves with \( D_{13} \), and \( P_{11} \) playing the most important role in the two-pion production, however with visible interferences with \( S_{11} \) and smaller \( I = 3/2 \) amplitudes. In particular, a high sensitivity of the angular distributions in the \( H \) and GJ frame and of the two-pion invariant masses to the off-shell \( \rho \)-meson contribution has been found. Furthermore, the broad angular coverage of HADES for measurements of angular distributions in the GJ and the \( H \) frames allows for the extraction of the total cross section for two-pion production, presented in the next section.

### C. Total cross section

The total cross sections for the two-pion production are shown in Fig. 12 as a function of the total energy \( W = \sqrt{s} \) in the c.m. system for the \( \pi^- p \rightarrow n \pi^+ \pi^- \) (upper row) and the \( \pi^- p \rightarrow p \pi^0 \pi^- \) (bottom row) reaction channels. The red (black) points show the results of this analysis, while the black circles were obtained from the other experiments (see Refs. [8,9,47,48,62–65]). The total cross sections from HADES were calculated as explained in Sec. III D and are also summarized in Table III. The error bars for the HADES data are dominated by the systematic uncertainties (boxes) while statistical ones are negligible. The errors of the older experiments are statistical only.

The total cross sections derived from the HADES data agree within the errors with the results of the former experiments.

The excitation functions are compared with the Bonn-Gatchina solutions (solid black curves—denoted Bn-Ga) and also to the results of the analysis described in Ref. [8] (dashed black curves). The dashed band spanned around the Bn-Ga solutions visualizes the errors (rms) obtained from the various solutions.

In the left column the total cross section obtained from the PWA are separated into the dominant contributions given by the \( \frac{1}{2}^-, \frac{3}{2}^- \) channels which are the partial waves contributing to the \( s \) channel [Figs. 12(a) and 12(c)]. The total cross section is defined by the incoherent sum of the cross sections from the partial-wave
amplitudes with a fixed total angular momentum and parity $J^P$. Such amplitudes for fixed $J^P$ are defined as the coherent sum of the respective partial-wave amplitudes for $I = 1/2$ and $I = 3/2$ with the corresponding Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. The total contribution of the dominant partial waves, listed in Tables IV and V, rises with the incident energy and varies from 83% to 92% and from 75% to 89% of the total cross section for the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ and $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final state, respectively. The remaining part of the total cross sections originates from the interferences with $t$-channel contributions, not included in the partial waves, and from the contributions of the higher partial waves. Tables IV and V give a detailed separation of the respective partial waves obtained from the Bonn-Gatchina analysis. The specified errors have been determined from the

![Diagram](image)

FIG. 12. (a), (b) Total production cross sections for the two-pion production in the $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^+\pi^-$ and (c), (d) the $\pi^- p \rightarrow p\pi^-\pi^0$ reaction channels. Results from this work [red (gray) points] and from the other experiments [8,9,47,48,62–65] (black circles) are shown as a function of the total energy ($W = \sqrt{s}$) in the c.m. frame. The figures in the left column present the subdivision into the $I=1/2$ partial waves and the $I = 1/2 N^*$ contributions (see legend). The black curves are results from the Bonn-Gatchina solution (solid) and the one obtained in Refs. [8,9] (dashed), respectively. The curves in the right column display the contributions of the isobar $\Delta\pi$ [cyan (light gray) band], $N\sigma$ [magenta (gray) band] and $N\rho$ (hatched gray band) final states. The latter one is subdivided into the coherent sum of $s$-channels [cyan (light gray) hatched band], $D_{13}$ (gray band), and $S_{11}$ [dashed red (gray) curve] partial waves. See legend for details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\rho$ [GeV/c]</th>
<th>$W$ [GeV]</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>$1/2^+$</th>
<th>$1/2^-$</th>
<th>$3/2^+$</th>
<th>$3/2^-$</th>
<th>$5/2^+$</th>
<th>$5/2^-$</th>
<th>$S_{11}$</th>
<th>$P_{11}$</th>
<th>$D_{13}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.001</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>3.28 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.003</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>4.83 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.004</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
dispersion of the various PWA solutions, as explained above. The $\frac{5}{2}^\pm$ partial waves [not included in Figs. 12(c) and 12(d)] contribute only very little to the total cross sections which justifies the truncation applied in the analysis.

The contributions of the most important $I = 1/2$ partial waves: $S_{11}, P_{11}, D_{13}$ are also plotted in Fig. 12 for both reaction channels. The $D_{13}$ is dominating the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final state while in the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ final state the contribution of $P_{11}$ plays a comparable role. The incoherent sum of the $I = 1/2$ contributions amounts to $\approx 63\%$ of the total cross section and is roughly constant for the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ channel but decreases as a function of the energy from 68\% to 51\% for the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final state. The remaining part of the cross section for the $\frac{1}{2}^\pm, \frac{3}{2}^\pm$ partial waves is provided by the contribution of the $I = 3/2$ amplitudes, which increases with the energy for the $p\pi^0\pi^-$ final state, as can be seen in Fig. 12(c) (compare hatched and full gray bands).

Tables VI and VII provide the numerical values of the respective components and their errors deduced from the PWA solutions, as described above. As one can see, the $\Delta\pi$ isobar contribution [cyan (light gray) band] rises almost linearly with the energy and is the most important contribution in the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ final state. The $N\rho$ channel provides the largest contribution to the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final state with the most dominant component originating from the $D_{13}$ partial wave. Furthermore, the $\rho$-meson production in both final states is almost completely determined by $s$ channels [cyan (light gray) hatched band], as can be directly concluded from the comparison with the total cross section (hatched gray band). Similar conclusions on the dominance of the $s$ channel have also been derived for other final states. The excitation function for the $\rho^-$ meson seems to show a resonance-like behavior with a maximum around the pole of $N(1520)^\pm$ while the one for the $\rho^0$ meson is rising more continuously. In the final state with two charged pions also the isoscalar $I = 0$ state [magenta (gray) band] contributes with a comparable cross section and a rather flat excitation function.

**D. $\rho$-meson production**

One of the main goals of this analysis is to extract the production cross section of the $\rho$ meson and to provide an insight into the reaction mechanism. In particular, the interesting question is the coupling of the $\rho$ meson to $N^*/\Delta$ baryonic resonances characterized by the respective decay branches. Those branches were previously extracted in the analysis of Manley et al. [8,9], based on the old bubble-chamber data. To study this aspect, we have performed a decomposition of the meson production cross section into the dominant $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^\pm$ and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^\pm$ partial waves and extracted their $I = 1/2, I = 3/2$ components. The results, presented in Tables VI and VII, show that the most important contributions originate from negative-parity $I = 1/2$ partial waves and are given by $D_{13}$ and $S_{11}$, for both investigated $\rho$-meson charge states. The $S_{11}$ contribution is approximately constant while the $D_{13}$ contribution is larger and is increasing with excitation energy. Furthermore, we observe that the incoherent sum of the $I = 1/2$ contributions is comparable to the coherent sum of all $s$-channel contributions for the $p\pi^0\pi^-$ final state. On the other hand, the respective sum is clearly smaller for the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ final state. One should, however, also consider $I = 3/2$ contributions to the $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$ partial waves and the interference effects. The PWA solution shows that the main contributions to the $D_{13}$ wave originates from the $N(1520)^\pm$ resonance (close to 95\%) in both channels while the $I = 3/2 (\Delta)$ is given by the $S_{31}$ and $D_{33}$ partial waves which, although smaller than the respective $I = 1/2$ contribution are still important in the coherent sum. In the case of the full interference even a small partial wave can strongly affect the total contribution. In Tables VI and VII we present the incoherent

**TABLE V.** Same as Table IV but for the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ channel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$ [GeV/c]</th>
<th>$W$ [GeV]</th>
<th>$S_{11}$</th>
<th>$P_{11}$</th>
<th>$D_{13}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>5.27 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>6.08 ± 0.17</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>6.70 ± 0.21</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>6.45 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE VI.** Isobar contributions (in mb) derived in the PWA for the $p\pi^0\pi^-$ channel at the four incident pion momenta. For the $\rho N$ channel the most important $s$ channel $I = 1/2$ partial wave contributions ($S_{11}, D_{13}$) are given. The last column shows the incoherent sum of the respective $I = 3/2$ contributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$ [GeV/c]</th>
<th>$W$ [GeV]</th>
<th>$\Delta\pi$</th>
<th>$N\rho$</th>
<th>$N\sigma$</th>
<th>$N\rho$ (s channel)</th>
<th>$N\rho (S_{11})$</th>
<th>$N\rho (D_{13})$</th>
<th>$N\rho (\Sigma_A)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sum of the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and the $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ partial waves with $I = \frac{1}{2}$ (last column) to quantify their effect with respect to the $I = 1/2$ contributions. The contributions are increasing with the energy and amount to around 15%–25% and 5%–12% with respect to the incoherent sum of $I = 1/2$ contributions for the $n\pi^+\pi^-$ and $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final states, respectively.

The importance of the interference effects in the $\rho$-meson production has already been pointed out in the previous section in the interpretation of the pion angular distributions in the (GJ) frame. The opposite interference pattern and the relative contributions of $N^\Delta/3$ to the total coherent sum in both reaction channels can be understood more quantitatively by the isospin decomposition of the total $\rho$-meson production cross section into the respective amplitudes for the $N^\ast$ and the $\Delta$ components:

$$
s_{\pi^-\pi^0}^{pN(\pi^+\pi^-)-\pi^-\pi^0} \sim \frac{1}{3}(2A_{N^\ast}^I + A_{\Delta}^I)^2,
$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

for the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final state, and

$$
s_{\pi^-\pi^0}^{pN(\pi^+\pi^-)-\pi^-\pi^0} \sim \frac{1}{3}(-\sqrt{2}A_{N^\ast}^I + \sqrt{2}A_{\Delta}^I)^2,
$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

for the $n\pi^-\pi^0$ final state, respectively.

As can be seen from Tables VI and VII, the $I = 1/2$ cross sections are indeed a factor of two larger for the $p\pi^-$ as compared with those for the $n\pi^0$, independent of the energy, in agreement with the above isospin decomposition. On the other hand, the contributions of $I = 3/2$ are larger for the $n\pi^0$ final state. Furthermore, the sign of $A_{N^\ast}$ has been found in the PWA to be opposite to the one for the $A_{\Delta}$ for the $p\pi^-$ final state, therefore the interference is destructive for this final state while for the other $\rho$-meson charge state it is constructive. From the above expression one can also see that the ratio of the interference term with respect to the incoherent sum of both amplitudes for the two reactions is larger for the $n\pi^0$ final state. It is worth mentioning that the same conclusions on the amplitude signs were drawn from the previous analysis [8], in agreement with quark models cited in there.

Finally, the branching ratios for the $N(1520)^{-}\pi^-\pi^0$ and $n(1535)^{-}\pi^-\pi^0$ have been extracted from the Bonn-Gatchina analysis. For the $N(1520)^{-}\pi^-\pi^0$ state the corresponding branching ratio was found to be $11.8\% \pm 1.9\%$ for the decay with the orbital moment $L = 0$ (S wave), and $0.4\% \pm 0.2\%$ for the decay with the orbital moment $L = 2$. The branching ratio to the $S$-wave channel appeared to be almost a factor of two smaller than the value obtained in the analysis in Ref. [9]. However, our value is in a good agreement with the multichannel analysis of Ref. [66] and the analysis of electroproduction data of Ref. [15]. The branching ratio of the $N(1535)^{-}\pi^-\pi^0$ state into the $\rho N$ channel was found to be notably smaller: $2.7\% \pm 0.6\%$ for the decay into $S$ wave and $0.5\% \pm 0.5\%$ for the decay into $D$ wave. These numbers are in a good agreement with the previous results [9,66]. The branching ratios of these two states into all channels which contribute to the $\pi\pi N$ final state are listed in Table VIII. Another baryon contributing to the two-pion production in the HADES experiment energy range is the Roper state, $N(1440)^{1}\,$, although its coupling to the $\rho N$ channel calculated as residue in the pole position was found to be very small. Due to the small phase-space volume, the branching ratio for the decay of the Roper resonance into the $\rho N$ channel appears to be less than $0.2\%$.

### V. CONCLUSION

The HADES Collaboration measured the two-pion production in the exclusive $n\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ and $p\pi^-\pi^0$ final states in pion-proton scattering at incident pion momenta of $p_{\text{beam}} = 0.650$, 0.685, 0.733, and 0.786 GeV/c. These new data have been included in the Bonn-Gatchina PWA accounting for many other reaction channels measured in various experiments, studying pion- and photoinduced reactions. The solutions allow for the decomposition of the total cross sections into partial waves with total angular momentum and parity $J^P$ or into $\Delta\pi$, $N\rho$, $N\sigma$ isobars. The results have been discussed, based on the detailed comparison of the PWA solutions to the measured differential cross sections for $p_{\text{beam}} = 0.685$ GeV/c and to the measured excitation function. We conclude that, in the second resonance region, the two-pion production in the $\pi^-\rho$ reaction is dominated by the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^\pm$, $\frac{3}{2}^\pm$ partial waves with isospin $I = 1/2$. The largest contributions are provided by the $D_{13}$ and $D_{15}$ partial waves in the $p\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, and the $n\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ final states, respectively. For the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ channel, the $p\pi^-$ contribution dominates. The situation is different for the $p\pi^-\pi^0$ channel where the $n\pi^0$ contribution is suppressed due to smaller isospin coefficients (factor or two) and due to significant contributions of the $\Delta\pi$ and $N\sigma$ final states. Furthermore, we have found that the total

### TABLE VII. Same as Table VI but for the $n\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ channel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$ [GeV/c]</th>
<th>$W$ [GeV]</th>
<th>$\Delta\pi$</th>
<th>$N\rho$</th>
<th>$N\sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.11 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>2.29 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.11</td>
<td>2.29 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.11</td>
<td>2.49 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE VIII. The branching ratios (in %) for the decay of $S_{11}(1535)$ and $D_{13}(1520)$ into the different $\pi\pi\pi$ channels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>$\Delta\pi$</th>
<th>$\Delta\pi$</th>
<th>$N\rho$</th>
<th>$N\rho$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_{11}(1535)$</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{13}(1520)$</td>
<td>12.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2</td>
<td>11.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cross section for the $N\rho$ channel is influenced by interference effects between $I = 1/2$ and $I = 3/2$ amplitudes, which are constructive for the $n\rho$ case and destructive for the $p\rho$ case.

The new data on the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$ and $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- n$ reactions provide important information about decay properties of the resonances in the region of center-of-mass energies around 1.5 GeV. In particular, this is a unique source to study the decay properties of the resonances into the $\rho N$ channel. The combined analysis of our data with the Crystal Ball data measured for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0 n$ reaction indeed allows for a precise spin-parity and isotopic decomposition of all partial waves, which was not possible from the analysis of the Crystal Ball data alone. As a result, we identify unambiguously the contributions of all partial waves to the measured reactions and determine the branching ratios of the $N(1535)\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $N(1520)\frac{3}{2}^-$ resonances into the $\rho N$, $\Delta\pi$, and $N\sigma$ channels with good precision.

This new analysis should be particularly useful for the decay into the $\rho N$ channel $BR = 12.2\% \pm 1.9\%$ and $BR = 3.2\% \pm 0.7\%$ for the $N(1520)\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $N(1535)\frac{3}{2}^-$ resonances, respectively, as no information is available in the Review of Particle Physics [7]. Our result for the $N(1520)$ is different by a factor of two from the value found in the earlier analysis of Ref. [9]. The value of the branching ratio of baryon resonances into the $\rho N$ channel is important for the calculations of the in-medium $\rho$-meson spectral function, which is affected by the coupling to baryon resonances. In particular, it confirms the dominant role of $S$ waves in the decay of these resonances. It is also important for calculations of dilepton production via baryon resonance decays which rely on the modeling of timelike electromagnetic baryon transition form factors using VMD models. In particular, the results obtained in this work on the $\rho$-meson production can be directly used for the on-going analysis of the $\pi^- p \rightarrow ne^+e^-$ reaction channel measured in the same energy range.
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