Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR)



Presence of uranium(V) during uranium(VI) reduction by Desulfosporosinus hippei DSM 8344T

Hilpmann, S.; Roßberg, A.; Steudtner, R.; Drobot, B.; Hübner, R.; Bok, F.; Prieur, D.; Bauters, S.; Kvashnina, K.; Stumpf, T.; Cherkouk, A.;

Originally published:

March 2023

Science of the Total Environment 875(2023), 162593

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162593

Perma-Link to Publication Repository of HZDR:

https://www.hzdr.de/publications/Publ-34911

Release of the secondary publication on the basis of the German Copyright Law § 38 Section 4.

CC BY-NC-ND

1	Presence of uranium(V) during uranium(VI) reduction by <i>Desul-</i>
2	fosporosinus hippei DSM 8344 ^T
3 4	S. Hilpmann ¹ , A. Rossberg ^{1,2} , R. Steudtner ¹ , B. Drobot ¹ , R. Hübner ³ , F. Bok ¹ , D. Prieur ^{1,2} , S. Bauters ^{1,2} , K. O. Kvashnina ^{1,2} , T. Stumpf ¹ , A. Cherkouk ^{1*}
5	
6 7	¹ Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Institute of Resource Ecology, Bautzner Land- straße 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany
8 9	² Rossendorf Beamline (BM20-ROBL), European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, France
10 11	³ Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Institute of Ion Beam Physics and Materials Re- search, Dresden, Germany
12	
13	*Corresponding author:
14	Andrea Cherkouk
15	Email: a.cherkouk@hzdr.de
16	Phone: +49 351 260 2989
17	
18	Key words:
19 20	Uranium(VI) reduction, sulfate-reducing bacteria, Opalinus Clay pore water, pentavalent uranium, membrane vesicles
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27 Abstract

Microbial U(VI) reduction influences uranium mobility in contaminated subsurface envi-28 ronments and can affect the disposal of high-level radioactive waste by transforming the 29 water-soluble U(VI) to less mobile U(IV). The reduction of U(VI) by the sulfate-reducing 30 bacterium *Desulfosporosinus hippei* DSM 8344^T, a close phylogenetic relative to naturally 31 32 occurring microorganism present in clay rock and bentonite, was investigated. D. hippei 33 DSM 8344^T showed a relatively fast removal of uranium from the supernatants in artificial Opalinus Clay pore water, but no removal in 30 mM bicarbonate solution. Combined spe-34 ciation calculations and luminescence spectroscopic investigations showed the depend-35 ence of U(VI) reduction on the initial U(VI) species. Scanning transmission electron mi-36 croscopy coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy showed uranium-contain-37 ing aggregates on the cell surface and some membrane vesicles. By combining different 38 spectroscopic techniques, including UV/Vis spectroscopy, as well as uranium M₄-edge X-39 ray absorption near-edge structure recorded in high-energy-resolution fluorescence-de-40 tection mode and extended X-ray absorption fine structure analysis, the partial reduction 41 of U(VI) could be verified, whereby the formed U(IV) product has an unknown structure. 42 Furthermore, the U M₄ HERFD-XANES showed the presence of U(V) during the process. 43 These findings offer new insights into U(VI) reduction by sulfate-reducing bacteria and 44 contribute to a comprehensive safety concept for a repository for high-level radioactive 45 46 waste.

47 **1 Introduction**

Clay formations are potential host rocks for the long-term storage of high-level radioac-48 tive waste in deep geological repositories.^[1,2] A multi-barrier system is favored to isolate 49 the waste from the biosphere, consisting of the technical (container with the waste), the 50 geotechnical (sealing and back-filling material, *e.g.* compacted bentonite) and the geolog-51 52 ical barrier (host rock).^[3,4] Different influencing factors have to be taken into account to 53 ensure the long-term safety of such a repository. One of them is the presence of natural microbial communities in these environments which can have an influence on the waste, 54 *e.g.* in a worst-case scenario, if water enters the repository. As a result, microorganisms 55 can interact with the released radionuclides and can influence their mobility by different 56 processes, *e.g.* sorption, accumulation, change in the speciation or reduction/oxidation.^{[5-} 57 ^{7]} In the context of these investigations, particular attention will be paid to the change in 58 oxidation states, especially with respect to uranium, which represents the largest fraction 59 of the spent nuclear fuel. 60

61 Various studies showed that sulfate-reducing microorganisms, especially *Desulfosporosinus* species, occur in different clay formations, as well as in bentonite.^[8,9] An important 62 representative of the genus is *Desulfosporosinus hippei* DSM 8344^T. This bacterium was 63 originally isolated from permafrost soil and identified as *Desulfotomaculum orientis* by 64 Vainshtain et al.[10,11] Desulfotomaculum orientis was reclassified as Desulfosporosinus ori-65 entis by Stackebrandt et al., 1997.^[12] Later on, the genetic and phenotypic attributes of 66 *Desulfosporosinus hippei* DSM 8344^T were investigated and recognized as representing a 67 distinct and novel species within the genus *Desulfosporosinus* (Vatsurina *et al.*, 2008).^[13] 68 69 It has been known for some time that various microorganisms can reduce uranium and other metals. In 1991, Lovley *et al.* demonstrated for the first time the reduction of U(VI) 70 to less soluble U(IV) by the Fe(III)-reducing microorganisms *Geobacter metallireducens* 71 and *Shewanella oneidensis*.^[14] These bacteria can generate energy for anaerobic growth 72 by reducing U(VI). In addition, various sulfate-reducing microorganisms, for example 73 Desulfotomaculum reducens,^[15] Desulfovibrio desulfuricans^[16] and Desulfovibrio vul-74 garis,^[17] as well as different other *Desulfovibrio* species,^[17-19] are also capable of convert-75 ing U(VI) to the insoluble U(IV). But not all of them can grow based on energy gain from 76 U(VI) reduction only. U(VI) reduction by the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfotomacu*-77 *lum reducens* MI-1 was investigated via transcriptomics by Junier *et al.*^[20] They found the 78

upregulation of genes encoding for proteins involved in respiration, suggesting that elec-79 trons were shuttled to the electron transport chain, which points to the reduction of U(VI) 80 as a metabolic process. Furthermore, genes involved in *c*-type cytochrome biogenesis 81 were upregulated. Additionally, spores of this sulfate-reducer are capable of reducing 82 U(VI) under certain circumstances.^[21] Another study showed, that a certain uranium iso-83 topic fractionation is induced by U(VI) reduction by different microbial strains in contrast 84 to chemical uranium reduction.^[22] In 2004, Suzuki *et al.* investigated the U(VI) reduction 85 by *Desulfosporosinus* species for the first time.^[23,24] The process was studied using *Desul-*86 fosporosinus orientis DSM 765 and Desulfosporosinus sp. P3 at a pH of 7 and a U(VI) as well 87 as lactate concentration of 1 mM. A visible reduction of U(VI) by the formation of black-88 89 brown precipitates was verified in this study by X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectroscopy. It was also shown that these Desulfosporosinus species differ sig-90 nificantly from other U(VI)-reducing microorganisms in one particular respect. They were 91 not able to reduce U(VI) in bicarbonate solution. This buffer is preferentially used to study 92 U(VI) reduction by various microorganisms, not only for sulfate-reducers.^[14,16-18,25,26] 93 Furthermore, *Desulfosporosinus* spp. do not contain cytochrome $c_{3,}^{[27]}$ which has been 94 shown to play an important role, but is not the only pathway for U(VI) reduction by sul-95 fate-reducing microorganisms as e.g. Desulfovibrio.[19,28] Nevertheless, the process of 96 U(VI) reduction by sulfate reducers is not yet completely understood. Therefore, the aim 97 of this study was to get more insights into the occurring interaction mechanisms of the 98 sulfate-reducing microorganism *D. hippei* DSM 8344^T with U(VI) using multiple micro-99 100 scopic and spectroscopic techniques. In order to mimic as closely as possible the natural conditions in a repository for high-level radioactive waste in clay rock, artificial Opalinus 101 Clay pore water solution^[29] was employed as a background electrolyte for the reduction 102 experiments, and lower U(VI) concentrations as previously studied were applied. Thus, 103 this work differs significantly from previous reduction studies in which bicarbonate or 104 other buffers were primarily used. As a comparison, some of the studies presented herein 105 were also performed in bicarbonate buffer. The U(IV) formed during reduction is less mo-106 bile than the higher oxidation state +VI because U(IV) often forms water-insoluble com-107 pounds. Different studies characterized the biogenic products of the U(VI) reduction for 108 109 various anaerobic microbial genera, e.g. Shewanella, Geobacter, Desulfovibrio, and also Desulfosporosinus, and found uraninite as the main product of the process.^[24,30-32] In con-110 111 trast to this, Bernier-Latmani et al. showed the formation of different U(IV) products in

dependence on different experimental conditions and used microbial strains (e.g. She-112 wanella oneidensis, Clostridium acetobutylicum, and Desulfotomaculum reducens).^[26] In 113 this case, non-uraninite products including different U(IV)-orthophosphates were found 114 in addition to uraninite. Therefore, structural characterization of the reduction products 115 remains a crucial part of an overall reduction study. Furthermore, uranyl(V) seems to play 116 117 an important role in the reduction process by iron-reducing bacteria, as previously studied for Shewanella oneidensis MR1 and Geobacter sulfurreducens, as well.^[33,34] L₃- and M₄-118 edge XANES, as well as fluorescence spectroscopy suggest a one-electron transfer as a 119 mechanism for the reduction process.^[7,33,34] While other actinyl(V) species, specifically 120 plutonyl(V) and neptunyl(V), are of certain environmental significance,^[34-36] the ura-121 122 nyl(V) cation is reported to be relatively unstable due to the occurring disproportionation to U(IV) and uranyl(VI).^[37] In contrast to this, recent studies showed the possibility of 123 stabilizing this oxidation state in the presence of different ligands^[37-43] or in iron-bearing 124 phases.^[44-49] Furthermore, Vettese *et al.* reported a certain stabilization of U(V) during 125 the reduction by Shewanella oneidensis MR1.^[33] X-ray absorption spectroscopic tech-126 niques verified the presence of up to 30% U(V) even after about 120 h. 127

128 In this study, we investigated the U(VI) reduction in artificial Opalinus Clay pore water solution by *Desulfosporosinus hippei* DSM 8344^T, an important representative of the mi-129 crobial communities in clay rock and bentonite. Therefore, a unique combination of dif-130 131 ferent spectroscopic and microscopic techniques was used to get more information about 132 the ongoing processes. The presence of different uranium oxidation states in the samples was verified using UV/Vis spectroscopy, as well as uranium M₄-edge high-energy-resolu-133 134 tion fluorescence-detected X-ray absorption near-edge structure (HERFD-XANES spectroscopy.^[50,51] Furthermore, new information about the chemical surroundings of the 135 formed uranium reduction products were provided via EXAFS. Time-resolved laser-in-136 duced fluorescence spectroscopy (TRLFS) provided more information about the U(VI) 137 speciation in the supernatants. Moreover, different microscopic techniques such as fluo-138 rescence microscopy and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) showed the cell via-139 bility during the experiment and the uranium localization in the cells. Only by combining 140 these different methods, it is possible to draw a picture of the ongoing processes during 141 the U(VI) reduction. The obtained information will contribute to a comprehensive safety 142 assessment considering microbiological influences for the selection of a final disposal site 143 144 in clay rock as well as for the use of bentonite as a possible sealing and backfill material.

- 145 Additionally, this study provides new findings in the field of bioremediation of contami-
- 146 nated anoxic environments.^[52–56]
- 147

148 2 Materials and methods

149 **2.1 Cultivation**

D. hippei DSM 8344^T was purchased from the Leibniz Institute DSMZ – German Collection 150 of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany). The strain was 151 cultivated in modified DSM 641 medium containing per L: 1 g NH₄Cl, 2 g Na₂SO₄, 1 g 152 Na₂S₂O₃ x 5 H₂O, 1 g MgSO₄ x 7 H₂O, 0.1 g CaCl₂ x 2 H₂O, 0.5 g KH₂PO₄, 2 g NaHCO₃, 2.5 g 153 154 NaC₃H₅O₃, 1 g yeast extract, 1 mL trace element solution, 1 mL selenite-tungstate solution, and 25 mg Na₂S. The trace element solution contains per L: 1.5 g FeCl₂ x 4 H₂O dissolved 155 in 10 mL HCl (25%), 70 mg ZnCl₂, 100 mg MnCl₂ x 4 H₂O, 6 mg H₃BO₃, 190 mg 156 CoCl₂ x 6 H₂O, 2 mg CuCl₂ x 6 H₂O, 24 mg NiCl₂ x 6 H₂O, and 36 mg Na₂MoO₄ x 2 H₂O. The 157 selenite-tungstate solution consists of 0.5 g NaOH, 3 mg Na₂SeO₃ x 5 H₂O, and 4 mg 158 Na₂WO₄ x 2 H₂O per liter. Both stock solutions were autoclaved (20 min at 120 °C) for 159 160 storage.

All components of the medium except the sodium sulfide were dissolved in deionized wa-161 ter. Afterwards, the medium was fumigated for 45 min with a gas mixture of N₂:CO₂ 162 (80:20), because it contains bicarbonate. After autoclaving, the medium was completed 163 by adding a sterile (autoclaved as well) anoxic stock solution of Na₂S. The cultivation was 164 done at 30 °C in the dark. Cells were harvested in the mid exponential growth phase 165 (OD₆₀₀ of about 0.08 – 0.1 after 42 – 48 h of growth, corresponding to cell numbers of 166 $6x10^5 - 8x10^5$ cells/mL) by anaerobic centrifugation at 10,000 x g and 18 °C for 10 min. 167 For further experiments, cells were washed with anoxic sterile artificial Opalinus Clay 168 pore water solution at pH 5.5 (see 2.2.1) once and resuspended in an appropriate volume 169 of the same solution to obtain a stock suspension with an OD₆₀₀ of 2.5. The optical density 170 of the cell suspension was measured with a Specord® 50 Plus UV/VIS spectrometer from 171 Analytik Jena at a wavelength of 600 nm. 172

173

174

175 **2.2 Uranium(VI) concentrations in the supernatants**

176 **2.2.1 Preparation of artificial Opalinus Clay pore water**

For the reduction experiments, artificial Opalinus Clay pore water was used as back-177 178 ground electrolyte. Its composition was determined by Wersin *et al.*^[29] The pore water has the following composition per L: 12.6 g NaCl, 3.8 g CaCl₂ x 2 H₂O, 2.0 g Na₂SO₄, 120 mg 179 KCl, 3.5 g MgCl₂ x 6 H₂O, 130 mg SrCl₂ x 6 H₂O, and 40 mg NaHCO₃ (molar concentrations 180 181 see Table S1). In addition to the natural components 22 mg Na₂S were added to maintain strict anaerobic conditions. For preparation, all components except for the sodium sulfide 182 were dissolved in deionized water and gasified for 45 min with a gas mixture containing 183 N₂:CO₂ (80:20). The pore water was transferred into an anaerobic box with N₂-atmos-184 phere (MB200 B, M. Braun, Munich, Germany), and sodium sulfide was added to the solu-185 186 tion as solid compound inside the anaerobic box. The final solution was filled into serum bottles sealed with butyl plugs. The bottles were brought outside the anaerobic chamber, 187 and the atmosphere above the pore water was exchanged for a mixture of 80% nitrogen 188 and 20% carbon dioxide. Afterwards, the artificial Opalinus Clay pore water was auto-189 claved for 20 min at 121 °C and stored at 4 °C until usage. 190

191

192 **2.2.2 Batch experiments**

For the U(VI) reduction experiments, the anoxic U(VI) solutions in artificial Opalinus Clay 193 pore water containing either 100 or 500 µM U(VI) and 10 mM sodium lactate at a pH of 194 195 5.5 were prepared. If not otherwise stated, the sample volume was 20 mL in 50-mL sealed serum bottles. As electron donor lactate was added for the investigation of a potential 196 reduction of U(VI) to U(IV). Lactate and other organic matter occur in lower concentra-197 tions in natural Opalinus Clay pore water.^[57] Nevertheless, in these experiments long-198 term processes present in nature were simulated. Experimentally, however, only much 199 shorter periods can be investigated. Therefore, higher lactate concentrations were used 200 201 to speed up the processes. Furthermore, such lactate concentrations had been applied be-202 fore for different kind of U(VI) reduction experiments.^[19,33]

U(VI) solutions in 30 mM bicarbonate buffer were prepared in the same way using only 500 μ M U(VI) as initial concentration. The pH value of the buffer was around 6.8. The U(VI) stock solution (0.1 M U(VI) in 0.5 M HCl) used for these experimental solutions was
 prepared as previously described.^[58]

Afterwards, an appropriate amount of the washed cell suspension (in artificial Opalinus 207 Clay pore water or bicarbonate buffer) was added to the solution to achieve an OD₆₀₀ in 208 the samples of 0.1 (dry bio mass (DBM) = 0.044 mg/mL, cell numbers: $8 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/mL}$). 209 210 Reference samples with heat-killed cells were prepared via boiling of the cell suspension at 99 °C for 5 min (1 mL aliquots). Viability of the cells was checked by live/dead staining 211 (see 2.4 and Fig S1). Furthermore, an experiment with incubation in the dark was carried 212 out, as well, to exclude the influence of a possible light-mediated U(VI) reduction by lac-213 tate. Stability of the U(VI) solution was proven by measuring U concentrations in a cell-214 free blank solution over time (see Fig S2) 215

216 Suspensions were incubated at room temperature in an anaerobic chamber, and samples were taken between 0 h and one week. To determine the U concentration by inductively 217 coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), as well as to determine the sulfate and lac-218 219 tate concentrations, samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 10,000 x g and 18 °C in the anaerobic glove box. Subsequently, 1 mL of the supernatants was acidified with 10 µL of 220 concentrated nitric acid (69%) and the U concentration were determined via ICP-MS as 221 described previously.^[59] To determine the sulfate concentration, 1 mL of the supernatants 222 were taken and examined by ion chromatography (integrated ion chromatography sys-223 tem, Thermo Scientific). The lactate concentrations were determined with a sample vol-224 ume of 250 µl using high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC system Agilent 1200 225 with a G1315B 1200 diode array detector). Concentrations were calculated using a cali-226 227 bration curve in the range between 0 and 20 mM. Except when otherwise stated, experiments were performed in triplicate. Not every analytical method was performed in every 228 experiment. 229

230

231 **2.3 Time-resolved laser-induced fluorescence spectroscopy**

Time-resolved laser-induced fluorescence spectroscopic (TRLFS) measurements of the supernatants were used to investigate the speciation of U(VI) (100 μ M) in the supernatants. Samples for TRLFS were taken after 2 h, 4 h, 24 h, and 48 h of incubation time, except when otherwise stated. For sample preparation, 1 mL of each supernatant (after centrifugation at 18 °C and 10,000 x g for 5 min) was transferred into a semi-micro UV/Vis cuvette in the anaerobic glovebox. Furthermore, a blank solution without cells was pre-pared, as well.

239 To enable an assignment of the recorded and evaluated spectra to different chemical spe-

240 cies, a lactate reference is necessary. Therefore, a solution of artificial Opalinus Clay pore

water containing 100 μ M U(VI) and 10 mM sodium lactate at a pH of 5.5 was prepared.

All samples, as well as the blank solution and the reference, were frozen in liquid nitrogen
and stored under -80 °C until measurement. Subsequent measurements and data evaluation were carried out according to Bader *et al.*^[60] To minimize the quenching effect of the

chloride anions (originating from the artificial Opalinus Clay pore water) on the U(VI) lu-

246 minescence, the measurements were performed at a temperature of 150 K.^[61]

247

248 **2.4 Verification of cell viability**

For fluorescence microscopy imaging of uranium-incubated cells, 1 mL of the suspensions 249 were taken and centrifuged anaerobically at 18 °C and 10,000 x g for 5 min. Cells were 250 resuspended in a small amount of anoxic artificial Opalinus Clay pore water. Staining was 251 performed with a LIVE/DEAD[®] BacLight[™] Bacterial Viability Kit (Thermo Fisher Scien-252 tific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions under anaerobic 253 conditions. Cover glasses were sealed with transparent nail polish to avoid oxygen intake 254 during image capture outside the glovebox. Fluorescence was excited by light with wave-255 lengths of 420 and 460 nm. Therefore, filters Cy3 and FITC were applied and images were 256 257 taken by using a phase-contrast microscope Olympus BX-61 (Olympus Europa Holding GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) with support of the imaging software "CellSense Dimension 258 1.11. 259

260

261 **2.5 Transmission electron microscopy**

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to get more information about the localization of uranium on/in the cells. To enable this, thin sections of the uranium-incubated cells were prepared. Two U(VI) concentrations of 100 and 500 µM and two incubation times (4 h and 24 h) were investigated, as well as a reference without uranium incubation also after 24 h. TEM specimen preparation was performed as previously described 267 by Völkner *et al.*, with the modification that the *en-bloc* staining with uranyl acetate was omitted.^[62,63] In particular, the cells were incubated for the respective times as described 268 before (see 2.2.2). Afterwards, samples were centrifuged (18 °C and 10,000 x g for 5 min) 269 and washed in anoxic 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2). The cell pellet was resus-270 pended in 3 mL of an anoxic fixation buffer (0.1 M sodium cacodylate at pH 7.2, containing 271 272 2% glutaraldehyde), subsequently. Further processing was done aerobically at the CRTD (Center for Regenerative Therapies Dresden). Semi-thin sections were cut with a Leica 273 UC6 ultra microtome and stained with toluidine blue/borax to identify potential regions 274 275 of interest, followed by ultrathin sectioning using a diamond knife. The ultrathin sections were collected on carbon-coated slot grids. 276

Bright-field and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were 277 278 recorded with an image-C_s-corrected Titan 80-300 microscope (Field Electron and Ion Company (FEI), Eindhoven, The Netherlands) operated at an accelerating voltage of 279 300 kV. Furthermore, high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron mi-280 281 croscopy (HAADF-STEM) imaging and spectrum imaging analysis based on energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS) were performed with a Talos F200X microscope 282 283 equipped with a high-brightness X-FEG electron source and a Super-X EDX detector system at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV (FEI). Prior to each (S)TEM analysis, the ultrathin 284 section mounted in a high-visibility low-background holder was placed for 2 s into a 285 Model 1020 Plasma Cleaner (Fischione, Export, PA, USA) to remove potential contamina-286 287 tion.

288

289 2.6 UV/Vis spectroscopy

To clearly give proof of the formed U(IV), UV/Vis measurements of the dissolved cell pellets were carried out. Therefore, a total of 60 mL (triplicates of 20 mL sample volume, DBM = 2.64 mg, 4.8x10⁷ cells) of the uranium-incubated cell suspension was centrifuged (at 18 °C and 10,000 x g for 10 min) at the respective time points as well as heat-killed cell samples incubated with U(VI) for one week.

Afterwards, the collected cell pellet was dissolved in 4 mL of anoxic 5 M HCl. Meanwhile,
 the sample was shaken for one hour on a shaking plate at 120 rpm. The suspension was
 centrifuged at 18 °C and 10,000 x g for 10 min, and the supernatant was transferred into
 a quartz glass cuvette. To calculate the ratio between U(VI) and U(IV) afterwards, the total

uranium concentrations were determined by ICP-MS measurements for each sample. All work was carried out anaerobically. A Cary 5G UV-Vis-NIR spectro-photometer from Varian was used for the UV/Vis measurement, and the spectrum was recorded in the spectral range between 200 and 800 nm with a minimum step width of 0.1 nm. U(VI) and U(IV) reference spectra were prepared with a concentration of 1 mM uranium in the corresponding oxidation state in 5 M HCl. Both the calculations and the results for all time points are given in the supporting information.

306

307 2.7 High-energy-resolution fluorescence-detected X-ray absorption near-edge 308 structure spectroscopy (HERFD-XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine struc 309 ture (EXAFS) methods

For extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) and high-energy-resolution fluo-310 rescence-detected X-ray absorption near-edge structure (HERFD-XANES) spectroscopy, 311 samples were incubated with 100 µM U(VI) for different time points (4 h, 24 h, 48 h, and 312 168 h). In this case, suspensions with a doubled optical density of 0.2 (1.6x10⁶ cells/mL) 313 were used to get more biomass for the measurements. The influence of a higher biomass 314 on the reduction process was determined in advance and no major differences occurred 315 316 (see Fig S4). Due to the low biomass used in the experiments compared to the relatively high sample volumes required for the X-ray absorption spectroscopic measurements, 317 larger volumes of uranium-incubated cell suspension were employed for these experi-318 ments. Overall, 250 mL of the U(VI)-incubated cell suspensions (quintuplets of 50 mL in 319 100-mL serum bottles) were used per time point containing a DBM of 22 mg cells (4x10⁷ 320 cells). Incubation took place in quintuplicates containing 50 mL cell suspension each. The 321 expected removal of uranium in the supernatants was proven by measurement of the ura-322 323 nium concentrations in each sample via ICP-MS. Cell pellets were collected after certain incubation times by centrifugation at 10.000 x g at 18 °C for 10 min gathered in a single 324 sample vial and washed with artificial Opalinus Clay pore water solution. Afterwards, for 325 EXAFS measurements, the cell pellet was transferred as wet paste in a 3-mm-thick poly-326 327 ethylene sample holder double-confined with 13 micron Kapton tape and polyethylene. For HERFD-XANES measurements, the cell pellet was transferred into a sample carrier 328 with round recess of 1 mm depth single-confined with 13 micron Kapton tape only, to 329 allow low-energy M₄ edge X-ray beams to reach the sample. The uranium M₄ HERFD-330

XANES measurements^[50,51,64] and the uranium L₃ transmission EXAFS measurements^[65] 331 were performed at beamline BM20^[66] at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility 332 (ESRF) in Grenoble. For XANES measurements, the incident energy was selected using the 333 111 reflection from a double Si crystal monochromator. XANES spectra were measured in 334 high-energy-resolution fluorescence-detected (HERFD) mode using an X-ray emission 335 336 spectrometer.^[67] The sample, analyzer crystal, and photon detector (silicon drift detector) were arranged in a vertical Rowland geometry. The uranium HERFD spectra at the M₄ 337 edge were obtained by recording the maximum intensity of the uranium M_b emission line 338 (~3337 eV) as a function of the incident energy. The emission energy was selected using 339 the 220 reflection of five spherically bent striped Si crystal analyzers (with 0.5-m bending 340 radius) aligned at 75° Bragg angle. The intensity was normalized to the incident flux. The 341 paths of the incident and emitted X-rays through air were minimized in order to avoid 342 losses in intensity due to absorption. Data was collected under cryo conditions with cry-343 ostream on a sample. All samples have been tested for short-term beam damage, since in 344 some cases, X-ray may induce the reduction of many elements. First, an extended time 345 scan (>2 min with 0.1 s exposure time per step) at the maximum of the U M₄ edge white 346 347 line was performed before data collection, to monitor any long-term variations in the fluorescence signal. Later several fast HERFD scans (total counting time is less than 10 s) in 348 the short energy range were performed and compared with all HERFD data collected per 349 sample. Based on that procedure, the estimated X-ray exposure time can be derived for 350 each sample. However, we didn't find any evidence of the spectral change caused by X-ray 351 352 exposure.

EXAFS measurements were performed as previously described,^[60] but under cryogenic 353 conditions (15 K by using a closed-cycled He-cryostat). The ionization potential at the ura-354 nium L₃ edge was set arbitrarily to 17185 eV. EXAFSPAK was used for the data treatment 355 such as energy calibration, averaging of multiple sample scans, correction for the X-ray 356 absorbing background, isolation of the EXAFS signal, and the shell fit.^[68] The ab-initio scat-357 tering code FEFF8.20^[69] was used for the calculation of the scattering phase- and ampli-358 tude functions based on a structural model of a trimeric U(VI)-tartrate complex and a 359 modified structure of the mineral ningyoite (Figs S17, S18).^[70] Assuming the presence of 360 coexisting U(IV), U(V), and U(VI) species, iterative target transformation factor analysis 361 (ITFA)^[71] was applied for the mathematical decomposition of the originating spectral 362 363 mixtures into the spectra of the pure uranium species, i.e. components, and their fractions

in HERFD-XANES and EXAFS data. Furthermore, target factor analysis (TFA)^[60,72,73] was applied for the chemical identification of the uranium species by using 81 EXAFS reference spectra from various organic and inorganic chemical systems with uranium in the oxidation states IV, V, and VI (Figs S19, S20).

368

376

369 3 Results & discussion

370 3.1 Uranium concentration in the supernatants

A time-dependent U(VI) reduction experiment in artificial Opalinus Clay pore water was performed to find out whether *D. hippei* DSM 8344^{T} is capable of reducing U(VI). Two different initial concentrations of 100 and 500 μ M U(VI) were used to investigate the occurring processes. Fig 1 shows the concentration of uranium in the supernatants in dependence on the incubation time.



Fig 1. Uranium concentration in the supernatants of the batch experiments with living and heat-killed cells of *D. hippei*DSM 8344^T with an initial U(VI) concentration of a) 100 μM and b) 500 μM (artificial Opalinus Clay pore water, pH 5.5,
10 mM lactate, DBM = 0.044 mg/mL, 8x10⁵ cells/mL).

A decrease in uranium concentration in the supernatants of the experiments with living cells is visible with increasing incubation times for both concentrations. At the lower U(VI) concentration of 100 μ M, within 48 h, around 80% of the uranium were removed from the supernatants, after one week almost 90%. The reduction experiment with 500 μ M U(VI) also showed an almost complete removal of the uranium from the supernatants. Within 4 h, 40% were already removed, and after one week, only 10% of the initial 386 uranium was still detectable. At both concentrations, black-brown precipitates occurred after certain incubation times, suggesting the reduction of soluble U(VI) (Fig S5). Stability 387 of the pH values of the samples during the experiment were checked for the initial U(VI) 388 concentration of 100 µM (Fig S6). A comparison with other *Desulfosporosinus* spp. shows 389 a similar, only slightly faster removal of uranium from the supernatants. In case of the 390 391 strains P3 and DSM 765, the process is almost completed after 24 h at a slightly higher pH value of 7, 1 mM uranyl(VI) acetate, and 1 mM lactate.^[23,24] However, similar time frames 392 for the uranium removal were also observed by iron-reducers as *Geobacter* spp. and *She*-393 wanella spp.^[14,33,74] Furthermore, experiments with heat-killed cells were performed. At 394 100 µM U(VI), 35% of the uranium was removed from the supernatant after one week, 395 396 probably due to different association processes, *e.g.* biosorption to functional groups on 397 the cell surface.^[5] The heat treatment promotes cell breakup, which provides more binding sites for uranium association. Therefore, dead cells generally bind more uranium in 398 contrast to living cells.^[75,76] In addition, it could be that the heat treatment did not com-399 pletely kill all the cells. In this case, the surviving cells can contribute to the decrease of 400 the uranium concentration in the supernatant. However, the removal of U is significantly 401 402 higher in the living cell experiment compared to the experiment with the heat-killed cells. Therefore, the living cells contribute through their activity to the higher removal of ura-403 nium in the living-cell experiments. The experiment with heat-killed cells at the higher 404 initial U(VI) concentration shows a relatively high removal of uranium from the superna-405 tants. However, the proportion of the removed uranium is still not as high as in the exper-406 iment with the living cells. In this case, a partial precipitation of U(VI) during the experi-407 ment due to the higher initial concentration could also contribute to the increased values 408 409 of U removal from the supernatants.

For further investigation of the occurring processes, lactate and sulfate concentrations 410 were determined during the bioreduction experiments (Fig S7). The initial sulfate con-411 centration in Opalinus Clay pore water solution is about 14 mM. Until 48 h, the sulfate 412 413 concentration remains almost constant. Only after one week, a slight decrease is observed, probably due to a reduced bioavailability of the U(VI). Therefore, this microorganism 414 seems to reduce U(VI) prior to sulfate. These results are also in good agreement with the 415 redox potentials of both ions (pH 7: $E(UO_2^{2+}/U^{4+}) = 0.12 \text{ V}, E(S^{2-}/SO_4^{2-}) = -0.2 \text{ V}).^{[77,78]}$ The 416 higher reduction rate of sulfate in the experiment with 500 μ M U(VI) (Fig S7) could be due 417 418 to an increased co-precipitation of U(VI) and U(IV). In this case, the bioavailability of U(VI) 419 would decrease, which would favor sulfate reduction. Furthermore, no decrease in sulfate420 concentrations was detected in the heat-killed-cell experiment.

421 With help of HPLC measurements, changes in the lactate concentration over time were determined (see Fig S7b). Lactate can serve as an electron donor for the reduction of U(VI) 422 423 and is itself oxidized to acetate. Therefore, lactate concentrations should decrease over 424 time. Evaluation of the HPLC data indicates a decrease, but only small amounts of the lactate are consumed. A reason for this could be the huge excess of lactate in the samples 425 426 in comparison to the proportions of uranium. Furthermore, for every molecule of lactate, which is oxidized, two uranyl(VI) ions can be reduced according to stoichiometry. The 427 formation of acetate could also be determined via this method. An acetate peak was visible 428 via HPLC measurements, but the amount was too low to be quantified. 429

430 U(VI) reduction experiments under anaerobic conditions are often performed in a bicarbonate-buffered medium to stabilize U(VI) in solution.^[14,16-18,25,26,33] D. hippei DSM 8344^T 431 was also investigated regarding its capability of reducing U(VI) in 30 mM bicarbonate 432 433 buffer in the presence of lactate (Fig S8). In this case, no dark precipitates and no decrease in uranium concentration in the supernatants occurred. Therefore, we assume that D. 434 *hippei* DSM 8344^T cannot reduce U(VI) in bicarbonate buffer, where the 1:3-uranyl(VI)-435 carbonate complex is the dominant species (Fig S9a). This is also in good agreement with 436 former findings of Suzuki et al., who investigated the reduction of U(VI) by other Desul-437 *fosporosinus* spp.^[24] These strains were also not capable of reducing U(VI) in the presence 438 of bicarbonate. The present uranyl(VI)-carbonate complex apparently cannot be reduced 439 by this bacterial genus. Potential explanations could be, as already determined by Suzuki 440 *et al.*, that these microorganisms do not contain *c*-type cytochromes ^[13], which seems to 441 play an important role for the U(VI) reduction by other bacteria.^[19,28] In addition, it was 442 443 described that some *Desulfosporosinus* spp. can grow using bicarbonate as the sole electron acceptor in combination with lactate as an electron donor during homoacetogenic 444 fermentation.^[79] In this case, this energy metabolism would be preferred by the microor-445 ganisms, because the microorganisms will gain more energy by this metabolism. 446

447

448 **3.2 Fluorescence spectroscopic studies of the supernatants**

To get more information about the U(VI) speciation in the supernatants, time-resolvedlaser-induced fluorescence spectra were recorded at different time points of the batch

451 experiment. The U(VI) emission spectra of the supernatants after different times of incu-

452 bation with cells of the anaerobic bacterium *D. hippei* DSM 8344^T are shown in Fig 2a.



Fig 2. a) Emission spectra of the supernatants after different incubation times (2 h – 48 h) with *Desulfosporosinus hippei* DSM 8344^T in artificial Opalinus Clay pore water ([U(VI)_{initial}] = 100 μ M; [Lactate] = 10 mM; excitation wavelength = 266 nm); b) distribution of the U(VI) species in the supernatants as a function of the incubation time determined by parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC)^[80] (orange = uranyl(VI)-lactate complex, red = 1:1-uranyl(VI)-carbonate complex).

453

The experiment was performed in artificial Opalinus Clay pore water with an initial U(VI) 458 concentration of 100 µM. Already the initial spectra show a decrease in the luminescence 459 intensity with time, which is in good agreement with the decreasing U(VI) concentrations 460 in the samples. Overall, two species occur in the spectra, with both species showing ap-461 proximately the same intensity after 24 h (Fig. 2a). Furthermore, there is no significant 462 difference between the blank spectrum of the initial U(VI) solution and the spectra after 463 464 up to 4 hours. Prior to the exposure of cells, the speciation of U(VI) in artificial Opalinus Clay pore water with 10 mM sodium lactate was modeled, and a uranyl(VI)-lactate com-465 plex was found to be the dominant species under these conditions (pH 5.5). Furthermore, 466 a uranyl(VI)-carbonate complex was determined to a lesser extent. The results of this 467 modeling can be found in the supporting information (Fig S9b, c) and provide insights into 468 the U(VI) speciation in the supernatants for the initial solution (blank). 469

It is not possible to draw direct conclusions from the emission spectra about the different 470 involved U(VI) species in the supernatants, because of the partial superposition of the sin-471 gle-component spectra. Therefore, a deconvolution of the spectra with the help of the 472 mathematical method called parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC) was carried out.^[80] The 473 spectra of two different species could be extracted in this way (Fig S10), which is already 474 in good agreement with the previous calculations. With the help of several reference spec-475 tra from previously determined species, an assignment to two different uranyl(VI) com-476 pounds was possible. The band positions of the extracted spectra and references are 477 shown in Table 1. The first extracted spectrum shows a high agreement with a uranyl(VI)-478 lactate complex. The reference was prepared and measured under the same conditions as 479 480 the samples. Both, spectral decomposition and band positions are in good agreement. The formation of this complex is favored at a pH of 5.5 because of the high excess of lactate in 481 the samples. The second spectrum also shows a very good agreement with the reference 482 of the 1:1-uranyl-carbonate complex originating from sodium bicarbonate in the Opalinus 483 clay pore water solution and the gasification with a mixture of 20% carbon dioxide and 484 80% nitrogen to get the solutions anaerobic. All in all, both calculated species could be 485 486 confirmed experimentally with luminescence spectroscopy.

487 Table 1. Assignment of the band positions of the extracted time-resolved laser-induced fluorescence spectra (Fig S10).
488 Extraction of the spectra was performed using PARAFAC^[80].

	Band position (nm)	Reference
Spectrum 1	512.5 534.7 559.4 585.7 614.6	This work
Uranyl(VI)-lactate	511.8 534.0 558.1 584.9 614.0	This work
Spectrum 2	482.3 503.3 524.5 547.9 575.0	This work
UO ₂ CO ₃	482.0 502.9 525.6 549.2 575.1	[58]

489

The species distribution (Fig 2b) shows that the uranyl(VI)-lactate species is the major 490 component in the initial solution. During the reduction process, the species distribution 491 shows a decrease of the uranyl(VI)-lactate species with time. In contrast to this, the pro-492 portion of the carbonate complex remains almost constant. This can be attributed to the 493 494 assumption that this genus cannot reduce the uranyl(VI)-carbonate species.^[24] This would be in good agreement with the non-changing values of U(VI) concentrations in the 495 496 supernatants of the bicarbonate experiment and shows the influence of the initial U(VI) species on U(VI) reduction by this microorganism. 497

498 In addition to these results, a luminescence spectroscopic experiment with more frequent sampling showed an interesting behavior of the luminescence intensities over time, espe-499 cially during the first hours of the bioreduction process. Although the emission intensity 500 of uranyl(VI) underwent a general decrease, the rate of this decline is not consistent (Fig 501 S11). Instead, the luminescence intensities decrease and increase several times. An ini-502 tially sharp decrease of the intensity is followed by a subsequently partial increase. This 503 distinctive 'saw tooth' pattern was already observed in other bioreduction processes, e.g. 504 in the reduction of U(VI) by Geobacter sulfurreducens and Shewanella oneidensis.^[7,33] 505 These studies explained the observed pattern by the formation of uranyl(V) as an inter-506 mediate uranium species during the reduction. Therefore, time-resolved luminescence 507 508 spectroscopy could give an indication of the occurrence of U(V) during reduction by sul-509 fate-reducing microorganisms.

510

511 **3.3 Microscopic investigations**

Live/dead staining of the cells was carried out at different incubation times. The images 512 show an increased agglomeration of the cells with the incubation time at both concentra-513 tions (see Fig S12). In addition, the proportion of the dead cells increases, what becomes 514 515 clear from the yellowish color of the agglomerates indicating a mixture of living (green) and dead (red) cells. In contrast to this, those agglomerates do not occur in the cell blank 516 without U(VI) incubation, not even after one week. The live/dead images at both concen-517 trations do not differ significantly from each other. At the higher U(VI) concentration of 518 500 µM, the agglomerates are slightly bigger than those in the experiment with an initial 519 U(VI) concentration of 100μ M. 520

The localization of uranium in/on the cells was investigated by scanning transmission
electron microscopy (STEM) analyses of ultrathin sectioned samples of the U(VI)-incubated cells. In particular, atomic-number-contrast HAADF-STEM imaging was combined
with spectrum imaging analysis based on energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS).
Fig 3 shows the resulting images and U distribution maps for two U(VI) concentrations
(100 µM and 500 µM) and two incubation times (4 h and 24 h).

- 527
- 528



b) 24 h 100 μM U

500 µM U



Fig 3. Representative HAADF-STEM images (left) and corresponding U element distribution (right) of ultrathin sectioned samples of *Desulfosporosinus hippei* DSM 8344^T cells treated with uranium ([U(VI)_{initial}] = 100/500 μM) for a) 4 h
and b) 24 h. The blue ellipse highlights possibly released membrane vesicles.

532 The amount of cell-associated uranium increases with time and concentration, which is in good agreement with the decreasing uranium concentrations in the supernatants (see 533 Fig 1). Uranium-containing aggregates are mainly present on the cell surface. These partly 534 have the shape of small needles (for higher magnification see Fig S13). High-resolution 535 TEM imaging coupled with fast Fourier transform analysis for *D. hippei* DSM 8344^T after 536 incubation with 500 µM U(VI) for 24 h showed the aggregates to be of amorphous struc-537 538 ture (Fig S14). Especially at the lower concentration and with shorter incubation times, uranium is located also inside the cells, almost evenly spread (Fig 3a). As can be seen in 539 the images (blue ellipse, Fig 3a), there is an indication for the release of membrane vesi-540 cles from the cell surface. This could be a possible defense mechanism of *D. hippei* DSM 541 8344^T to mitigate cell encrustation and has already been reported for other microorgan-542 isms, e.g. Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 or Geobacter sulfurreducens.^[81,82] 543

- 544
- 545
- 546

547 **3.4 UV/Vis spectroscopy**

551

The formation of U(IV) was proven by UV/Vis studies of the dissolved cell pellets. Fig 4a shows the UV/Vis spectra of the dissolved cell pellets after different incubation times in comparison with the reference spectra of U(VI) and U(IV).



Fig 4. a) UV/Vis spectra of the dissolved cell pellets after different incubation times in comparison with normalized
reference spectra of U(IV) and U(VI). b) Calculated proportions (see supporting information) of U(IV) and U(VI) under
consideration of the corresponding extinction coefficients of both oxidation states ([U(VI)_{initial}] = 100 μM).

555 A comparison of the band positions provides clear proof of the formed U(IV). At the characteristic band at around 650 nm, we observe an increase in the proportion of U(IV) with 556 time, which becomes visible in the spectra. In the spectral region between 400 and 557 500 nm, also bands of U(VI) are still detectable. These partly overlap with those of U(IV). 558 This suggests that not all of the U(VI) is reduced to the lower oxidation state in the cell 559 560 pellets, and therefore the fraction of removed uranium from the supernatants is not entirely U(IV), not even after one week. Consequently, the occurring process seems to be 561 562 based on a combination of association with the cells and reduction. The band at a wavelength of 610 nm is caused by residual cell components, because a blank spectrum of a 563 dissolved cell pellet without U(VI) incubation also shows this feature (Fig S15). The band 564 at 410 nm, which partly overlaps with bands of U(VI), can provide further indication of 565 intermediately occurring U(V) during the reduction process, which disproportionates to 566 U(VI) and U(IV). Nagai et al. investigated the absorption properties of U(V) in molten 567

NaCl-2CsCl.^[83] They showed that U(V) exhibit an intense absorption band at this wave-568 length. Further data evaluation regarding this oxidation state were not performed be-569 cause of the huge differences in the chemical surroundings, affecting different physical 570 quantities, *e.g.* the molar attenuation coefficient. It would be possible, however, that the 571 proportion of U(VI) in the samples is lower, since the proportion of the possibly occurring 572 U(V) would have to be subtracted. Therefore, to get the exact values of the proportions of 573 U(VI) and U(IV) in the cell pellets at every time point, calculations considering the molar 574 extinction coefficients were carried out. 575

As can be seen in Fig 4b, the proportion of U(IV) in the samples increases continuously 576 with time. After 4 h, only a very small proportion of the U(VI) is reduced. Only 2% of U(IV) 577 are present in the samples. But already after 24 h, round about a quarter of the U(VI) is 578 reduced to U(IV) in the cell pellets. This value is further increasing until one week, where 579 about 40% are reduced. However, when comparing the percentages of the different oxi-580 dation states over time, the different proportions of cell-associated uranium must also be 581 taken into account. Especially after 4 h, only 20% of the uranium are removed from the 582 supernatant. After 24 h, however, the proportions do not change much. The UV/Vis ex-583 584 periment with heat-killed cells only shows a minor reduction of U(VI) under these conditions (Fig S15). This can be due to a partly reduction, possibly caused by remaining living 585 cells after heat treatment or by a light-mediated reaction with lactate^[84,85]. However, the 586 intensity of the uranium(IV) band at 650 nm is much weaker than in the experiment with 587 588 the living cells. Furthermore, no differences in the reduction behavior could be observed in the experiments with incubation in the dark (data not shown). Therefore, reduction of 589 U(VI) by lactate seems to have only a minor or no influence on the experiments. 590

591

592 3.5 High-energy-resolution fluorescence-detected X-ray absorption near-edge 593 structure spectroscopy (HERFD-XANES)

The electronic structure of the uranium system has been investigated by HERFD-XANES measured at the uranium M₄ edge, which probes directly the f-orbitals through $3d_{3/2} \rightarrow$ $5f_{5/2}$ electronic transitions.^[50,51,64] The appearance of the main HERFD transitions at different incident energy in the X-ray spectroscopy process is generally attributed to the change of the oxidation state, which produce the chemical shift of the detected 3d-5f tran-

- sitions.^[50] Fig 5 shows the HERFD-XANES spectra of the cell pellets after different incuba-
- tion times in comparison with the reference spectra of U(VI), U(V),^[86] and U(IV). Refer-
- 601 ence spectra for U(VI) and U(IV) were measured simultaneously with the samples.



602

Fig 5. Uranium M₄ HERFD XANES data recorded on the cell pellets after different incubation times compared with the
 reference spectra of U(VI) as uranyl(VI) nitrate, U(V) as UMoO₅,^[86] and U(IV) as UO₂. Dashed lines in a-c indicate the
 white line energy positions for U(IV), U(V), and U(VI) valence states, respectively; d and e indicate post-edge feature for
 the uranyl(VI) structure ([U(VI)_{initial}]. = 100 µM).

607 Figure 5 shows that spectra recorded on cell pellets after the 4 h, 24 h, 48 h, and 168 h incubation time are different. First of all, the intensity of the first post-edge feature 608 (marked d) after the white line (marked c) is gradually going down upon increasing incu-609 bation times. The second post-edge feature (marked e) has the same tendency. Generally, 610 the hexavalent uranium M₄ HERFD shows three features (marked c, d, e)^[51] and reflect 611 the f density of states for the specific atomic orbital. Data recorded on cell pellets after 612 613 different incubation times show that U(VI) is the dominant uranium oxidation state for all samples, but some tiny differences, as explained above, are still noticeable. Moreover, the 614 uranium spectrum recorded for a sample with 168 h incubation time is much broader 615

than other spectra and there is also a shoulder on the left side from the main edge transi-616 tions. The appearance of the shoulder at the low-energy side is generally attributed to the 617 formation of lower oxidation states – the formation of the U(IV) or/and U(V). In order to 618 extract the exact contributions of U(IV), U(V), and U(VI), we used the ITFA package.^[71] As 619 input files, we used the UO₂ as U(IV), the uranyl(VI) as U(VI), and U(V) has been taken as 620 uranate in UMoO₅ published by Pan et al.^[86] ITFA-extracted eigenvectors of the HERFD-621 XANES data, isolated single-component spectra and the reproduced U M₄ HERFD spectra, 622 as well as differences between experimental and reproduced spectra are shown in Fig. 623 S16. The results of the ITFA analysis are presented in Table 2 and provide first evidence 624 of the presence of U(V) in the microbial reduction not only by the non-cytochrome-c con-625 626 taining bacteria *D. hippei* DSM 8344^T, but also by sulfate-reducing bacteria in general. The proportion of this oxidation state remains almost constant over the investigated time 627 frame, which indicates a stabilization by the chemical surrounding as previously de-628 scribed.^[37-49] Furthermore, these findings verify the above-mentioned indications of this 629 630 oxidation state via UV/Vis and luminescence spectroscopy.

Time (h)	U(VI) (%)	U(V) (%)	U(IV) (%)
4	74	25	1
24	67	31	2
48	72	27	1
168	60	30	10

Table 2. Fractions of U(VI), U(V), and U(IV) calculated by ITFA analysis.^[71] Estimated error of the ITFA analysis is 2%.

632

We noticed that the estimated amount of different oxidation states from HERFD data is 633 different from those extracted from UV/Vis. For example, for the 4h sample the U(VI) con-634 tribution was found to be 98% from UV/Vis versus 74% by HERFD. It can be related to 635 the fact that in the UV/Vis experiments, the whole cell pellet is dissolved and measured, 636 whereas in the HERFD-XANES studies, only a small spot of the sample is analyzed due to 637 the limited dimension of the X-ray beam.^[66] Nevertheless, the overall tendency of the 638 U(IV) oxidation state over different incubation times is similar for UV/Vis and HERFD. In 639 contrast to the iron-reducing microorganisms Shewanella and Geobacter, U(VI) reduction 640 proceeds much more slowly in *D. hippei* DSM 8344^T.^[33,34] In case of *Shewanella oneidensis*, 641 after 4.5 h, already 24–25% of the uranium was reduced to U(IV) in the cell pellets and 642

after 120 h, the proportion of U(IV) was approx. three quarters. Furthermore, also the
proportion of U(V) during the reduction is higher for *Shewanella*. However, the pentavalent oxidation state persists for longer incubation times in the reduction experiments with
both microorganisms.^[33] For *Geobacter*, the reduction process is even faster as determined by L₃-Edge EXAFS spectroscopy. In this case, after 24 h, all the uranium has been
reduced to U(IV) and U(V) only occurs in samples after 4 h of incubation.^[34]

649

650 **3.6 Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS)**

In order to estimate the number (n) and fractions of the coexisting uranium species and to isolate their spectra from the spectral mixtures, the EXAFS spectra of four samples at incubation times t = 4 h, 24 h, 48 h, and 168 h were analyzed with ITFA and TFA. As shown in Fig 6 the linear combinations of the ITFA-calculated first two eigenvectors reproduce the experimental spectra in high quality, hence only two spectral components are present and change their fractions as a function of the incubation time, thus determining the shape of the spectral mixtures.



658

Fig 6. Experimental (black) and ITFA-reproduced (red) uranium L₃ EXAFS spectra recorded on the cell pellets of *D. hippei* DSM8344^T after incubation with uranium [100 μm] for different times (left) with corresponding Fourier transforms (FT) (right). FT peak of the axial oxygen atoms (a).

In a first attempt, the chemical origin of the two spectral components can be deduced by 662 the inspection of the Fourier transform (FT) of the spectral mixtures. The systematic de-663 crease of the FT peak at 1.36 Å, coming from the axial oxygen atoms (O_{ax}) of the U(VI) "yl" 664 unit (Fig 6a)), points to an increase of the fraction of U(IV) with increasing incubation 665 time, because for U(IV) no O_{ax} peak is expected in the FT. However, at the highest incuba-666 tion time, there is still a significant fraction of the U(VI) species present, as visible at the 667 O_{ax} FT peak (Fig 6, t = 168 h), so that the U(IV) species does not provide its pure experi-668 mental spectrum in the series. This is in good agreement with the UV/Vis and HERD-669 XANES data. Consequently, the spectral mixtures have to be mathematically decomposed 670 so that the resulting spectra of the pure uranium species can be analyzed by the shell fit-671 672 ting approach. For the calculation of the fractions and spectra of the uranium species, ITFA needs at least n^2 -n known fractions (n = number of spectral components) as constrains, 673 hence two known fractions in the present case. However, only for the U(VI) species, we 674 675 can assume 100% at t = 4 h, while no data are available for the U(IV) species. Fortunately, the fact that the O_{ax} signal can serve as an unequivocal and robust measure of the presence 676 of U(VI) and that the fractions of a component can be calculated independently to the frac-677 tions of the remaining components by ITFA owes the possibility to calculate and isolate 678 the fractions and spectra of the pure uranium species by a simple minimization strategy 679 applied on the Oax FT peak (see SI). As shown in Fig 7 and in comparison with the spectrum 680 at t = 168 h (Fig 6), the O_{ax} FT peak vanishes substantially for the U(IV) species after the 681 proposed ITFA treatment so that both isolated spectra refer to uranium species in their 682 683 pure oxidation states for which a shell fit can be performed. The resulting fractions (Table 3) deviate strongly from those observed by the HERFD measurements (Table 2) where 684 U(V) was detected in addition to U(IV) and U(VI). However, the penetration depth of the 685 X-rays at the U M₄ and at the U L₃ edge is different, which variates slightly the obtained 686 results at the U L₃ or U M₄ edges. In addition, a lack of U(V) references for EXAFS, as well 687 as the structural similarity of U(VI) and U(V), making it difficult to assign the isolated 688 689 spectra to this oxidation state.





Fig 7. Uranium L₃ EXAFS spectra of the ITFA-isolated spectra of the isolated uranium species (left) with corresponding
Fourier transforms (FT) (right) and estimated standard deviations (black). FT peaks of the axial oxygen (O_{ax}) (a), equatorial oxygen (O_{eq}) of U(VI) (b), first oxygen shell of U(IV) (d), and uranium interactions (c, e, f).

694

Time (h)U(VI) (%)U(IV) (%)41000*2462(1)38(1)4857(1)43(1)16855(2)45(2)

695 Table 3. Fractions of U(VI) and U(IV) calculated by ITFA analysis (see SI).

696 * - fixed during ITFA procedure. Estimated standard deviations in parenthesis.

TFA can be used to identify spectra from different chemical reference systems whose lin-697 ear combinations are suitable for the reproduction of spectral mixtures. Thus, TFA allows 698 the chemical identification of the uranium species. For this purpose, each reference spec-699 700 trum (target) is subjected subsequently to the TFA procedure, while the SPOIL value^[87] measures for each target its suitability for the reproduction of the spectral mixtures. As 701 lower the SPOIL value as higher the probability that the subjected target spectrum refers 702 703 to a pure chemical species contained in the spectral mixtures. Here, we used about 81 EXAFS spectra from U(IV), U(V), and U(VI) systems with different inorganic and organic 704

ligands at various pH, concentrations, and temperatures (Figs S19, S20). Notably, if we 705 exclude the formation of schoepite measured at room temperature (SPOIL = 2.25) and at 706 707 15 K (SPOIL = 2.58), then only reference spectra of U(VI) with exclusively aqueous hydroxy-carboxylic acids like lactate, tartrate, citrate, and malate form two groups of possi-708 709 ble references measured at room temperature (RT). In the first group, all acids between $6.6 \le pH \le 7$ with $1.78 \le SPOIL \le 2.22$ are contained, while the second group consists 710 of tartrate and lactate with SPOIL = 2.71 and 2.78 at pH 5.0 and 5.5, respectively. Moreo-711 ver, from a chemical point of view in the lower pH range, significant portions of polynu-712 clear dimeric and in the higher pH range, dominating trimeric U(VI) complexes are ex-713 pected for these hydroxyl-carboxylic acids.^[88-92] However, U(VI) with lactate at pH 7 is 714 715 the reference with the lowest SPOIL value of 1.78, hence the most probable U(VI) species in the system. In the case of the other oxidation states, the spectra with the lowest SPOIL 716 value corresponds to colloidal $U(IV)O_2$ (SPOIL = 3.9) and U(V)-carbonate (SPOIL 13.9), 717 718 respectively. Hence, both can be considered already as non-matching references. The shell fit of the best matching reference (U(VI) with lactate, pH 7) and of the ITFA-isolated spec-719 tra (Fig 7) is shown in Fig S21, while the EXAFS structural parameter are summarized in 720 Table 4. In the case of the U(VI) species, the radial Oax distance (Roax) matches Roax ob-721 tained for U(VI)-lactate, while the radial distance of the equatorial (eq) oxygen atoms 722 (Roeq) is by 0.04 Å less, a value which exceeds the common absolute error in determina-723 tion of EXAFS radial distances of ±0.02 Å.^[93] Due to the vanishing thermally induced 724 725 atomic disorder, a decrease of the Debye-Waller factor (DW) is expected for measurements at low temperatures,^[94] thus we assumed for the U-U interaction a DW of 0.006 Å² 726 and of 0.003 Å² for U(VI)-lactate and for the U(VI)/U(IV) species measured at RT and 15 727 K, respectively. The fit of the uranium shell reveals a coordination number (CN) of one at 728 3.88 Å and two at 3.83 Å for the U(VI) species and the U(VI)-lactate, respectively. Together 729 with the common error in determination of CN of about 20%,^[93] the gained structural pa-730 rameter (R, CN) deviates between the two spectra. However, these deviations are still rel-731 732 atively small, as visible in the overall agreement between the two spectra (Fig S21a and b). Note that especially for trimeric U(VI) species, the uranium core can be structurally 733 different due to the presence or absence of a uranium-connecting central μ_3 -O atom^[95-98] 734 (Fig S17), which can lead to slight differences in the EXAFS structural parameter between 735 the two isomeric forms. Furthermore, TFA yields from 81 chemically relevant systems the 736 737 most probable references which are also the most reasonable from a chemical point of view so that we conclude, together with the results of the luminescence spectroscopic
studies (see above) the presence of a dimeric or trimeric U(VI)-lactate complex in the system.

In the case of the U(IV) species, the first shell oxygen peak is strongly reduced (Fig 7d) 741 pointing to a strong structural disorder which deteriorates the shell fit if only one oxygen 742 743 shell is included. Thus, the first shell needs to be split into at least two shells with R₀₁ = 2.36 Å and R_{02} = 2.61 Å, while for the fit, the total sum of their CNs are kept at CN = 8, 744 which was recently assumed for biogenic formed U(IV) species.^[99,100] A carbon shell with 745 R_c = 2.89 Å is needed to reach a proper quality of the fit, while a phosphate group at 3.06 Å 746 can be ruled out as proved by an F-test according to Downward et al. (see supporting in-747 formation)^[101] Two uranium interactions (two up to three U at 3.65 Å and at 3.87 Å) yield 748 a good description of the degenerated peak between 3.0-4.3 Å in the FT (Fig S21c)). This 749 double peak in the Fourier transform differs significantly from other U(IV) compounds 750 751 (Fig S19). According to the TFA analysis, no reference spectrum matches the U(IV) species and any attempts of fitting using a uraninite- or a ningyoite-like biogenic phosphate struc-752 ture^[100] proved unsuccessful. Since these end products of uranium reduction by several 753 754 environmentally relevant bacteria (Gram-positive and Gram-negative) and their spores can include a variety of U(IV) species, e.g. different phosphate compounds, various phos-755 phate references also showed no agreement with the recorded spectra (Fig S19).^[25,26] 756 However, in comparison to different other studies characterizing the biogenic products of 757 the U(VI) reduction as UO₂,^[24,30-32] or ningyoite-like phosphates^[100] in our study, another 758 structure is formed. Furthermore, we cannot exclude that the isolated U(IV) spectrum 759 consists of the sum of signals coming from multiple structurally different U(IV) species. In 760 the special case, these species does not change their fractional ratios in the time series. 761 Thus, we can give for the U(IV) species no reliable structural explanation at the moment. 762

763

764

765

766

767 Table 4. EXAFS shell fit structural parameter obtained for the best matching reference spectrum (U(VI)-lactate^[92]) and
 768 for the isolated spectra of the U(VI) and U(IV) species.

Path/sample	CN	r/Å	σ²/Ų	$\Delta E_0/eV$					
U(VI) with lactate at pH 7 at RT ([U] = 50mM, [lactate] = 0.5 M)									
U-O _{ax}	2*	1.795(1)	0.0017(1)	4.0(3)					
MS _{0ax}	2/	3.590/	0.0034/	4.0/					
U–O _{eq}	5*	2.358(5)	0.0147(5)	4.0/					
U–U	2.1(1)	3.826(4)	0.006*	4.0/					
	U(VI) species at 15 K								
U-O _{ax}	2*	1.796(2)	0.0020(1)	3.7(4)					
MS _{0ax}	2/	3.592/	0.0040/	3.7/					
U-O _{eq}	5*	2.321(6)	0.0191(8)	3.7/					
U–U	0.95(8)	3.876(4)	0.003*	3.7/					
	U(IV) species at 15 K								
U-0 1	4.8(2)	2.360(3)	0.0054(4)	4.9(6)					
U-O ₂	3.2+	2.610(1)	0.0074/	4.9/					
U-C	3.5(3)	2.891(7)	0.004*	4.9/					
U-U ₁	2.5(2)	3.648(4)	0.003*	4.9/					
U-U 2	3.3(2)	3.870(4)	0.003*	4.9/					

769 $CN - coordination number, r - radial distance, \sigma^2 - Debye-Waller factor, <math>\Delta E_0$ - shift in energy threshold. Parameter fixed770(*), linked (/), and linked to keep constant sum (+). Estimated standard deviations of the variable parameter as given771from EXAFSPAK in parenthesis. Amplitude reduction factor (S₀²) was set to S₀² = 0.9. In the case of U(VI) the twofold

773

774 **4 Conclusions**

A better understanding of the U(VI) reduction by the sulfate-reducing bacterium *D. hippei* 775 DSM 8344^T is of high interest not only for the safe disposal of high-level radioactive waste 776 in clay rock, but also for different remediation approaches. In this study, we could verify 777 the reduction of U(VI) by this sulfate-reducing bacterium using different state-of-the-art 778 779 spectroscopic techniques (TRLFS, UV/Vis, HERFD-XANES and EXAFS). Together with various microscopic techniques, we were able to draw a more profound picture of the ongo-780 ing processes. Reduction experiments with different media in combination with lumines-781 cence spectroscopic investigations and speciation calculations showed the dependence of 782

the U(VI) reduction on the initial U(VI) species. The uranyl(VI)-carbonate species could 783 not be reduced by the cells, but in contrast, the uranyl(VI)-lactate complex could be re-784 duced. In latter case, TEM-based analysis of the uranium-incubated cells showed ura-785 nium-containing aggregates on the cell surface and indicated the formation of membrane 786 vesicles as a potential defense mechanism against cell encrustation. In connection with an 787 increased amount of U(IV) over time determined by the different spectroscopic methods, 788 a combined association-reduction process can be suggested as a possible interaction 789 mechanism. Moreover, HERFD-XANES measurements verified the presence of U(V) dur-790 ing the experiment, proposing a single-electron transfer as a possible reduction mecha-791 nism for this sulfate-reducing genus. To our knowledge, this is the first proof of the occur-792 793 rence of U(V) during the U(VI) reduction by a sulfate-reducing microorganism. This study shows that the Desulfosporosinus species present in clay rock are able to reduce uranium 794 and therefore immobilize it. The significant differences in interaction mechanisms com-795 pared to other microorganisms demonstrate the importance of studying the reduction 796 797 behavior of bacteria of different genera. Furthermore, this study helps to better understand the complexity of redox processes in the environment, assists to close existing gaps 798 799 in the field of bioremediation and provides new impulses for a comprehensive safeguards concept for a repository for high-level radioactive waste in clay rock. 800

801

802 Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the funding provided by the German Federal Ministry 803 of Education and Research (BMBF) (Grant 02NUK053E) and The Helmholtz Association 804 (Grant SO-093) as well as a partial funding by The Helmholtz-Association, grant PIE-0007 805 (CROSSING) and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program 806 807 under the Grant Agreement No. 95237 (SurfBio). We also thank Sindy Kluge for the great support in the laboratory, Sabrina Beutner and Stefanie Bachmann for multiple ICP-MS 808 measurements. Furthermore, we would like to thank Dr. Thomas Kurth and Susanne 809 Kretzschmar from the Center for Regenerative Therapies Dresden (CRTD) for the prepa-810 811 ration of the TEM specimens, Falk Lehman from the Helmholtz Institute Freiberg (HIF) for HPLC measurements, and Carola Eckardt and Sylvia Gürtler for ion chromatographic 812 determination of sulfate concentrations. Additionally, the use of the HZDR Ion Beam Cen-813

- 814 ter TEM facilities and the funding of TEM Talos by the German Federal Ministry of Educa-
- tion and Research (BMBF; grant No. 03SF0451) in the framework of HEMCP are acknowl-
- 816 edged.

817

818 **References**

- 819 [1] B. Grambow, *Elements* **2016**, *12*, 239–245.
- R. Dohrmann, S. Kaufhold, B. Lundqvist, in *Handb. Clay Sci.* (Eds.: F. Bergaya, G.
 Lagaly), **2013**, pp. 677–710.
- J. Fachinger, M. Den Exter, B. Grambow, S. Holgersson, C. Landesman, M. Titov, T.
 Podruhzina, *Nucl. Eng. Des.* 2006, *236*, 543–554.
- R. Pusch, *Geological Storage of Highly Radioactive Waste: Current Concepts and Plans for Radioactive Waste Disposal*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- J. R. Lloyd, L. E. Macaskie, in *Interact. Microorg. with Radionuclides* (Eds.: M.J.
 Keith-Roach, F.R. Livens), Elsevier, **2002**, pp. 313–381.
- [6] S. Selenska-Pobell, M. L. Merroun, in *Prokaryotic Cell Wall Compd. Struct. Biochem.*(Eds.: H. König, H. Claus, A. Varma), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, **2010**, pp.
 483–500.
- B. L. Jones, M. B. Andrews, A. N. Swinburne, S. W. Botchway, A. D. Ward, J. R. Lloyd,
 L. S. Natrajan, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, *6*, 5133–5138.
- 833 [8] A. Bagnoud, K. Chourey, R. L. Hettich, I. De Bruijn, A. F. Andersson, O. X. Leupin, B.
 834 Schwyn, R. Bernier-Latmani, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, *7*, 1–10.
- N. Matschiavelli, S. Kluge, C. Podlech, D. Standhaft, G. Grathoff, A. Ikeda-Ohno, L. N.
 Warr, A. Chukharkina, T. Arnold, A. Cherkouk, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2019, *53*,
 10514–10524.
- 838 [10] M. Vainshtein, G. Gogotova, H. Hippe, in *Viable Microorg. Permafr.* (Ed.: D.
 839 Gilichinsky), Russian Academy Of Science Pushchino Research Center, Pushchino,
 840 **1994**, pp. 68–74.
- 841 [11] M. Vainshtein, G. Gogotova, H. Hippe, *Microbiology* **1995**, *64*, 436–439.
- [12] E. Stackebrandt, C. Sproer, F. A. Rainey, J. Burghardt, O. Päuker, H. Hippe, *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **1997**, *47*, 1134–1139.
- 844 [13] A. Vatsurina, D. Badrutdinova, P. Schumann, S. Spring, M. Vainshtein, *Int. J. Syst.* 845 *Evol. Microbiol.* 2008, *58*, 1228–1232.

- 846 [14] D. R. Lovley, E. J. P. Phillips, Y. A. Gorby, E. R. Landa, *Nature* **1991**, *350*, 413–416.
- 847 [15] B. M. Tebo, A. Y. Obraztsova, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **1998**, *162*, 193–198.
- 848 [16] D. R. Lovley, E. J. P. Phillips, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1992**, *58*, 850–856.
- [17] D. R. Lovley, E. E. Roden, E. J. P. Phillips, J. C. Woodward, *Mar. Geol.* 1993, *113*, 41–
 53.
- 851 [18] K. Pietzsch, B. C. Hard, W. Babel, J. Basic Microbiol. **1999**, *39*, 365–372.
- R. B. Payne, D. M. Gentry, B. J. Rapp-Giles, L. Casalot, J. D. Wall, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2002, *68*, 3129–3132.
- 854 [20] P. Junier, E. D. Vecchia, R. Bernier-Latmani, *Geomicrobiol. J.* **2011**, *28*, 483–496.
- P. Junier, M. Frutschi, N. S. Wigginton, E. J. Schofield, J. R. Bargar, R. BernierLatmani, *Environ. Microbiol.* 2009, *11*, 3007–3017.
- 857 [22] A. Basu, R. A. Sanford, T. M. Johnson, C. C. Lundstrom, F. E. Löffler, *Geochim.*858 *Cosmochim. Acta* 2014, *136*, 100–113.
- 859 [23] Y. Suzuki, S. D. Kelly, K. M. Kemner, J. F. Banfield, *Nature* **2002**, *419*, 3849.
- 860 [24] Y. Suzuki, S. D. Kelly, K. M. Kemner, J. F. Banfield, *Radiochim. Acta* **2004**, *92*, 11–16.
- [25] K. E. Fletcher, M. I. Boyanov, S. H. Thomas, Q. Wu, K. M. Kemner, F. E. Löffler,
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 2010, 44, 4705–4709.
- R. Bernier-Latmani, H. Veeramani, E. D. Vecchia, P. Junier, J. S. Lezama-Pacheco, E.
 I. Suvorova, J. O. Sharp, N. S. Wigginton, J. R. Bargar, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 44, 9456–9462.
- 866 [27] J. R. Postgate, *The Sulphate-Reducing Bacteria*, Cambridge University Press,
 867 Cambridge, **1984**.
- 868 [28] D. R. Lovley, P. K. Widman, J. C. Woodward, E. J. P. Phillips, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*869 **1993**, *59*, 3572–3576.
- P. Wersin, O. X. Leupin, S. Mettler, E. C. Gaucher, U. Mäder, P. De Cannière, A.
 Vinsot, H. E. Gäbler, T. Kunimaro, K. Kiho, et al., *Appl. Geochemistry* 2011, *26*, 931–
 953.

- [30] K. U. Ulrich, A. Singh, E. J. Schofield, J. R. Bargar, H. Veeramani, J. O. Sharp, R.
 Bernier-Latmani, D. E. Giammar, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 42, 5600–5606.
 [31] E. J. Schofield, H. Veeramani, J. O. Sharp, E. Suvorova, R. Bernier-Latmani, A. Mehta,
 J. Stahlman, S. M. Webb, D. L. Clark, S. D. Conradson, et al., *Environ. Sci. Technol.*2008, 42, 7898–7904.
 [32] J. O. Sharp, E. J. Schofield, H. Veeramani, E. I. Suvorova, D. W. Kennedy, M. J.
- Marshall, A. Mehta, J. R. Bargar, R. Bernier-Latmani, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2009, *43*,
 8295–8301.
- [33] G. F. Vettese, K. Morris, L. S. Natrajan, S. Shaw, T. Vitova, J. Galanzew, D. L. Jones, J.
 R. Lloyd, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, 54, 2268–2276.
- [34] J. C. Renshaw, L. J. C. Butchins, F. R. Livens, I. May, J. M. Charnock, J. R. Lloyd,
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 2005, *39*, 5657–5660.
- 885 [35] G. R. Choppin, P. J. Wong, *Aquat. Geochemistry* **1998**, *4*, 77–101.
- 886 [36] W. Runde, *Geochemistry Soil Radionuclides* **2015**, *103*, 21–44.
- [37] P. L. Arnold, J. B. Love, D. Patel, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *253*, 1973–1978.
- [38] G. Nocton, P. Horeglad, V. Vetere, J. Pécaut, L. Dubois, P. Maldivi, N. M. Edelstein, M.
 Mazzanti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 495–508.
- [39] M. F. Schettini, G. Wu, T. W. Hayton, *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 11799–11808.
- [40] T. I. Docrat, J. F. W. Mosselmans, J. M. Charnock, M. W. Whiteley, D. Collison, F. R.
 Livens, C. Jones, M. J. Edmiston, *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 1879–1882.
- [41] A. Ikeda, C. Hennig, S. Tsushima, K. Takao, Y. Ikeda, A. C. Scheinost, G. Bernhard,
 Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 4212–4219.
- 895 [42] K. Mizuoka, I. Grenthe, Y. Ikeda, *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 4472–4474.
- [43] R. Faizova, R. Scopelliti, A. S. Chauvin, M. Mazzanti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, 140,
 13554–13557.
- 898 [44] H. E. Roberts, K. Morris, G. T. W. Law, J. F. W. Mosselmans, P. Bots, K. Kvashnina, S.
 899 Shaw, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 2017, *4*, 421–426.
- 900 [45] S. Tsarev, R. N. Collins, A. Fahy, T. D. Waite, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, 50, 2595–

901 2601.

- 902 [46] S. Tsarev, R. N. Collins, E. S. Ilton, A. Fahy, T. D. Waite, *Environ. Sci. Nano* 2017, *4*,
 903 1304–1313.
- 904 [47] I. Pidchenko, K. O. Kvashnina, T. Yokosawa, N. Finck, S. Bahl, D. Schild, R. Polly, E.
 905 Bohnert, A. Rossberg, J. Göttlicher, et al., *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2017, *51*, 2217–
 906 2225.
- 907 [48] E. S. Ilton, P. S. Bagus, *Surf. Interface Anal.* **2011**, *43*, 1549–1560.
- 908 [49] T. A. Marshall, K. Morris, G. T. W. Law, J. F. W. Mosselmans, P. Bots, H. Roberts, S.
 909 Shaw, *Mineral. Mag.* 2015, *79*, 1265–1274.
- [50] K. O. Kvashnina, S. M. Butorin, P. Martin, P. Glatzel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2013, *111*,
 253002.
- 912 [51] K. O. Kvashnina, S. M. Butorin, *Chem. Commun.* **2022**, *58*, 327–342.
- [52] K. P. Nevin, K. T. Finneran, D. R. Lovley, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2003, *69*, 3672–
 3675.
- 915 [53] Y. Suzuki, S. D. Kelly, K. M. Kemner, J. F. Banfield, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2003,
 916 69, 1337–1346.
- E. Cardenas, W. M. Wu, M. B. Leigh, J. Carley, S. Carroll, T. Gentry, J. Luo, D. Watson,
 B. Gu, M. Ginder-Vogel, et al., *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2008, 74, 3718–3729.
- 919 [55] J. M. Senko, G. Zhang, J. T. McDonough, M. A. Bruns, W. D. Burgos, *Geomicrobiol. J.*920 **2009**, *26*, 71–82.
- [56] S. Ramamoorthy, H. Sass, H. Langner, P. Schumann, R. M. Kroppenstedt, S. Spring, J.
 Overmann, R. F. Rosenzweig, *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2006, *56*, 2729–2736.
- 923 [57] A. Courdouan, I. Christl, S. Meylan, P. Wersin, R. Kretzschmar, *Appl. Geochemistry* 924 2007, *22*, 2926–2939.
- 925 [58] S. Hilpmann, M. Bader, R. Steudtner, K. Müller, T. Stumpf, A. Cherkouk, *PLoS One*926 **2022**, *17*, e0262275.
- J. Jessat, H. Moll, W. A. John, M. L. Bilke, R. Hübner, J. Kretzschmar, R. Steudtner, B.
 Drobot, T. Stumpf, S. Sachs, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2022, 439, DOI

- 929 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.129520.
- [60] M. Bader, A. Rossberg, R. Steudtner, B. Drobot, K. Großmann, M. Schmidt, N. Musat,
 T. Stumpf, A. Ikeda-Ohno, A. Cherkouk, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2018, *52*, 12895–
 12904.
- 933 [61] R. Steudtner, S. Sachs, K. Schmeide, V. Brendler, G. Bernhard, *Radiochim. Acta*934 **2011**, *99*, 687–692.
- 935 [62] M. Völkner, T. Kurth, M. O. Karl, *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2019, 1834, 119–141.
- [63] M. Völkner, T. Kurth, J. Schor, L. J. A. Ebner, L. Bardtke, C. Kavak, J. Hackermüller,
 M. O. Karl, *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2021, *9*, 645704.
- [64] K. O. Kvashnina, Y. O. Kvashnin, S. M. Butorin, *J. Electron Spectros. Relat. Phenomena* 2014, 194, 27–36.
- 940 [65] P. A. Lee, P. H. Citrin, P. Eisenberger, B. M. Kincaid, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 1981, *53*, 769–
 941 806.
- [66] A. C. Scheinost, J. Claussner, J. Exner, M. Feig, S. Findeisen, C. Hennig, K. O.
 Kvashnina, D. Naudet, D. Prieur, A. Rossberg, et al., *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* 2021, 28, 333–349.
- 945 [67] K. O. Kvashnina, A. C. Scheinost, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 2016, 23, 836–841.
- 946 [68] G. N. George, I. J. Pickering, **1995**.
- 947 [69] A. Ankudinov, B. Ravel, *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* 1998, 58, 7565–
 948 7576.
- 949 [70] Y. Dusausoy, N. E. Ghermani, R. Podor, M. Cuney, *Eur. J. Mineral.* **1996**, *8*, 667–673.
- 950 [71] A. Rossberg, T. Reich, G. Bernhard, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2003**, *376*, 631–638.
- [72] E. R. Malinowski, *Factor Analysis in Chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, **1991**.
- 952 [73] N. Mayodormo, D. M. Rodriguez, A. Rossberg, H. Foerstendorf, K. Heim, V.
 953 Brendler, K. Müller, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, 408, 127265.
- 954 [74] B. H. Jeon, S. D. Kelly, K. M. Kemner, M. O. Barnett, W. D. Burgos, B. A. Dempsey, E.
 955 E. Roden, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2004, *38*, 5649–5655.

- 956 [75] D. Brady, A. Stoll, J. R. Duncan, *Environ. Technol.* **1994**, *15*, 429–438.
- 957 [76] B. Volesky, H. A. May-Phillips, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **1995**, *42*, 797–806.
- 958 [77] R. J. Silva, H. Nitsche, *Ract* **1995**, *70–71*, 377–396.
- 959 [78] J. E. Banaszak, B. E. Rittmann, D. T. Reed, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 1999, 241, 385–
 960 435.
- 961 [79] R. Klemps, H. Cypionka, F. Widdel, N. Pfennig, *Arch. Microbiol.* **1985**, *143*, 203–208.
- 962 [80] B. Drobot, R. Steudtner, J. Raff, G. Geipel, V. Brendler, S. Tsushima, *Chem. Sci.* 2015,
 963 6, 964–972.
- 964 [81] P. P. Shao, L. R. Comolli, R. Bernier-Latmani, *Minerals* **2014**, *4*, 74–88.
- 965 [82] M. M. Clark, M. D. Paxhia, J. M. Young, M. P. Manzella, G. Reguera, *Appl. Environ.* 966 *Microbiol.* 2021, *87*, 1–17.
- 967 [83] T. Nagai, T. Fujii, O. Shirai, H. Yamana, J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 2004, 41, 690–695.
- 968 [84] P. Wang, F. Dong, D. He, S. Liu, N. Chen, T. Huo, *RSC Adv.* **2021**, *11*, 23241–23248.
- 969 [85] B. E. Cowie, J. M. Purkis, J. Austin, J. B. Love, P. L. Arnold, *Chem. Rev.* 2019, *119*, 10595–10637.
- [86] Z. Pan, B. Bártová, T. LaGrange, S. M. Butorin, N. C. Hyatt, M. C. Stennett, K. O.
 Kvashnina, R. Bernier-Latmani, *Nat. Commun.* 2020, *11*, 1–12.
- 973 [87] E. R. Malinowski, *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1978**, *103*, 339–354.
- 974 [88] I. Feldmann, C. A. North, H. B. Hunter, J. Phys. Chem. **1960**, 64, 1224–1230.
- 975 [89] I. Feldmann, J. R. Havill, W. F. Neuman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1954**, 76, 4726–4732.
- 976 [90] K. S. Rajan, A. E. Martell, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **1964**, 26, 1927–1944.
- 977 [91] P. G. Allen, D. K. Shuh, J. J. Bucher, N. M. Edelstein, T. Reich, M. A. Denecke, H.
 978 Nitsche, *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 784–787.
- 979 [92] C. Lucks, Untersuchungen Zur Struktur von Wassergelösten Und an Hämatit
- 980 Sorbierten Uran(VI)-Komplexen Mit Aliphatischen (Hydroxy-) Carbonsäuren:
- 981 Kombination Verschiedener Spektroskopischer Methoden Mit Faktoranalyse Und
- 982 Quantenchemischen Berechnungen, Technische Universität Dresden, **2012**.

- 983 [93] G. G. Li, F. Bridges, C. H. Booth, *Phys. Rev. B* **1995**, *52*, 6332–6348.
- 984 [94] P. Fornasini, R. Grisenti, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 2015, 22, 1242–1257.
- [95] J. Kretzschmar, S. Tsushima, C. Lucks, E. Jäckel, R. Meyer, R. Steudtner, K. Müller, A.
 Rossberg, K. Schmeide, V. Brendler, *Inorg. Chem.* 2021, *60*, 7998–8010.
- 987 [96] J. Kretzschmar, S. Tsushima, B. Drobot, R. Steudtner, K. Schmeide, T. Stumpf, *Chem.* 988 *Commun.* 2020, *56*, 13133–13136.
- 989 [97] E. Grabias, M. Majdan, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. **2017**, *313*, 455–465.
- [98] S. Tsushima, A. Rossberg, A. Ikeda, K. Müller, A. C. Scheinost, *Inorg. Chem.* 2007,
 46, 10819–10826.
- [99] L. Newsome, K. Morris, S. Shaw, D. Trivedi, J. R. Lloyd, *Chem. Geol.* 2015, *409*, 125–
 135.
- [100] L. Newsome, K. Morris, D. Trivedi, A. Bewsher, J. R. Lloyd, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49*, 11070–11078.
- [101] L. Downward, C. H. Booth, W. W. Lukens, F. Bridges, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2007, *882*,
 129–131.

998