Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR)



Application of a hybrid multiphase CFD approach to the simulation of gas-liquid flow at a trapezoid fixed valve for distillation trays

Wiedemann, P.; Meller, R.; Schubert, M.; Hampel, U.;

Originally published:

April 2023

Chemical Engineering Research and Design 193(2023), 777-786

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2023.04.016

Perma-Link to Publication Repository of HZDR:

https://www.hzdr.de/publications/Publ-35851

Release of the secondary publication on the basis of the German Copyright Law § 38 Section 4.

CC BY-NC-ND

Application of a hybrid multiphase CFD approach to the simulation of gas-liquid flow at a trapezoid fixed valve for distillation trays

Philipp Wiedemann^{a,*}, Richard Meller^a, Markus Schubert^{a,b}, Uwe Hampel^{a,c}

^aInstitute of Fluid Dynamics, Helmholtz–Zentrum Dresden–Rossendorf, Bautzner Landstraße 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany ^bInstitute of Process Engineering and Environmental Technology, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany ^cInstitute of Power Engineering, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

Abstract

In the present contribution, we demonstrate the application of a hybrid multiphase CFD approach, which allows for simulating dispersed phases as well as resolved interfaces within an Eulerian framework, for the flow on distillation trays for the first time. The morphology adaptive multifield two-fluid model is exemplified for continuous gas-liquid flow on a generic tray setup with a single trapezoid fixed valve. Instead of fully resolving its geometry in the computational grid, the gas inlets are emulated by implementing mass and momentum sources that are applied to local cell zones. Different zone types in terms of volume and curtain area are tested and compared. The simulation results are verified with experimental data from a lab-scale test rig with air-water flow. Local phase fractions were measured using a conductivity sensor array. The comparison of simulated and experimental results reveals that the relevant time-averaged and transient flow characteristics can be predicted satisfactorily if at least an approximate representation of the valve's geometry in the computational grid is given. However, local differences are observed among the simulated phase distributions due to the varying cell zone volume and hence maximum intensity of the injected momentum.

Preprint submitted to Chemical Engineering Research and Design March 3, 2023

^{*}Corresponding author.

Email address: p.wiedemann@hzdr.de (Philipp Wiedemann)

Keywords: distillation tray, fixed valve, morphology adaptive multifield two–fluid model, local source terms, CFD

1 1. Introduction

The increasing energy supply from renewable sources demands a more 2 flexible operation of separation columns. In this context, tray columns with 3 fixed values traves are increasingly considered for revamps and new appara-4 tuses, since they cope well with enlarged over and partial load modes when 5 compared to sieve trays, cf. Lockett (1986), Goedecke (2006). However, con-6 sidering the vast number of valve types and possible arrangements as well as their almost unpredictable impact on the complex liquid-vapor flow, the tray 8 design is a challenging task in practice. This applies particularly in view of 9 the fact that most fixed values feature directional vapor outlets that may be 10 utilized to guide the flow and to counteract liquid maldistribution and recir-11 culation for the purpose of attaining high separation efficiencies, cf. Bell and 12 Solari (1974), Vishwakarma et al. (2018). Nevertheless, tray design is often 13 based on experience or rule of thumb, cf. Kister (1990), and the correspond-14 ing knowledge is usually kept undisclosed in the companies. However, in the 15 context of increasing computational power (Markov, 2014, Waldrop, 2016) 16 for simulating complex flow phenomena along with advanced measurement 17 techniques (Hampel et al., 2020) for experimental validation of the underly-18 ing models, the application of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) becomes 19 increasingly attractive to support the design process. But since it is still not 20 feasible to resolve all details of the two-phase flow in an industrial-scale facil-21 ity without high performance computing, customized solutions are required 22 for practical daily use. Therefore, we aim at developing a three-dimensional 23 coarse-grid CFD approach that enables engineers to simulate the most rel-24 evant scales of two-phase flow scenarios on fixed valve travs at reasonable 25 computational effort. 26

Two-phase flow on distillation trays appears in various forms and is usually categorized into five major flow regimes (bubble, froth, spray, cellular foam and emulsion regime, cf. Lockett (1986), Kister et al. (1992)), which are associated with characteristic spatio-temporal distributions of vapor and liquid. Additionally, gradual transitions can occur between these major regimes, cf. Dhulesia (1983), Kister et al. (1992), like for many other two-phase flow phenomena, e.g. pipe flow (Wiedemann et al. (2019)) or bubble columns

(Nedeltchev et al. (2020)). Since the actual form of appearance depends on 34 operating conditions, fluid properties and — quite significantly — on the 35 tray design, the phase distribution and the dynamics of the flow cannot be 36 fully anticipated in the design process already. Moreover, the coexistence of 37 different regimes and phase structures should be assumed for an unbiased 38 simulation. This means that liquid-dominated as well as gas-dominated 39 regions may be present along with dispersed structures of the respective ac-40 companying phase. However, two modeling approaches, which focus either 41 on continuous–continuous or continuous–disperse interactions, are presently 42 well-established for the simulation of gas-liquid flows. 43

On the one hand, the Volume of Fluid (VoF) model is applied with the 44 aim of resolving interfacial structures that are larger than the computational 45 grid size, e.g. for two-phase flows with large-scale interfaces or in the field of 46 microfluidics. For that purpose, VoF uses a computationally efficient single-47 field approach for handling the two fluids by a single set of mass and momen-48 tum equations. Interfaces are represented by gradients of a so-called color 49 function indicating the phases, cf. e.g. Ho (2017), Wörner (2012). How-50 ever, this approach is impractical for industrial-scale flows with dispersed 51 structures due to the exceptional computational effort arising from the re-52 quirement to resolve the interfacial structures on the grid, see e.g. Malvin 53 et al. (2014) who attempted to simulate the two-phase flow in a 1.213 m i.d. 54 sieve tray column. The authors used cell sizes of $(5 \times 2 \times 2)$ mm³ at least 55 in the active zone of the tray to resolve the most relevant scales resulting 56 already in 3.8×10^6 computational cells when only accounting for half of the 57 actual domain by applying a symmetry boundary condition (BC). The latter 58 simplification is, however, not fully appropriate for assessing highly turbulent 59 three-dimensional flow fields on trays, cf. Jiang et al. (2012). Nevertheless, 60 the VoF model can be the method of choice for detailed investigations of the 61 flow through and around single valves. Alizadehdakhel et al. (2009) used the 62 VoF model for evaluating different geometric versions of a single float valve 63 in a small-diameter column. By assuming a fixed position of the valve and 64 resolving its full geometry in the grid, the authors were able to assess geom-65 etry modifications by comparing the simulated gas distribution, interfacial 66 area and pressure drop. 67

On the other hand, two-phase flows can be treated with the so-called Eulerian-Eulerian or Two-Fluid Model (*TFM*). Here, the two fluids are interpreted as fully interpenetrating continua assuming that one fluid is dispersed in the other one, e.g. dispersed gas bubbles in a continuous liquid

phase. In the TFM approach, governing equations for the conservation of 72 mass and momentum are established in a phase fraction weighted manner and 73 are solved for both phases, cf. Ishii and Hibiki (2011). Since dispersed struc-74 tures are not resolved in the computational grid, submodels are formulated 75 to describe the interfacial momentum coupling to account for unresolved in-76 terfacial forces like drag, lift, virtual mass etc. Due to the concurring nature 77 of the bubble and froth regime on column trays, the vast majority of sim-78 ulation studies in this field makes use of the TFM, cf. Vishwakarma et al. 79 (2018). Table 1 summarizes recent studies on TFM simulations of two-phase 80 flows on trays with fixed and/or push valves. 81

reference	column i.d.	tray specifications	cell number
Zarei et al. (2009)	$1.213\mathrm{m}$	171 Mini V–Grid valves	$\approx 4.0 \times 10^5$ (half domain with symmetry BC)
Jiang et al. (2012)	$0.574\mathrm{m}$	10 triangularly shaped fixed valves	$\approx 5.1 \times 10^5$ (half domain with symmetry BC)
Li et al. $(2014a)$	$0.570\mathrm{m}$	sieve tray with 8 mm holes and 28 push valves	$pprox 7.3 imes 10^5$
Li et al. $(2014b)$	$0.540\mathrm{m}$	10 fixed valves	$\approx 1.5 \times 10^{6}$ (half domain with symmetry BC)
Zhao et al. (2018)	$0.500\mathrm{m}$	9 fixed values with a push value and $7 \mathrm{mm}$ holes on top	$\approx 7.0 \times 10^5$ (half domain with symmetry BC)

Table 1: Literature on TFM simulation of two-phase flow on valve trays

Only Zarei et al. (2009) performed steady-state simulations directly, while 82 the majority of studies considered the transient nature of the two-phase flow 83 to derive temporally averaged distributions of hold-up and liquid velocity. 84 Jiang et al. (2012) investigated the flow on a tray, in which the main openings 85 of the fixed values were either oriented in the direction of the main liquid flow 86 or reversely. Li et al. (2014a) studied liquid velocities and hold-up distribu-87 tion on a sieve tray with push valves. The circular holes of the sieve were 88 approximated by squares due to applying a structured Cartesian grid. The 89 simulations of Li et al. (2014b) focused on the flow evolving from a particular 90 fixed valve design with additional outlets in the valve cover providing an ex-91 tra pushing effect to the liquid phase. Similarly, Zhao et al. (2018) used the 92 TFM to study the flow on a tray with comparably large fixed values of 80 mm 93 length with an additional push valve and sieve-like holes in the cover. For meshing this complex geometry cell sizes down to 2 mm were used locally at 95

the valves. With regard to modeling the unresolved interfacial drag between 96 liquid and the bubble swarm all of the above mentioned studies followed the 97 approach of van Baten and Krishna (2000) and used empirical correlations 98 for estimating the average gas fraction in the froth zone that is used to calcu-99 late the local slip velocity. However, while e.g. van Baten and Krishna (2000) 100 and Gesit et al. (2003) applied a well-established literature correlation for 101 their sieve tray simulations, Jiang et al. (2012), Li et al. (2014a,b), Zhao 102 et al. (2018) simply fitted new coefficients for this correlation by regression 103 analysis based on their own experimental data. The obtained coefficients 104 vary significantly across these studies. Thus, no universal validity can be at-105 tested. Furthermore, drag at large–scale interfaces is not described properly 106 in this approach leading to inaccuracies, in particular for jetting gas inlets 107 on fixed valve trays as observed in the results of e.g. Jiang et al. (2012). In 108 this context only Li et al. (2014b) included a free surface model to counteract 100 diffusion of the resolved large-scale interface. However, an even more funda-110 mental issue is that the standard TFM suffers from the fact that only one 111 fluid is dispersed in the other one (usually gas in liquid). Thus coexisting 112 regimes, like e.g. froth in the lower region and spray in the upper region of 113 the two-phase zone, cannot be captured accurately at the same time. 114

In summary, neither the VoF model nor the standard TFM allow for 115 capturing all flow morphologies observable on industrial-scale distillation 116 trays with acceptable computational burden. Therefore, it is desirable to 117 combine the advantages of both models within a hybrid approach, cf. Hänsch 118 et al. (2012), Frederix et al. (2021), Colombo et al. (2022). Recently, Meller 119 et al. (2021) proposed a morphology adaptive multifield two-fluid model 120 that is capable of handling both types of interfacial structures — those being 121 smaller than the computational grid size, as well as those, which are larger — 122 within in a single computational framework. More precisely, four numerical 123 phases (continuous gas, continuous liquid, dispersed gas, dispersed liquid) can 124 be considered here and an individual treatment of their interactions is applied 125 with appropriate models in a VoF-like or TFM-like manner depending on 126 the local composition of the phase mixture, i.e. the local flow morphology. In 127 principle, this hybrid approach enables the prediction of two-phase flow on 128 distillation trays without prior assumptions of the actual flow structure. The 129 public source code of this morphology-adaptive multifield two-fluid model is 130 provided by Schlegel et al. (2022). 131

The present contribution describes the application of Meller's hybrid model (Meller et al., 2021) for the gas-liquid flow on a generic distillation

tray with a single fixed valve. For the sake of reduced computational effort 134 along with the objective of using coarse grids for industrial-scale simula-135 tions in future, local mass and momentum sources are used to mimic the 136 gas injection through the valve instead of resolving its full geometry with 137 the computational grid. While our previous contribution (Wiedemann et al., 138 2022) verified the applicability of this approach and revealed that oscillat-139 ing sources are needed to properly reproduce the dynamics of the gas in-140 jection, the present study focuses on the geometrical representation of the 141 valve. Therefore, different sizes of the cell zones, in which the gas is in-142 jected, are investigated with respect to the resulting phase distribution near 143 the valve. Temporally averaged phase contours and liquid velocity fields as 144 well as transient characteristics are compared among the different cases and 145 against experimental data. 146

¹⁴⁷ 2. Modeling approach

The applied morphology adaptive modeling framework is able to handle dispersed as well as resolved interfacial structures, which may coexist in the computational domain, with the same set of equations, cf. Meller et al. (2021). It is essentially based on an Eulerian multifield two-fluid model, in which phase specific, ensemble averaged transport equations are formulated. The governing equations for each numerical phase *i* are given by the conservation of mass

$$\frac{\partial \left(\alpha_{i}\varrho_{i}\right)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\alpha_{i}\varrho_{i}\vec{v_{i}}\right) = \dot{m}_{i,S} \tag{1}$$

and momentum

$$\frac{\partial \left(\alpha_{i}\varrho_{i}\vec{v}_{i}\right)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\alpha_{i}\varrho_{i}\vec{v}_{i}\otimes\vec{v}_{i}\right) \\
= -\alpha_{i}\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \left(2\alpha_{i}\mu_{i}\bar{\bar{S}}_{i}\right) + \alpha_{i}\varrho_{i}\vec{g} + \vec{f}_{i}^{\sigma} + \vec{f}_{i}^{MT} + \vec{f}_{i,S} .$$
(2)

Here, α_i denotes the volumetric phase fraction of each phase *i* adding up to unity across all phases. ρ_i and $\vec{v_i}$ are the density and velocity of phase *i*, respectively. The pressure *p* is shared among all phases. μ_i is the effective dynamic viscosity, $\overline{\bar{S}}_i$ the strain rate tensor and \vec{g} the gravitational acceleration.

 \vec{f}_i^{σ} represents the surface tension force, which is only applied to interfaces of 160 continuous-continuous phase pairs and modeled according to Brackbill et al. 161 (1992). f_i^{MT} summarizes the interfacial momentum transfer. For unresolved 162 momentum transfer in continuous-disperse phase pairs \vec{f}_i^{MT} includes closure 163 models for drag, lift, virtual mass, turbulent dispersion and wall lubrication, 164 which are chosen according to Liao et al. (2019). In contrast, for resolved in-165 terfaces of continuous–continuous phase pairs \vec{f}_i^{MT} includes drag only. In this 166 case, the model of Štrubelj and Tiselj (2011) is applied in connection with a 167 very low interfacial relaxation time, which ensures a no-slip condition at the 168 interface. The closure models for the interfacial momentum transfer f_i^{MT} are 169 immutably selected for pairs of either continuous-continuous or continuous-170 dispersed phases based on the fixed roles of the individual phases, i.e. each 171 individual phase is designated to be either of dispersed or of continuous mor-172 phology, cf. Meller et al. (2021). However, as the present study focuses on 173 the influence of injecting continuous gas into the continuous liquid phase, 174 dispersed phases are neglected here. In order to account for gas injection 175 through the fixed value additional sources for mass $\dot{m}_{i,S}$ and momentum $\vec{f}_{i,S}$ 176 are considered in equations (1) and (2), respectively. Note that these are 177 applied locally in the continuous gas phase only, see section 3.2. 178

¹⁷⁹ 3. Simulation setup

180 3.1. Experimental basis

The computational setup is based on experimental investigations that 181 were performed using the facility shown in figure 1. The experimental facility 182 is made of PMMA and features a rectangular tray of $670 \,\mathrm{mm}$ length (x-183 direction = liquid inlet to weir) and 400 mm width (y-direction). The facility 184 is 530 mm high (z-direction) and the top is open to the atmosphere. Both 185 downcomer clearance and weir height were fixed to 50 mm. A single R-186 FV trapezoid standard fixed valve from *Raschig* (dimensions are depicted in 187 figure 1) with a lift height of 7 mm was installed at the center of the tray, 188 which is defined as the origin of coordinates (x, y, z). 189

The facility was operated with liquid water (l) and gaseous air (g) at ambient conditions. The liquid flow was driven by a centrifugal pump and measured by a magnetic-inductive flow meter in the feed pipe. A flow rate of $\dot{V}_l = 15.25 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$ was adjusted and kept constant during the experiments, which corresponds to a weir load of $38.1 \text{ m}^3/(\text{h m})$ and an average inlet velocity of $v_{l,x} = 0.21 \text{ m/s}$. Gas was supplied by the compressed air line of



Figure 1: Experimental facility with investigated R–FV fixed valve and conductivity sensor array. Blue and orange arrows indicate flow of liquid and gas, respectively.

the laboratory and adjusted by a mass flow controller. The gas entered the 196 DN400 cylindrical vessel below the tray, see figure 1. After passing a baffle 197 plate to ensure homogeneous distribution in the vessel, the gas passes the 198 centered value on top of the vessel. Taking into account the local tempera-199 ture and pressure inside the vessel, a local gas flow rate of $V_g = 327 \, \text{l/min}$ was 200 obtained in the present experiments. According to the curtain area (CA) of 201 the valve, this yields an average gas velocity of $v_{g,CA} = 11.7 \,\mathrm{m/s}$ or in terms 202 of the F-factor $F_{CA} = 12.9 \,\mathrm{Pa}^{0.5}$. It should be noted that F_{CA} corresponds 203 to the hole F-factor and not the F-factor being based on the active area, 204 which cannot be defined in a meaningful manner for the present setup. 205

During the experiments the phase distribution around the valve was mea-206 sured using the conductivity sensor array shown in figure 1. The sensor is a 207 slightly modified version of the one proposed by Vishwakarma et al. (2021)208 and is based on the operating principle of a wire-mesh sensor, cf. Prasser 209 et al. (1998). The present sensor is characterized by a new probe tip design 210 featuring additional local shielding and an improved shape to prevent droplet 211 accumulation in the tip region when operating in the spray zone. Local phase 212 fractions were measured with a frequency of 2500 Hz for 60 s of flow time dur-213 ing steady-state operation at various positions around the valve. After time-214 averaging of the individual data sets the results were merged to form a matrix 215 with $8 \times 8 \times 7$ points with a spacing of $(\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z) = (40, 40, 25)$ mm that 216 represents the three-dimensional mean phase fraction distribution. This ma-217

trix serves as basis for interpolating phase contours for the comparison with simulation results.

220 3.2. Computational setup

The experimental facility is captured in the computational setup with its original dimensions as shown in figure 2. However, only 400 mm height are considered above the tray to reduce computational effort.



Figure 2: Computational domain with boundary conditions and cell zones for the implementation of local sources

The boundary conditions comprise no-slip at all solid walls, i.e. the tray, 224 all side walls as well as the weir. A homogeneous velocity is assumed at 225 the inlet of the liquid phase, which corresponds to the average experimental 226 value of $v_{l,x} = 0.21 \,\mathrm{m/s}$. Such a flat velocity profile has also been used by 227 other authors (cf. Jiang et al., 2012, Li et al., 2014b) and is reasonable when 228 considering the highly turbulent flow in the preceding downcomer, cf. Mehta 229 et al. (1998). For the liquid outlet we apply a so-called matched flow rate 230 condition, which regulates the outlet velocity according to the flow rate at 231 the inlet. In this way, a steady operation point with constant filling level in 232 the downcomer is obtained. The top of the domain is modeled as a stan-233 dard Neumann type boundary for outflow with optional backflow for the 234 gas phase. For the injection of gas through the curtain area of the valve, 235

the concept of Wiedemann et al. (2022) is applied, i.e. the gas inlets are 236 mimicked by implementing mass and momentum sources that are applied to 237 local cell zones exclusively (see figure 2). As shown by Wiedemann et al. 238 (2022), dynamic gas injection is required to account for proper dynamics of 239 bubble/jet detachment at the valve, since the complex interaction with the 240 compressible gas volume below is not captured inherently in a single tray 241 simulation. Hence, a sinusoidal gas injection is selected in the present study 242 and the source terms for equations (1) and (2) read 243

$$\dot{m}_{g,S}(t) = \frac{\dot{V}_g \varrho_g}{2} \left[\sin\left(2\pi f_d t\right) + 1 \right]$$
(3)

244 and

$$\vec{f}_{g,S}(t) = \dot{m}_{g,S}(t) \ v_{g,CA} \left[\sin\left(2\pi f_d t\right) + 1 \right] \cdot \vec{n}_{CA} , \qquad (4)$$

respectively. Here, f_d denotes the dominant frequency that was deter-245 mined from the experimental data by spectral analysis and is associated 246 with the gas detachment frequency. For the operating conditions described 247 in section 3.1 the dominant frequency is $f_d = 7.32 \,\text{Hz}$. The factor 1/2 in 248 equation (3) stems from the fact that the R-FV fixed value is symmetrical 249 with respect to y = 0, see figure 1, and the total gas flow rate is assumed to 250 split equally to the left and right side of the valve. Accordingly, an individ-251 ual cell zone is considered for each side of the valve. At the same time, this 252 allows for modeling the directional gas injection by means of equation (4), 253 in which \vec{n}_{CA} denotes the face normal vector of the respective curtain area. 254 Due to symmetry solely the sign of the y-component of \vec{n}_{CA} differs between 255 the left and right side of the valve. 256

For the application of equation (3) it must be considered that (in each time step) the mass flow rate is equally distributed among all grid cells of the selected cell zone. Since the possibilities of representing the valve's geometry by means of cell zones are limited due to the coarse resolution of the computational grid, different scenarios are investigated here, cf. table 2.

All cases are restricted by a minimum lift height of 10 mm and hence overestimate the actual lift height of the valve. While cell zone type a) approximately recovers the real length of the valve, type b) gives the best representation with respect to the curtain area, which is approximated by

cell size	$(9.85 \times 10 \times 10) \mathrm{mm^3}$		$(10 \times 10 \times 10) \mathrm{mm^3}$
cell zone type	a)	b)	c)
		Ŷ	
lift height/mm	10	10	10
length/mm	39.4	19.7	10
total curtain area/mm ² $$	788	394	200
total volume/mm ³	7882	3941	2000

Table 2: Overview of investigated cases with different representation of the valve's geometry by cell zones

the outer planes in $\pm y$ -direction here. Cell zone type c) is equivalent to the extreme case of a point-like source. Note that the total volume of the zone influences the maximum local intensity of the mass flow rate and hence of the momentum source, while the shape of the curtain area affects the mapping of the region of interest.

The investigated scenarios resulted in a total number of 1.36×10^5 computational cells. A time step size of $\Delta t = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ s provided a stable convergence behavior for the numerical solution. Details on the numerical solution procedure can be found elsewhere, see Meller et al. (2021).

As a transient process is simulated, the evaluation of the results needs to 275 consider start–up effects that are neglected prior to analyzing field variables. 276 In order to speed up the start-up process in the simulations, the tray region 277 between inlet and weir as well as the downcomer are initialized with liquid, 278 i.e. $\alpha_l = 1$. Previous investigations on the treated single-valve arrangement 279 showed that 5s of start-up period were sufficient under these conditions, 280 cf. Wiedemann et al. (2022). Moreover, this period represents about 1.5 281 times the average residence time of liquid on the tray and about 36 events of 282 bubble/jet detachment in the region of interest. Similar values of (4...8) s 283 are reported in the literature on simulations of full trays, cf. Jiang et al. 284 (2012), Li et al. (2014a,b). With regard to the subsequent period to be an-285 alyzed, 5 s and 10 s were chosen by Jiang et al. (2012) and Li et al. (2014a), 286 respectively. Although our previous study (Wiedemann et al., 2022) revealed 287 almost independent results for an evaluation period of 5 s, too, 10 s are cho-288

sen conservatively in the present study for the sake of statistical reliability. Hence, all results in section 4 refer to a flow time of t = (5...15) s.

²⁹¹ 4. Results and Discussion

292 4.1. Analysis of time-averaged phase distribution and liquid velocity

To evaluate the influence of gas injection through the different cell zone 293 types presented in table 2, the resulting distributions of time-averaged phase 294 fractions (holdup) are analyzed. In order to characterize the turbulent two-295 phase froth zone on temporal average, contours at two levels of the time-296 averaged gas phase fraction are chosen. The value of $\overline{\alpha_q} = 0.75$ is interpreted 297 as time-averaged interface between froth and pure gas, whereas $\overline{\alpha_g} = 0.25$ 298 is treated as interface between froth and pure liquid. Qualitative differences 299 are already observed in figure 3 depicting the three-dimensional contours of 300 the investigated cases. 301



Figure 3: Contours of $\overline{\alpha_g} = 0.75$ (red) and $\overline{\alpha_g} = 0.25$ (blue) for the investigated cell zone types

The froth-liquid interface ($\overline{\alpha_q} = 0.25$) represented by the blue surface 302 forms a hose connecting the tray in the region of the valve with the liquid 303 surface. The girth of this froth hose is predicted to be smallest with the 304 longest injection cell zone (type a) and largest with the intermediate zone 305 length (type b). Due to the liquid flow, this froth structure is inclined to-306 wards the liquid downstream direction. That inclination is prominent when 307 using long and intermediate injection cell zones, types a) and b), respectively. 308 However, the short injection cell zone (type c) leads to a weaker inclination of 309 the froth hose. Considering the froth-gas interface ($\overline{\alpha_q} = 0.75$) represented 310 by the red surface, the different cell zone types reveal different qualitative 311 behavior. While the short injection cell zone (type c) produces a flat hill el-312 evated from the free liquid surface, cell zone types a) and b) cause two sharp 313 peaks. Presumably, those are pushed up by the two individual streams of 314 gas originating from the two separated injection cell zones in the numerical 315 setup. The results produced with the long and intermediate injection cell 316 zones, types a) and b), respectively, appear to be qualitatively similar. 317

Detailed analysis and quantitative comparison are shown below for the lateral distribution near the valve. Since the injected gas contains a velocity component in positive x-direction and is further exposed to drag of the horizontal liquid flow, a slight displacement in positive x-direction is observed for the formed structures in the experiments and simulations. The analysis is hence carried out for two positions downstream of the valve, namely x = 20 mm and x = 60 mm, cf. figures 4 and 5.

Considering the liquid-front interface ($\overline{\alpha_q} = 0.25$) at $x = 20 \,\mathrm{mm}$ in fig-325 ure 4 the long injection cell zone, type a), delivers the narrowest froth hose, 326 which confirms the observation from the three-dimensional surfaces. This 327 can be explained by the fact, that injecting a given mass flow rate into a 328 larger volume, i.e. cell zone, results in a lower injection velocity and hence 329 in a lower momentum injection compared to a smaller injection cell zone, 330 such as types b) or c). That leads to a widening of the froth hose with the 331 latter two types of injection zone. All types of injection zones reveal a wider 332 froth hose compared to experimental observations. A feature, which is only 333 predicted with the long injection zone (type a) is the presence of two large 334 gas jets ($\overline{\alpha_g} = 0.75$) originating from the tray next to the locations of gas 335 injection and reaching the altitude of the free liquid surface. An explanation 336 for this phenomenon is the comparably low amount of injected momentum as 337 described before for cell zone type a), which does not deliver enough energy 338 to instantly break up larger gas structures as it is the case for injection zone 339



Figure 4: Comparison of time averaged phase distribution from simulation with different cell zones against experiment at x = 20 mm

types b) and c). Hence, with type a) injection cell zones the gas structures 340 exist longer and, therefore, are more compact and hereby become visible as 341 liquid–froth interface. The experimental data do not show such large–scale 342 gas structures attached to the injection valves. However, jet formation and 343 detachment were also observed visually in the experiments, but is not seen 344 in the experimental data due to the coarse resolution of the sensor with a 345 low number of grid points for the interpolation of the phase contour in this 346 region. For the upper froth-gas interface ($\overline{\alpha_a} = 0.75$) the observations from 347 the three-dimensional surfaces are confirmed as well, such that the double 348 peak structure occurs for the long and intermediate injection zones, types a) 340 and b), respectively, while the short injection zone (type c) delivers a flat 350 The experimental data show such a double peak structure which is hill. 351 much more shallow compared to the simulation results of cell zone types a) 352 and b), while the absolute elevation of the froth-gas interface is measured 353 to be slightly larger compared to the computational results for all injection 354 zone types under investigation. In the results obtained with cell zone type b) 355 a relatively deep cavity of the froth-gas interface is observed between both 356 peaks, which is neither seen with the other injection zone types nor in the 357 experiment. The lateral expansion of the upper froth contour is predicted 358 reasonably well in all simulations. Further away from the region of gas in-359 jection, i.e. $y < -120 \,\mathrm{mm}$ and $y > 120 \,\mathrm{mm}$, the levels of both liquid-froth 360

and froth-gas interfaces are insensitive to the choice of the cell zone type
for gas injection. Therefore, a spatially limited influence of the zone type is
attested. However, compared to experimental data the simulated interfaces
are located slightly below the experimentally measured ones.



Figure 5: Comparison of time averaged phase distribution from simulation with different cell zones against experiment at x = 60 mm

At $x = 60 \,\mathrm{mm}$ (see figure 5) the different injection zone types reveal 365 qualitatively similar results for the location of the time-averaged froth-gas 366 interface ($\overline{\alpha_q} = 0.75$) showing two comparably flat peaks. However, the gra-367 dient of the interface height is predicted to be steeper with type a) injection 368 zones compared to types b) and c). The elevation of the froth-gas interface 369 measured in the experiment is, again, larger than in the simulation results 370 and a flat hill structure is observed rather than a two peaks. Also shape 371 and location of the liquid-froth interface ($\overline{\alpha_q} = 0.25$) are qualitatively simi-372 lar among all simulation setups showing two small cavities. The cavities are 373 predicted to be similarly deep with cell zone types b) and c), while type a) 374 results in more shallow structures here. The distance between those cavities 375 are similarly small for cell zone types a) and c), while being larger for type b). 376 The cavities cannot be observed in the experimental data. 377

In order to further evaluate the local effect of the different zone types, the time-averaged liquid velocity field is investigated on the horizontal plane z = 5 mm, i.e. in the lowest cell layer directly on the tray. In figure 6 results are presented in terms of contours of velocity magnitude and vector fields for
 all three cell zone types studied.



Figure 6: Contours and vectors of time-averaged liquid velocity in m/s at z = 5 mm above the tray (main liquid flow direction from left to right, the cell zone for gas injection is marked with white contours)

In accordance with the direction of gas injection through the valve, the 383 liquid is accelerated in opposite lateral directions via gas-liquid momentum 384 transfer in all cases. However, with increasing distance from the injection 385 location the effect rapidly decays until the liquid flow appears completely 386 unaffected after approximately (40...50) mm from the curtain area. Thus, 387 the liquid flow is increasingly bent towards the downstream direction of the 388 liquid background flow. According to the biggest length of injection zone 389 type a) the affected region of laterally accelerated liquid is the longest among 390 the investigated cases. The shorter the injection cell zones with types b) and 391 c), the larger is the injection velocity due to the fixed injection gas flow rate. 392 With cell zone type c) the maximum liquid velocity observed at z = 5 mm is 393 roughly 50% larger than with type a). The injected gas locally displaces the 394 liquid and for cell zone type c) this effect becomes so strong that a significant 395 local backflow is observed in the upstream direction of the liquid background 396 flow in front of the cell zone. This may also explain the smaller inclination of 397 the liquid-froth interface ($\overline{\alpha_q} = 0.25$) in figure 3, which probably also leads to 398 accumulating more liquid in the stagnation point to finally fill up the double-390 peak structure of $\overline{\alpha_g} = 0.75$ observed for type a) and b) in figure 4. However, 400 due to the local concentration of the gas injection in cell zone type c) and 401 the resulting large velocity gradients, the dissipation of kinetic energy is so 402 strong that the liquid velocity quickly decreases with growing distance from 403 the injection zone. Therefore, the pattern of the liquid velocity observed in 404

the horizontal plane close to the injection valve is quite similar to cell zone types a) and b).

Overall, the qualitative behavior of the system is not excessively influ-407 enced by the choice of the injection zone type, if the length of the cell zone 408 is not too short as present for type c). In the latter case, flow features such 409 as a slender froth hose or a double peak of the froth-gas interface cannot be 410 predicted in contrast to longer injection zone types a) and b). Finally, the 411 simulation with cell zone type a) provides the best fit with the experimental 412 data of gas injection through a single fixed valve. However, higher spatial 413 resolution of the experimental data is required in future to obtain more de-414 tails of the gas-liquid interface and of the flow near the valve, such as gas 415 jets and bubbles. 416

417 4.2. Analysis of transient phase fraction characteristics

In addition to the analysis of the time-averaged phase distributions, the 418 transient behavior of the phase fraction is evaluated for two positions near 419 the valve. Here, the closest probe positions slightly downstream of the valve 420 at the lowest sensor elevation of z = 25 mm were selected, namely a left probe 421 at (20, 20, 25) mm and a right probe at (20, -20, 25) mm. For the analysis 422 a representative time span of $0.5 \,\mathrm{s}$ is arbitrarily chosen from the full time 423 series. Figure 7 shows the temporal evolution of the measured and simulated 424 gas fractions. 425

The experimental time series show almost synchronously fluctuating gas 426 fractions between 0 and 1 in a square pulse fashion, which is attributed 427 to the almost simultaneous formation and detachment of large gas jets or 428 bubbles on both sides of the valve. As the dominant frequency of these 429 fluctuations is directly used in equations (3) and (4) without any time shift, 430 the simulated time series consequently predict similar dynamics. The reasons 431 for deviations on smaller time scale are twofold. Firstly, the superposition 432 with random effects in the real flow, e.g. small bubbles, and other frequencies 433 is not considered in the injection model. Secondly, the volume averaging 434 nature of CFD does not allow for a point-like data acquisition as done in the 435 experiment. The latter may also be the reason for not reaching pure liquid, 436 i.e. $\alpha_q = 0$, in the simulations. 437

With regard to the different cell zones for gas injection it can be observed from figure 7 that type a) and b) yield an almost constantly repeated oscillation, while much more irregular amplitudes of α_q are obtained for the



Figure 7: Temporal evolution of gas phase fraction on left (top) and right (bottom) side of the valve

point-like injection with cell zone type c). Moreover, notable differences be-441 tween the left and right side of the valve are seen for type c), whereas cell 442 zone types a) and b) show a consistent course for both sides. The apparent 443 differences in the duty cycle and also in the overall level between type a) and 444 b) can be explained by the length of these cell zones. With respect to the 445 x-coordinate the probe positions coincide with the downstream end of cell 446 zone type a), but exhibit an offset for the intermediate cell zones of type b). 447 Hence, the gas pulse replaces more liquid locally at the probe positions for 448 type a) when compared to type b). In this way type a) also provides the 449 experimentally observed feature of longer periods with $\alpha_q = 1$. On the other 450 hand, however, the intermediate length of zone type b) provides higher mo-451 mentum during the injection (see also figure 6), which leads to faster passage 452 of the detached gas and thus better agreement with the shorter experimen-453 tal duty cycle. Basically, the above argumentation applies also to cell zone 454 type c), since lower gas fractions are observed at the probes (due to the 455 much larger distance to the injection zone) and broader cycles are obtained 456 according to the smaller influence of the momentum source in these points 457 (see figure 6). However, an explanation for the irregular behavior cannot be 458 given here. 459

When focusing on the abrupt changes between liquid and gas in the ex-460 periments, only the long (type a) and intermediate (type b) cell zones provide 461 a reasonably steep increase of the gas fraction at the beginning of each pulse, 462 in particular, when taking volume averaging into account. However, the de-463 clining part of the gas pulses is significantly flatter and does poorly agree 464 with the experimental results. A possible reason might be slow bubble de-465 tachment, which could be attributed to the neglected but possibly present 466 z-component at the gas inlets, or to a deviating jet or bubble growth rate. 467 Nevertheless, against the background of coarse–grid simulations the applica-468 tion of cell zone types a) and b) leads to a reasonable agreement with the 469 experimentally observed dynamics. 470

471 5. Conclusions

A morphology adaptive multifield two-fluid model was used to simulate 472 the two-phase flow on a generic distillation tray setup with a single trape-473 zoid fixed valve. The valve was emulated by the implementation of local 474 mass and momentum sources in the gas phase. Different geometric represen-475 tations of the valve were investigated in the form of varying size of the cell 476 zones for the gas injection. The comparison of the simulation results with 477 experimental phase fraction data from a conductivity sensor array showed 478 that the formation of the characteristic froth zone is basically accomplished 479 by all investigated cell zone types. However, local differences are observed 480 with respect to mapping the gas injection to the computational grid and due 481 to the resulting intensity of the momentum source. With regard to evalu-482 ating the local dynamics of the two-phase flow, the relation between mesh 483 resolution, probe location and size of the gas injection zone plays a crucial 484 role for the analysis. From the present study it can be concluded that at least 485 an approximate representation of the valve's geometry in the computational 486 grid is necessary to properly predict the main characteristics. Point-like im-487 plementations of the source terms did not provide reasonable agreement with 488 the experimental data regarding the dynamics. 480

Based on the current results, future investigations will focus using the full capabilities of the hybrid model in terms of additionally considering dispersed gas and liquid phases. Further, more complex valve geometries as well as multiple valve arrangements need to be studied to transfer the approach to industrial-scale distillation trays in the future.

495 Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge technical support by M. Tschofen, R. Franz, 496 M. Löschau and S. Weckesser. The project received funding from the German 497 Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action (BMWK) via the 498 AiF and the Forschungs–Gesellschaft Verfahrens–Technik (GVT) under grant 490 no. 20835 BG. The development of the hybrid multiphase CFD model was 500 supported by the Helmholtz European Partnering Program in the project 501 Crossing borders and scales (Crossing). The fixed valve was kindly provided 502 by Raschig GmbH. 503

504 References

- Alizadehdakhel, A., Rahimi, M., Alsairafi, A.A., 2009. Numerical and experimental investigation on a new modified valve in a valve tray column.
- 507 Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering 26, 475–484.
- van Baten, J.M., Krishna, R., 2000. Modelling sieve tray hydraulics using
 computational fluid dynamics. Chemical Engineering Journal 77, 143–151.
 doi:10.1016/S1385-8947(99)00164-3.
- Bell, R.L., Solari, R.B., 1974. Effect of nonuniform velocity fields and retrograde flow on distillation tray efficiency. AIChE Journal 20, 688–695.
 doi:10.1002/aic.690200409.
- Brackbill, J.U., Kothe, D.B., Zemach, C., 1992. A continuum method for
 modeling surface tension. Journal of Computational Physics 100, 335–354.
 doi:10.1016/0021-9991(92)90240-Y.
- ⁵¹⁷ Colombo, M., De Santis, A., Hanson, B., Fairweather, M., 2022. Prediction
 ⁵¹⁸ of horizontal gas-liquid segregated flow regimes with an all flow regime
 ⁵¹⁹ multifluid model. Processes 10, 920. doi:10.3390/pr10050920.
- ⁵²⁰ Dhulesia, H., 1983. Operating flow regimes on the valve tray. Chemical ⁵²¹ Engineering Research and Design 61, 329–332.
- Frederix, E., Dovizio, D., Mathur, A., Komen, E., 2021. All-regime
 two-phase flow modeling using a novel four-field large interface simulation approach. International Journal of Multiphase Flow 145, 103822.
 doi:10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2021.103822.

- Gesit, G., Nandakumar, K., Chuang, K.T., 2003. Cfd modeling of flow
 patterns and hydraulics of commercial-scale sieve trays. AIChE Journal
 49, 910–924. doi:10.1002/aic.690490410.
- ⁵²⁹ Goedecke, R. (Ed.), 2006. Fluidverfahrenstechnik. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.
- Hampel, U., Schubert, M., Döß, A., Sohr, J., Vishwakarma, V., Repke, 530 J.U., Gerke, S.J., Leuner, H., Rädle, M., Kapoustina, V., Schmitt, L., 531 Grünewald, M., Brinkmann, J.H., Plate, D., Kenig, E.Y., Lutters, N., 532 Bolenz, L., Buckmann, F., Toye, D., Arlt, W., Linder, T., Hoffmann, R., 533 Klein, H., Rehfeldt, S., Winkler, T., Bart, H.J., Wirz, D., Schulz, J., Scholl, 534 S., Augustin, W., Jasch, K., Schlüter, F., Schwerdtfeger, N., Jahnke, S., 535 Jupke, A., Kabatnik, C., Braeuer, A.S., D'Auria, M., Runowski, T., Casal, 536 M.F., Becker, K., David, A.L., Górak, A., Skiborowski, M., Groß, K., Qam-537 mar, H., 2020. Recent advances in experimental techniques for flow and 538 mass transfer analyses in thermal separation systems. Chemie Ingenieur 539 Technik 92, 926–948. doi:10.1002/cite.202000076. 540
- Ho, M.K.M., 2017. Advances in interface modeling, in: Yeoh, G.H. (Ed.),
 Handbook of Multiphase Flow Science and Technology. Springer, Singapore, pp. 1–28. doi:10.1007/978-981-4585-86-6_23-1.
- Hänsch, S., Lucas, D., Krepper, E., Höhne, T., 2012. A multi-field
 two-fluid concept for transitions between different scalesof interfacial
 structures. International Journal of Multiphase Flow 47, 171–182.
 doi:10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2012.07.007.
- Ishii, M., Hibiki, T., 2011. Thermo-Fluid Dynamics of Two-Phase Flow.
 Second ed., Springer, New York. doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-7985-8_1.
- Jiang, S., Gao, H., Sun, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, L., 2012. Modeling
 fixed triangular valve tray hydraulics using computational fluid dynamics.
 Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification 52, 74–84.
 doi:10.1016/j.cep.2011.11.009.
- ⁵⁵⁴ Kister, H.Z., 1990. Distillation Operation. McGraw–Hill, Inc., New York.
- Kister, H.Z., Haas, J.R., Hart, D.R., Gill, D.R., 1992. Distillation Design.
 McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York.

Li, Q., Li, L., Zhang, M., Lei, Z., 2014a. Modeling flow-guided sieve tray
hydraulics using computationalfluid dynamics. Industrial & Engineering
Chemistry Research 53, 4480–4488. doi:10.1021/ie402008c.

Li, X., Yang, N., Sun, Y., Zhang, L., Li, X., Jiang, B., 2014b. Computational fluid dynamics modeling of hydrodynamics of a new type of fixed
valve tray. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research 53, 379–389.
doi:10.1021/ie400408u.

Liao, Y., Ma, T., Krepper, E., Lucas, D., Fröhlich, J., 2019. Application of a novel model for bubble-induced turbulence to bubbly flows in
containers and vertical pipes. Chemical Engineering Science 202, 55–69.
doi:10.1016/j.ces.2019.03.007.

Lockett, M.J. (Ed.), 1986. Distillation tray fundamentals. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Malvin, A., Chan, A., Lau, P.L., 2014. Cfd study of distillation sieve
tray flow regimes using the droplet size distribution technique. Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers 45, 1354–1368.
doi:10.1016/j.jtice.2014.01.002.

- Markov, I.L., 2014. Limits on fundamental limits to computation. Nature
 512, 147–154. doi:10.1038/nature13570.
- Mehta, B., Chuang, K.T., Nandakumar, K., 1998. Model for liquid phase
 flow on sieve trays. Transactions of the Institution of Chemical Engineers
 76, 843–848.
- Meller, R., Schlegel, F., Lucas, D., 2021. Basic verification of a numerical framework applied to a morphology adaptive multifield two-fluid model considering bubble motions. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids 93, 748–773. doi:10.1002/fld.4907.
- Nedeltchev, S., Top, Y., Hlawitschka, M.W., Schubert, M., Bart, H.J., 2020.
 Identification of the regime boundaries in bubble columns based on the
 degree of randomness in the signals. The Canadian Journal of Chemical
 Engineering 98, 1607–1621. doi:10.1002/cjce.23719.

Prasser, H.M., Böttger, A., Zschau, J., 1998. A new electrode-mesh tomograph for gas-liquid flows. Flow Measurement and Instrumentation 9, 111–119. doi:10.1016/S0955-5986(98)00015-6.

Schlegel, F., Bilde, K., Draw, M., Evdokimov, I., Hänsch, S., Kamble, V.,
Khan, H., Krull, B., Lehnigk, R., Li, J., Lyu, H., Meller, R., Petelin, G.,
Kota, S.P., Tekavčič, M., 2022. HZDR multiphase addon for OpenFOAM.
Rodare. doi:10.14278/rodare.1877. Ver. 10-s.1-hzdr.2.

Vishwakarma, V., Schleicher, E., Bieberle, A., Schubert, M., Hampel, U., 2021. Advanced flow profiler for two-phase flow imaging on distillation trays. Chemical Engineering Science 231, 116280.
doi:10.1016/j.ces.2020.116280.

Vishwakarma, V., Schubert, M., Hampel, U., 2018. Assessment of separation
efficiency modeling and visualization approaches pertaining to flow and
mixing patterns on distillation trays. Chemical Engineering Science 185,
182–208. doi:10.1016/j.ces.2018.03.052.

Waldrop, M.M., 2016. More than Moore. Nature 530, 145–147.
 doi:10.1038/530144a.

Wiedemann, P., Döß, A., Schleicher, E., Hampel, U., 2019. Fuzzy flow pattern identification in horizontal air-water two-phase flow based on wiremesh sensor data. International Journal of Multiphase Flow 117, 153–162.
doi:10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2019.05.004.

Wiedemann, P., Kota, S.P., Weckesser, S., Schleicher, E., Schubert, M., Hampel, U., 2022. Numerical simulation of the two-phase flow at a single trapezoid fixed valve using a hybrid CFD approach, in: The 12th international conference on Distillation & Absorption 2022, Toulouse. pp. 1–6.

⁶¹² Wörner, M., 2012. Numerical modeling of multiphase flows in microfluidics
⁶¹³ and micro process engineering: a review of methods and applications. Mi⁶¹⁴ crofluid Nanofluid 12, 841–886. doi:10.1007/s10404-012-0940-8.

Zarei, T., Rahimi, R., Zivdar, M., 2009. Computational fluid dynamic simulation of mvg tray hydraulics. Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering
26, 1213–1219. doi:10.1007/s11814-009-0214-7.

- ⁶¹⁸ Zhao, H., Li, L., Jin, J., Li, Q., 2018. Cfd simulation of sieve-fixed valve tray
 ⁶¹⁹ hydrodynamics. Chemical Engineering Research and Design 129, 55–63.
 ⁶²⁰ doi:10.1016/j.cherd.2017.10.034.
- Štrubelj, L., Tiselj, I., 2011. Two-fluid model with interface sharpening.
 International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 85, 575–590.
 doi:10.1002/nme.2978.