# **D** mesons in hot nuclear matter

L. Tolos<sup>1</sup>, A. Ramos<sup>2</sup> and T. Mizutani<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> FIAS (University of Frankfurt)
 <sup>2</sup> Universitat de Barcelona
 <sup>3</sup> Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Symposium on the Physics of Compressed Baryonic Matter September 25, 2007 Dresden, Germany



## **Outline**

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Model: Self-consistent coupled-channel approach for DN scattering  $\rightarrow \Lambda_c(2593)$  and  $\Sigma_c(2800)$  are dynamically generated
- 3. Open-charm mesons in hot dense matter
- 4. Conclusions & Outlook

# **Motivation I** (experimental facts)

 J/Ψ SUPPRESSION: it has been advocated as signature of QGP due to color screening T.Matsui and H. Satz, PLB 178 (1986) 416 NA50 Collaboration, M.Gonin et al., NPA 610 (1996) 404c

but there are alternative explanations in terms of conventional hadronic models:

→ "comover" scattering:  $J/\Psi \ \pi, \rho \rightarrow D \ \overline{D}$ 

N. Armesto, A. Capella, PLB430 (1998) 23 B.Zhang, C.M.Ko, B.A.Li, Z.W.Lin, S.Pal, PRC65 (2002) 054909 W. Cassing, E.L. Bratkovskaya, S. Juchem, NPA674 (2000) 249 O. Lynnyk, E.L. Bratkovskaya, W. Cassing, H.Stöcker, NPA786 (2007) 183

 $\rightarrow$  statistical hadronization model:

A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, J. Stachel. PLB571 (2003) 36; NPA789 (2007) 334; nucl-th/0701079

**2. Open-charm enhancement:**  $NN \rightarrow NND^+D^ E_{\rm th} = 2.8 \text{ GeV}$ NA50 Collaboration, M.C.Abreu et al., EPJ C14 (2000) 443

it would facilitate J/ $\Psi$  suppression but ... recent debate because of different interpretation using the improved data of the NA60 collaboration



NA60 Collaboration, E.Scomparin, talk @ QM2005

To understand these issues it is imperative to have a realistic picture of the properties of charmonia and open charm mesons in a hot nuclear environment.

This is especially important for the conditions of the CBM@FAIR heavy ion experiment, where both density ( $\rho$ ~1-2 fm<sup>-3</sup>) and temperature (T~100 MeV) are relevant parameters.



### **Motivation II** (theoretical issues)

**1.** DN interaction: similar features as the thoroughly studied KbarN interaction. In the charm sector we also find a subthreshold I=0 resonance, the  $\Lambda_c(2593)$  (udc), that bears a strong resemblance to the  $\Lambda(1405)$  (uds).



May the  $\Lambda_c(2593)$  be generated also dynamically, through a coupled-channel unitarized model?

2. Mean-field models:  $\rightarrow$  predict the D<sup>+</sup>, D<sup>-</sup> mass shift

**QCD sum rule:** The in-medium mass shift is proportional to the mass of the charmed quark (m<sub>c</sub>) and the light meson q-qbar condensate:

A. Hayashigashi, Phys. Let. B487, 96 (2000)

P. Morath, W. Weise, S.H. Lee, 17 Autumn school on QCD.  $ightarrow \delta M_D \sim \delta M_{ar D} \sim -50~{
m MeV}$ Lisbon 1999 (World Scientific, SIngapore, 2001) 2001

**Quark Meson Coupling approach:** Hadron interactions mediated by the exchange of scalar-isoscalar ( $\sigma$ ) and vector ( $\rho$  and  $\omega$ ) medium modified mesons among the light constituent quarks.

A.Sibirtsev, K.Tsushima, and A.W.Thomas, Eur. Phys. J. A6, 351 (1999)

 $\delta M_{D^+} = U_s + U_v \sim -140 \text{ MeV}$  $\delta M_{D^-} = U_s - U_v \sim +25 \text{ MeV}$ 

**Nuclear Mean Field approach (NMFA):**  $\sigma - \omega$  model supplemented by chiral terms (of vector and scalar-isoscalar nature)

A.Mishra, E.L. Brakovskaya, J. Schaffner-Bielich, S. Schramm, and H. Stoecker, PRC 70, 044904 (2004)  $\delta M_{D^+} = -250 \text{ to } -70 \text{ MeV}$  $\delta M_{D^-} = -100 \text{ to } -20 \text{ MeV}$ 

3. Spectral function models:  $\rightarrow$  predict the full energy distribution of the D<sup>+</sup> meson strength in a self-consistent coupled channel approach

**D** self-energy with a SU(3) separable DN interaction with u, d, c content

L. Tolós, J. Schaffner-Bielich, and A. Mishra, Phys. Rev.C 70, 025203 (2004) (T=0 MeV) L. Tolós, J. Schaffner-Bielich, and H. Stöcker, Phys. Lett. B635, 85 (2006) (finite T)

**D** and **D**bar self-energy with an improved SU(4) and chiral DN interaction

J.Hofmann and M.F.M.Lutz, Nucl. Phys. A763, 90 (2005) M.F.M.Lutz, and C.L.Korpa, Phys. Lett. B 633,43 (2006)

**D** self-energy using a revised SU(4) and chiral DN interaction, supplemented by an attractive scalar-isoscalar  $\Sigma_{DN}$ 

T. Mizutani, A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. C74, 065201 (2006)

**HERE:** we extend the model to **Dbar** mesons and implement finite T effects

# Model:

1. Transition potential V built from the meson-baryon Lagrangian at lowest order



$$V_{ij} = -\kappa C_{ij} \frac{1}{4f^2} (2\sqrt{s} - M_i - M_j) \left(\frac{M_i + E}{2M_i}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_j + E'}{2M_j}\right)^{1/2}$$

SU(4) symmetry broken by the use of physical masses.

$$\kappa = 1 \qquad \text{(non-charm exchange)} \quad DN \to DN, \ D_s Y$$
$$= \left(\frac{m_{\rho}}{m_D}\right)^2 \sim 1/4 \quad \text{(charm exchange)} \quad DN \to \pi \Sigma_c, \ K \Xi_c$$



**V** is also supplemented by a scalar-isoscalar interaction ( $\Sigma_{DN}$  term)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma} \equiv \frac{\Sigma_{DN}}{f_D^2} \bar{N} N \bar{D} D \longrightarrow V_{\Sigma}(\sqrt{s}) = -\frac{\Sigma_{DN}}{f_D^2} \left(\frac{M_N + E}{2M_N}\right) \sim -0.05 \text{ MeV}^{-1}$$
$$f_D \sim 200 \text{ MeV}$$
$$\Sigma_{DN} \sim 2000 \text{ MeV} \quad \text{(from QCDSR)}$$

- 2. Unitarization: N/D method
- → equivalent to Bethe-Salpeter coupled-channel equations with on-shell amplitudes



The loop function **G** is regularized with a cut-off  $\Lambda$  [adjusted to reproduce  $\Lambda_c(2593)$ ]

Model A: 
$$\Lambda = 727 \text{ MeV}, f = 1.15 f_{\pi}, V_{\Sigma} = -0.05 \text{ MeV}^{-1}$$

Model B:  $\Lambda = 787 \text{ MeV}, f = 1.15 f_{\pi}, V_{\Sigma} = 0$ 

#### **Free space DN amplitudes**



The model generates the I=0  $\Lambda_c(2595)$  and another resonance in I=1 around the nominal  $\Sigma_c(2800)$ !

UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA

В

#### **Self-consistent coupled channels procedure**



Loop function (depends on  $\rho$  and T)

$$n(k) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(\varepsilon(k) - \mu)/T}}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{G}_{DN}(P_{0},\vec{P},\rho) &= \int_{|\vec{q}| < \Lambda} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{M_{N}}{E_{N}(\vec{P}-\vec{q})} \times \\ & \left[ \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega S_{D}(\omega,\vec{q}) \frac{1 - n(\vec{P}-\vec{q})}{P_{0} - \omega - E_{N}(\vec{P}-\vec{q}) + i\varepsilon} + \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega S_{D}(\omega,\vec{q}) \frac{n(\vec{P}-\vec{q})}{P_{0} + \omega - E_{N}(\vec{P}-\vec{q}) - i\varepsilon} \right] \\ & \text{spectral density} \quad S_{D}(q_{0},\vec{q}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} D_{D}(q_{0},\vec{q}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\text{Im} \Pi_{D}(q_{0},\vec{q})}{|q_{0}^{2} - \vec{q}^{2} - m_{D}^{2} - \Pi_{D}(q_{0},\vec{q})|^{2}} \\ & \prod_{D}(q_{0},\vec{q}) = \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} n(\vec{p}) \left[ \tilde{T}_{DN}^{(I=0)}(P_{0},\vec{P}) + 3\tilde{T}_{DN}^{(I=1)}(P_{0},\vec{P}) \right] \end{split}$$

**DN** amplitudes in hot dense matter ( $\rho = \rho_0$ )



#### **D** meson spectral in hot and dense matter ( $\rho = \rho_0$ )





#### **Evolution with density and temperature**

Similar trend to previous finite temperature results: LT, J. Schaffner-Bielich and H. Stoecker PLB 635 (2006) 85

### **DN** interaction

### **D** optical potential

$$a_{\bar{D}N} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{M_{\bar{D}N}}{\sqrt{s}} T_{\bar{D}N \to \bar{D}N}$$

Table 1: $DN$ so	attering ler	ngths (fm)
	Model A	Model B
I = 0	0.607	0
(Born approx.)	0.262	0

-0.264

-0.614

-0.289

-0.876

I = 1

(Born approx.)



### **D** and $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ meson potentials



# **Conclusions & Outlook**

We have <u>pe</u>rformed a self-consistent coupled-channel calculation of the D and D self-energies in symmetric nuclear matter at finite temperature taking, as bare interaction, the SU(4) TW contribution supplemented by a  $\Sigma_{\text{DN}}$  term

✓ In hot dense matter,  $\Lambda_c$ (2593) and  $\Sigma_c$ (2800) stay close to their free position but develop a remarkable width

✓The D meson spectral density shows a single pronounced peak at finite temperature that melts with increasing density

✓ Up to  $\rho_0$  the low-density theorem is a good approximation for the DN, where the repulsive I=1 component dominates

 $\checkmark$  Due to the distinct resonant structure of the interaction, temperature induces a stronger change in the properties of the D than the D meson

### **Open questions?**

➢ J/Ψ suppression
➢ Open-charm enhancement
➢ D-mesic nuclei



### **Some answers expected from CBM@FAIR !**