

## Off-shell Ward identities for N-gluon amplitudes

Ahmadiniaz, N.; Schubert, C.;

Originally published:

June 2020

**EPL - Europhysics Letters 130(2020), 41001**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/130/41001>

Perma-Link to Publication Repository of HZDR:

<https://www.hzdr.de/publications/Publ-30361>

Release of the secondary publication  
on the basis of the German Copyright Law § 38 Section 4.

# Off-shell Ward identities for N-gluon amplitudes

Naser Ahmadinia<sup>1</sup>

*Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Bautzner Landstraße 400, 01328 Dresden,  
Germany*

Christian Schubert

*Instituto de Física y Matemáticas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo  
Apdo. Postal 2-82, C.P. 58040, Morelia, Michoacan, Mexico*

---

## Abstract

Off-shell Ward identities in non-abelian gauge theory continue to be a subject of active research, since they are, in general, inhomogeneous and their form depends on the chosen gauge-fixing procedure. For the three-gluon and four-gluon vertices, it is known that a relatively simple form of the Ward identity can be achieved using the pinch technique or, equivalently, the background-field method with quantum Feynman gauge. The latter is also the gauge-fixing underlying the string-inspired formalism, and here we use this formalism to [prove](#) the corresponding form of the Ward identity for the one-loop N - gluon amplitudes.

*Keywords:* Ward identity, QCD, string-inspired, worldline formalism

---

## 1. Introduction: Ward-Takahashi and Slavnov-Taylor identities

Ward identities, also known as Ward-Takahashi identities, are the quantum counterparts to Noether's theorem in classical physics. They are identities between correlation functions stemming from the global and gauge symmetries of the theory, introduced first by Ward [1] in 1950 and later generalized by Takahashi [2].

---

*Email addresses:* [n.ahmadinia@hzdr.de](mailto:n.ahmadinia@hzdr.de) (Naser Ahmadinia), [schubert@ifm.umich.mx](mailto:schubert@ifm.umich.mx) (Christian Schubert)

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

The original work of Ward and Takahashi was concerned with  $U(1)$  gauge symmetry and current conservation in QED. Here the “Ward identity” refers to on-shell matrix elements, and is usually written as

$$k^\mu \mathcal{M}_\mu = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_\mu$  is the matrix element defined by  $\mathcal{M} = \varepsilon_\mu \mathcal{M}^\mu$ , indicating that the longitudinal components of the photon’s polarizations do not contribute to scattering amplitudes. See, e.g., [3] for detailed discussion.

The “Ward-Takahashi” identity is more involved, since it concerns off-shell quantities. In QED, in its most basic form it can be written as

$$\Gamma_\mu(p, p) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial p^\mu} \Sigma(p), \tag{1.2}$$

and allows one to relate the electron wave function renormalization factor  $Z_2$  to the vertex renormalization factor  $Z_1$ .

After the development of QCD, in the seventies the generalization of these QED identities to the non-abelian case became an active field of research. With respect to the on-shell S-matrix identities (1.1) one finds no essential differences between QED and QCD, except that in the non-abelian case the vanishing of the effect of the longitudinal gluon polarizations usually involves intricate cancellations between one-particle irreducible and one-particle reducible diagrams (see, e.g., [4]).

To the contrary, the generalization of the Ward-Takahashi identities to the non-abelian case leads to the so-called Slavnov-Taylor identities [5, 6, 7], and those still remain a subject of active investigation (see, e.g., [8] and refs. therein). This is because these identities in general not only provide relations between different  $N$ -point functions, but also mix up the physical gauge bosons with the ghosts, and in a way that depends on the gauge-fixing procedure. Thus they tend to be much more non-trivial than their QED prototype. Moreover, they should hold perturbatively and non-perturbatively, and in the bare theory as well as in the renormalized one. Therefore Slavnov-Taylor identities also put restrictions on the renormalized coupling constants for vertex functions which

has been studied in detail by many authors, see e.g. [9, 10, 11].

The gauge-fixing dependence constitutes a serious problem for applications of the Schwinger-Dyson equations in QCD. Those equations couple an infinite number of Green's functions, and attempts at explicit solution normally require a truncation to a finite subset of them. This truncation should be gauge-invariant, which in the non-abelian case is not easily achieved. This triggered the development of the ‘‘pinch technique’’ (‘PT’) [12, 13], a systematic procedure that allows one to construct, starting from the standard Green's functions derived from the gauge-fixed QCD Lagrangian, improved ‘‘gauge-invariant’’ vertices that fulfill simple QED-like off-shell Ward identities, not involving the ghosts. For the  $N$ -gluon vertices, which are our subject of interest in this letter, this procedure has, to the best of our knowledge, been carried out only for  $N = 3$  and  $N = 4$ , and only at the one-loop level. The three-point vertex is special in that it involves the color indices only as a global prefactor:

$$\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}^{abc}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = -if^{abc}\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3), \quad (1.3)$$

where the  $f_{abc}$  are the structure constants of the Lie algebra,  $[T^a, T^b] = iT^c f^{abc}$ . As shown by Cornwall and Papavassiliou [14], when constructed using the PT it will obey the identity

$$\begin{aligned} k_1^{\mu_1}\Gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(k_1, k_2, k_3) &= -(k_2^2 g_{\mu_2\mu_3} - k_{2\mu_2} k_{2\mu_3}) \left(1 - \Pi(k_2^2)\right) \\ &\quad + (k_3^2 g_{\mu_2\mu_3} - k_{3\mu_2} k_{3\mu_3}) \left(1 - \Pi(k_3^2)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\Pi(k^2)$  is the gluon vacuum polarization. This form of the Ward identity holds unambiguously for the scalar and spinor loop cases, but for the gluon loop with other gauge fixings in general there will be additional terms on the right-hand-side involving not only the gluon propagator, but also the ghost propagator and the gluon-ghost-ghost vertex [10, 15, 16]. The corresponding identity for the four-gluon vertex was given by Papavassiliou [17]

$$\begin{aligned}
k_1^{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_4}^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) &= -ig f_{a_1 a_2 c} \Gamma_{\mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{c a_3 a_4}(k_2 + k_1, k_3, k_4) \\
&\quad -ig f_{a_1 a_3 c} \Gamma_{\mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_2 c a_4}(k_2, k_3 + k_1, k_4) \\
&\quad -ig f_{a_1 a_4 c} \Gamma_{\mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}^{a_2 a_3 c}(k_2, k_3, k_4 + k_1).
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.5}$$

From a somewhat different perspective, the issue of the gauge-fixing dependence of the off-shell Ward identities arises also in the context of SUSY extensions of QCD [18], and was studied in detail for the three-gluon vertex by Binger and Brodsky [16]. Here as a minimal requirement one would wish to have a gauge-fixing procedure compatible with the supersymmetry, in particular with the structure of supermultiplets; e.g., one would like to avoid having to use different Ward identities for amplitudes that differ, say, only by different components of the same superfield running in a loop. For this purpose, the background-field method (‘BFM’) [19, 20] turned out to be very suitable, and in fact equivalent to the PT, since it was shown in [21, 22] that the application of the BFM with quantum Feynman gauge leads to exactly the same Green’s functions as the PT.

The BFM with quantum Feynman gauge is also the formalism underlying the construction of the one-loop  $N$ -gluon amplitudes in the “string-inspired worldline formalism” [23, 24] which to some extent mimics the construction of gauge boson amplitudes in string perturbation theory. This formalism therefore is guaranteed to lead to the same simple and ghost-free, QED-like off-shell Ward identities as the PT. Moreover, one of the properties that it inherits from string theory is that it allows one to unify the scalar, spinor and gluon-loop contributions to these amplitudes in a way that would be difficult to achieve in other approaches, namely by a set of simple pattern-matching rules at the parameter-integral level due to Bern and Kosower [23, 25, 26]. These rules were originally derived from world-sheet SUSY, but can also be derived by more direct means [27, 28]. In the present letter, we will use these properties to show that the identities (1.4), (1.5) generalize to the  $N$ -gluon case in the simplest

possible way, namely as <sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
k_1^{\mu_1} \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_N}^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_N}(k_1, \dots, k_N) &= -ig f_{a_1 a_2 c} \Gamma_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{c a_3 a_4 \dots a_N}(k_2 + k_1, k_3, \dots, k_N) \\
&\quad -ig f_{a_1 a_3 c} \Gamma_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{a_2 c a_4 \dots a_N}(k_2, k_3 + k_1, \dots, k_N) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad -ig f_{a_1 a_N c} \Gamma_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_N}^{a_2 a_3 a_4 \dots c}(k_2, k_3, \dots, k_N + k_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

## 2. String-inspired representation of gluon amplitudes

Around 1990, Bern and Kosower used the field theory limit of string theory to derive new parameter integral representations for the QCD one-loop  $N$ -gluon amplitudes [25, 26]. In its original form this formalism was restricted to on-shell matrix elements, but it was soon extended to the off-shell case by Strassler using worldline path integral representations of the same amplitudes [23, 24, 27, 29]. More recently, this version of the formalism has been found particularly suitable for the study of non-abelian form factor decompositions [30, 31, 32].

Let us briefly summarize how the one-loop off-shell 1PI  $N$ -gluon amplitudes are constructed in the string-inspired formalism for a scalar, spinor or gluon loop (for details see [27]). The starting point is the following path-integral representation of the scalar loop contribution to this amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\text{scal}}(k_1, \varepsilon_1, a_1; \dots; k_N, \varepsilon_N, a_N) &= (ig)^N \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \int \mathcal{D}x e^{-\int_0^T d\tau \frac{1}{4} \dot{x}^2} \\
&\quad \times V_{\text{scal}}[k_1, \varepsilon_1, a_1] \cdots V_{\text{scal}}[k_N, \varepsilon_N, a_N].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Here  $m$  is the mass and  $T$  the proper-time of the scalar in the loop. The integral  $\int \mathcal{D}x$  runs over all closed loops in space-time with periodicity  $T$ . At fixed  $T$ ,

---

<sup>2</sup>Eq.(1.6) was already stated in [32] but not proven there.

each gluon is represented by a vertex operator

$$V_{\text{scal}}[k_i, \varepsilon_i, a_i] = T^{a_i} \int_0^T d\tau \varepsilon_i \cdot \dot{x}_i e^{ik_i \cdot x_i}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $k_i$  and  $\varepsilon_i$  are the gluon momentum and polarization,  $T^{a_i}$  denotes a generator of the color group, and we have abbreviated  $\dot{x}_i \equiv \frac{d}{d\tau} x(\tau_i)$ . The polarization vectors  $\varepsilon_i$  at this stage are just book-keeping devices, and do not fulfill any on-shell constraints.

This is the full amplitude; each gluon vertex operator is integrated along the loop independently, so that the color generators  $T^{a_i}$  appear under the color trace in all possible orderings. It will be sufficient to consider the contribution corresponding to the standard ordering  $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2 \geq \dots \geq \tau_N$ , to be denoted by  $\Gamma_{\text{scal}}^{a_1 \dots a_N}$ . It can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{scal}}^{a_1 \dots a_N}(k_1, \varepsilon_1; \dots; k_N, \varepsilon_N) &= (ig)^N \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \int \mathcal{D}x e^{-\int_0^T d\tau \frac{1}{4} \dot{x}^2} \\ &\quad \times V_{\text{scal}}[k_1, \varepsilon_1, a_1] \cdots V_{\text{scal}}[k_N, \varepsilon_N, a_N] \\ &\quad \times \theta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \theta(\tau_2 - \tau_3) \cdots \theta(\tau_{N-1} - \tau_N) \delta\left(\frac{\tau_N}{T}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Apart from imposing the proper-time ordering, one can use here also the translation invariance in proper-time to reduce the number of integrations by setting  $\tau_N = 0$ . The full amplitude (2.1) is obtained from the ordered one (2.3) by summing over all  $(N-1)!$  inequivalent permutations.

In the string-inspired formalism the path integral (2.3) is done by gaussian integration, leading to the following ‘‘Bern-Kosower master formula’’:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{scal}}^{a_1 \dots a_N}(k_1, \varepsilon_1; \dots; k_N, \varepsilon_N) &= (ig)^N \text{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} \dots T^{a_N}) (2\pi)^D i \delta^D\left(\sum k_i\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty dT (4\pi T)^{-\frac{D}{2}} e^{-m^2 T} \int_0^T d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \dots \int_{\tau_{N-1}=0}^{\tau_{N-2}} d\tau_{N-1} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left[ \frac{1}{2} G_{Bij} k_i \cdot k_j - i \dot{G}_{Bij} \varepsilon_i \cdot k_j + \frac{1}{2} \ddot{G}_{Bij} \varepsilon_i \cdot \varepsilon_j \right] \right\} \Big|_{\text{multi-linear}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Here  $D$  is the space-time dimension, and the notation  $|_{\text{multi-linear}}$  means that, after the expansion of the exponential, only terms linear in every polarization

vector should be retained.  $G_{Bij}$  stands for the ‘‘bosonic worldline Green’s function’’

$$G_B(\tau_i, \tau_j) = |\tau_i - \tau_j| - \frac{(\tau_i - \tau_j)^2}{T} - \frac{T}{6}. \quad (2.5)$$

Writing out the exponential in eq.(2.4) one obtains an integrand

$$\exp\left\{\cdot\right\} |_{\text{multi-linear}} = (-i)^N P_N(\dot{G}_{Bij}, \ddot{G}_{Bij}) \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N G_{Bij} k_i \cdot k_j\right], \quad (2.6)$$

with a certain polynomial  $P_N$ .

Starting from this parameter-integral representation of the scalar loop contribution to the  $N$ -gluon amplitude, one can now generate the contributions of the spinor and gluon loop in the following way. There exists a systematic integration-by-parts procedure that eliminates all second derivatives of  $G_B$  [25, 26, 30, 33]. After this, a parameter-integral representation of the spinor loop contribution can (up to the global normalization) be generated from the scalar-loop one by replacing every ‘‘ $\tau$ -cycle’’ appearing in  $Q_N$ , that is, a product of  $\dot{G}_B$  whose indices form a cycle, according to the ‘‘cycle-replacement rule’’

$$\dot{G}_{B_{i_1 i_2}} \dot{G}_{B_{i_2 i_3}} \cdots \dot{G}_{B_{i_n i_1}} \rightarrow \dot{G}_{B_{i_1 i_2}} \dot{G}_{B_{i_2 i_3}} \cdots \dot{G}_{B_{i_n i_1}} - G_{F_{i_1 i_2}} G_{F_{i_2 i_3}} \cdots G_{F_{i_n i_1}}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $G_{F_{12}} \equiv \text{sign}(\tau_1 - \tau_2)$  denotes the ‘fermionic’ worldline Green’s function. A similar ‘‘cycle replacement rule’’ allows one to generate a parameter-integral representation of the gluon-loop contribution.

For our present purpose it will further be important that in the partially integrated integrand each  $\tau$ -cycle  $\dot{G}_{B_{i_1 i_2}} \dot{G}_{B_{i_2 i_3}} \cdots \dot{G}_{B_{i_n i_1}}$  appears multiplied with a corresponding ‘‘Lorentz-cycle’’  $\text{tr}(f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \cdots f_{i_n})$ , where

$$f_i^{\mu\nu} \equiv k_i^\mu \varepsilon_i^\nu - \varepsilon_i^\mu k_i^\nu, \quad (2.8)$$

is the field-strength tensor of gluon  $i$  [24, 28, 30].

### 3. Derivation of the $N$ -gluon Ward identity: scalar loop

Let us now turn to the Ward identity in the  $N$ -gluon case. Starting from the path-integral representation (2.1) of the scalar contribution to the  $N$ -gluon

amplitude, and replacing  $\varepsilon_i$  by  $k_i$ , the corresponding vertex operator becomes the integral of a total derivative, and collapses to boundary terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{scal}}[k_i, \varepsilon_i] &\stackrel{\varepsilon_i \rightarrow k_i}{=} T^{a_i} \int_{\tau_{i+1}}^{\tau_{i-1}} d\tau_i k_i \cdot \dot{x}(\tau_i) e^{ik_i \cdot x(\tau_i)} \\
&= -iT^{a_i} \int_{\tau_{i+1}}^{\tau_{i-1}} d\tau_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i} e^{ik_i \cdot x(\tau_i)} \\
&= -iT^{a_i} \left[ e^{ik_i \cdot x(\tau_{i-1})} - e^{ik_i \cdot x(\tau_{i+1})} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

After plugging this back it into eq. (2.1) for the natural ordering  $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2 \geq \dots \tau_{i-1} \geq \tau_i \geq \tau_{i+1} \dots \geq \tau_N$  we have (in the following we omit the global energy-momentum conservation factor)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_{\text{scal}}^{a_1 \dots a_N} [k_1, \varepsilon_1; \dots; k_N, \varepsilon_N] \stackrel{\varepsilon_i \rightarrow k_i}{=} -i(ig)^N \text{tr}(T^{a_1} \dots T^{a_{i-1}} T^{a_i} T^{a_{i+1}} \dots T^{a_N}) \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \\
&\times \int \mathcal{D}x e^{-\int_0^T d\tau \frac{1}{4} \dot{x}^2} \left\{ \int_0^T d\tau_1 \varepsilon_1 \cdot \dot{x}(\tau_1) e^{ik_1 \cdot x(\tau_1)} \dots \int_0^{\tau_{i-2}} d\tau_{i-1} \varepsilon_{i-1} \cdot \dot{x}(\tau_{i-1}) e^{i(k_{i-1} + k_i) \cdot x(\tau_{i-1})} \right. \\
&\times \int_0^{\tau_{i-1}} d\tau_{i+1} \varepsilon_{i+1} \cdot \dot{x}(\tau_{i+1}) e^{ik_{i+1} \cdot x(\tau_{i+1})} \dots \int_0^{\tau_N-1} d\tau_N \varepsilon_N \cdot \dot{x}(\tau_N) e^{ik_N \cdot x(\tau_N)} \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^T d\tau_1 \varepsilon_1 \cdot \dot{x}(\tau_1) e^{ik_1 \cdot x(\tau_1)} \dots \int_0^{\tau_{i-2}} d\tau_{i-1} \varepsilon_{i-1} \cdot \dot{x}(\tau_{i-1}) e^{ik_{i-1} \cdot x(\tau_{i-1})} \right. \\
&\times \left. \int_0^{\tau_{i-1}} d\tau_{i+1} \varepsilon_{i+1} \cdot \dot{x}(\tau_{i+1}) e^{i(k_i + k_{i+1}) \cdot x(\tau_{i+1})} \dots \int_0^{\tau_N-1} d\tau_N \varepsilon_N \cdot \dot{x}(\tau_N) e^{ik_N \cdot x(\tau_N)} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Let us now focus on the term from the lower boundary  $\tau_i = \tau_{i+1}$ . If we apply the same replacement to the ordering that differs from the standard one only by an exchange of  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_{i+1}$ ,  $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2 \geq \dots \tau_{i-1} \geq \tau_{i+1} \geq \tau_i \geq \dots \tau_N$ , the same term will be generated from the upper boundary of the  $\tau_i$  integral, only with the opposite sign and an interchange of the color matrices  $T^{a_i}$  and  $T^{a_{i+1}}$ . Thus in the abelian case all the boundary terms would cancel in pairs, but in the non-abelian theory instead each pair produces a color commutator. Inserting the  $i$ th vertex operator in all  $N$  possible ways, but keeping the order of the other vertex operators fixed, it is then easy to arrive at (1.6) (where we have now set  $i = 1$ ).

#### 4. Spinor and gluon loop

The same identity (1.6) could be derived analogously for the spinor and gluon loop cases at the path-integral level using appropriate supersymmetric generalizations of (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) (those representations have been summarized, e.g., in [31]).

However, we find it more convenient to show the independence of the Ward identity of spin by the following argument. When substituting any  $\varepsilon_i$  by  $k_i$  in the partially integrated integrand there are two types of terms, those where the index  $i$  belongs to a cycle and those where not. For the first type of terms the polarization vector  $\varepsilon_i$  is contained in the field strength tensor  $f_i$ , so that they get annihilated by the substitution, and this is independent of the application of the loop replacement rules. The second type of terms are the ones that produce the right-hand side of the Ward identity, however since in those all the cycle factors are unaffected by the substitution  $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow k_i$  they appear as identical factors under the parameter integral on both sides, so that again the form of the identity, once established for the scalar loop, does not get altered by the application of the loop replacement rules.

#### 5. Conclusions

To summarize, we have demonstrated that the one-loop QCD 1PI  $N$ -gluon amplitudes off-shell obey the Ward identity (1.6), [as stated in our previous work \[32\]](#). This identity holds unambiguously for the scalar and spinor loop cases, but for the spin one case if and only if the gauge fixing is done using the BMF with quantum Feynman gauge (or equivalently using the pinch technique). [To the best of our knowledge, this Ward identity previously has been treated in the literature only up to the four-point case \[17\]. However, an analogous identity has been derived in string theory in a way similar to ours \[34\].](#)

As a final comment, let us remark that the fact that the BFM for the gluon loop leads to the same simple, ghost-free Ward identities as for the scalar and spinor loop only if the gluon in the loop is taken in Feynman gauge also implies

that a generalization of the existing worldline path integral representations of the nonabelian effective action [23, 27] to other covariant gauges must by necessity run into some algebraic complications.

- [1] J. C. Ward, Phys. Rev. **78** (1950) 182.
- [2] Y. Takahashi, Nuovo Cimento. **6** (1957) 371.
- [3] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Addison-Wesley 1995.
- [4] E. Leader and E. Predazzi, J. Phys. G **40** (2013) 075001, arXiv: 1101.3425 [hep-ph].
- [5] J. C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. **B 33** (1971) 436.
- [6] A. Slavnov, Theor. Math. Phys. **10** (1972) 99.
- [7] P. Pascual and R. Tarrach, *QCD: Renormalization for the Practitioner*, Springer 1984.
- [8] J. A. Gracey, H. Kissler, D. Kreimer, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) 085001, arXiv: 1906.07996 [hep-ph]
- [9] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B **33** (1971) 173
- [10] J. S. Ball and T. W. Chiu, Phys. Rev. D **22** (1980) 2550; Erratum: Phys. Rev. D **23** (1981) 3050.
- [11] S. K. Kim and M. Baker, Nucl. Phys. **B 164** (1980) 152.
- [12] J. M. Cornwall, Phys. Rev. D **26** (1982) 1453.
- [13] D. Binosi and J. Papavassiliou, Phys. Rept. **479** (2009) 1, arXiv: 0909.2536 [hep-ph].
- [14] J. M. Cornwall and J. Papavassiliou, Phys. Rev. D **40** (1989) 3474.
- [15] W. Marciano and H. Pagels, Phys. Rep. **36** (1978) 137.

- [16] M. Binger and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 054016, arXiv: 0602199 [hep-ph].
- [17] J. Papavassiliou, Phys. Rev. D **47** (1993) 4728.
- [18] Z. Bern, L. Dixon, D.C. Dunbar, D.A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. **B 425** (1994) 217, arXiv: 9403226 [hep-ph].
- [19] L.F. Abbott, Nucl. Phys. **B 185** (1981) 189.
- [20] L. F. Abbott, M. T. Grisaru and R. K. Schaefer, Nucl. Phys. **B 229** (1983) 372.
- [21] A. Denner, G. Weiglein and S. Dittmaier, Phys. Lett. B **333** (1994) 420.
- [22] J. Papavassiliou, Phys. Rev. D **51** (1995) 856.
- [23] M. J. Strassler, Nucl. Phys. **B 385** (1992) 145, arXiv: 9205205 [hep-ph].
- [24] M. J. Strassler, SLAC-PUB-5978 (1992) (unpublished).
- [25] Z. Bern, D.A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. **B 362** (1991) 389.
- [26] Z. Bern and D. A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. **B 379** (1992) 451.
- [27] M. Reuter, M. G. Schmidt and C. Schubert, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **259** (1997) 313, arXiv: 9610191 [hep-th].
- [28] C. Schubert, Phys. Rept. **355** (2001) 73, arXiv: 0101036 [hep-th].
- [29] M.G. Schmidt and C. Schubert, Phys. Lett. B **318** (1993) 438, arXiv: 9309055 [hep-th].
- [30] N. Ahmadinia, C. Schubert and V.M. Villanueva, JHEP **1301** (2013) 312, arXiv: 1211.1821 [hep-th].
- [31] N. Ahmadinia and C. Schubert, Nucl. Phys. **B 869** (2013) 417, arXiv: 1210.2331 [hep-ph].

- [32] N. Ahmadiniaz and C. Schubert, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **25** (2016) 1642004, arXiv: 1811.10780 [hep-ph].
- [33] C. Schubert, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **5** 693 (1998), arXiv: 9710067 [hep-th].
- [34] M. Porrati, *Phys. Lett. B* **231** 403 (1989).